Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect





Fuel Processing Technology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fuproc

Co-liquefaction of microalgae and synthetic polymer mixture in sub- and supercritical ethanol

Xiaokai Pei, Xingzhong Yuan^{*}, Guangming Zeng, Huajun Huang, Jingyu Wang, Hui Li, Huina Zhu

College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, PR China

Key Laboratory of Environment Biology and Pollution Control (Hunan University), Ministry of Education, Changsha 410082, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 May 2011 Received in revised form 30 August 2011 Accepted 9 September 2011 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Co-liquefaction Microalgae Synergetic polymer Sub- and supercritical ethanol Synergetic effect Bio-oil

ABSTRACT

Co-liquefaction of microalgae (*Spirulina*) and synthetic polymer (HDPE, high-density polyethylene) in suband supercritical ethanol was investigated in a stainless steel autoclave (1000 mL) at different reaction temperatures (*T*), *Spirulina*/HDPE ratio (R_1), (*Spirulina* + HDPE)/ethanol ratio (R_2) and solvent filling ratio (R_3). Results showed that the addition of *Spirulina* to HDPE liquefaction could make the conversion conditions of HDPE milder. The yield of bio-oil obtained at 613 K with a 1/10 R_2 and a 2/10 R_3 was increased by 44.81 wt.% when the R_1 was raised from 0/10 to 4/6. Meanwhile, the synergetic effects (*SE*) between HDPE and *Spirulina* were increased from 0 to 30.39 wt.%. Further increasing R_1 resulted in a decrease in *SE*. The yields of bio-oil increased with increasing R_2 firstly and then declined. An opposite trend was observed for the yield of residue. The effect of R_3 to the yields of liquefaction products was similar to that of R_2 . The content of C and H in bio-oils reduced with increasing R_1 , while the content of O increased. The bio-oil from pure *Spirulina* liquefaction runs mainly consisted of oxygen-containing compounds, such as carboxylic acids, esters and ketones. But the major components of bio-oil from co-liquefaction of *Spirulina* and HDPE mixture were similar to those of pure HDPE-derived bio-oil, in which aliphatic hydrocarbons dominated.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Generally, microalgae are well known as one of the main factors for water eutrophication. In the other hand, they are also considered to be a potential source of third generation biofuels with the advantages of higher photosynthetic efficiency, higher biomass production and faster growth than other biomasses [1]. Moreover, microalgae can be cultivated in either fresh water or saline environments avoiding the use of agricultural land [2]. Thermochemical conversion of microalgae into bio-oil has been widely studied in recent years [3–8]. The results of thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of microalgae showed that the initial temperatures for *Spirulina* decomposition were 463–493 K and the final temperature was approximately 833 K [7]. Bio-oils obtained from microalgae liquefaction mainly consist of various complex organic chemicals, such as organic acids, esters, phenols, ketones, indoles, pyrrolidines and saturated or unsaturated hydrocarbons, etc. [3–5].

The rapid rate of plastic consumption throughout the world has led to the production of increasing amounts of waste with difficult disposal [9]. Now that land filing and incineration become more expensive and environmentally risky, the recycling of waste plastics is attracting more attention as an alternative to absorb vast amounts of post-consumer plastics [10, 11]. Among various recovery processes of plastics, an option with great potential is recycling energy or chemicals through thermochemical conversion processes. These processes can reduce the potentially hazardous materials and simultaneously produce valuable chemical feedstock, such as liquid and gaseous hydrocarbons [9, 10, 12]. In particular, conversion of waste plastics to liquid fuels would be logical for its high heating value (about 40 MJ/kg) and high content of H and C [13]. Pyrolysis [14, 15] and liquefaction [16] of plastics have been studied by several authors. High temperatures were always required for thermal degradation of plastics due to their heat-resisting characteristics. The TGA results of HDPE indicated that HDPE began to decompose at a high temperature of 673 K [17–19]. Temperatures as high as 973–1173 K were essential to achieve decent product yields from plastic pyrolysis [20, 21].

Recently, co-processing of synthetic polymer with other materials has received more and more attentions [22–26]. Due to the high content of H in synthetic polymers, the effect of polymer as a hydrogen source in thermal co-processing has been observed, resulting in the improvement of yield and quality of obtained oil [24–26]. Furthermore, the degradation of polymer could be enhanced by blending with other materials [22, 23]. Jakab et al. demonstrated that charcoal issued biomass could shift the thermal decomposition of polypropylene to a lower temperature and enhance the formation of monomer and dimer [22]. Meanwhile, Yang et al. reported that the thermal stability of polymer decreased with the addition of lignocellulosic filler [23].

To the best of the 'authors' knowledge, to date, there are few studies focusing on the co-liquefaction characteristics of synthetic polymer and

^{*} Corresponding author at: College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, PR China. Tel.: +86 731 88821413; fax: +86 731 88823701.

E-mail address: yxz@hnu.cn (X. Yuan).

^{0378-3820/\$ –} see front matter 0 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.fuproc.2011.09.010

microalgae. In this paper, *Spirulina* (high-protein microalgae) and HDPE (a typical plastic) were chosen as the energy recovery sources. Ethanol was adopted as the solvent. In the present work, the effects of reaction temperature (*T*), *Spirulina*/HDPE ratio (R_1), (*Spirulina* + HDPE)/ethanol ratio (R_2) and solvent filling ratio (R_3) on the co-liquefaction of *Spirulina* and HDPE in sub- and supercritical ethanol were studied in 5.9–11.9 MPa, as shown in Table 1. In addition, the properties of the obtained oils were characterized by FT-IR, GC-MS and elemental analyses. The objectives of this study are, firstly, to discuss the possibility of co-liquefaction of *Spirulina* and HDPE and secondly, to investigate the influence of reaction parameters for the future commercial utilization.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

The feedstock materials used in this study were microalgae cells of *Spirulina* and HDPE. Microalgae cells of *Spirulina* were provided from Xigema Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Fujian, China). Samples were prepared by pulverization in a mortar to <150 µm and then stored in a desiccator. The amounts of dry solid and ash were detected as the drying residue at 378 K and the ignition residue at 973 K, respectively. The elemental composition of the organic matter was determined with an elemental analyzer. The amounts of crude protein, crude fat and crude fiber of *Spirulina* were determined through the Kjeldahl method [27], Soxhlet extraction and the improved Henneberg–Stohmann method [28], respectively. HDPE was commercially-available polymer obtained from Tianjin Petrochemical Company. The particle diameters of HDPE were in the range of 4–6 mm and its density was 0.95 g/mL Analysis results of *Spirulina* and HDPE are tabulated in Table 2.

All chemical reagents used for the experiments were of analytic grade. Freshly prepared daily-diluted solutions were prepared using deionized water. All glassware and plastic containers were washed with 15% nitric acid solution and rinsed thoroughly with deionized water.

2.2. Experimental procedure and separation

The liquefaction experiments were conducted in a 1000 mL GSHA-1.0 type autoclave. In a typical liquefaction experiment run, the mixture of microalgae and plastic blended with ethanol were loaded into the reactor, and the temperature was raised up to the reaction temperature by an electrically heated furnace. Agitation was set at 60 rpm and kept constant for all the autoclave tests. After the reaction was completed, the autoclave was removed and cooled by fan and cool water to room temperature.

Table 1

The final reaction pressures at different liquefaction runs (MPa).

Runs	Feedstock	T (K)	R_1	R_2	<i>R</i> ₃	Pressure (MPa)
1	Spirulina/HDPE	593	5/5	1/10	2/10	9.3
2	Spirulina/HDPE	613	5/5	1/10	2/10	9.7
3	Spirulina/HDPE	633	5/5	1/10	2/10	10.1
4	Spirulina/HDPE	653	5/5	1/10	2/10	11.4
5	Spirulina/HDPE	613	0/10	1/10	2/10	9.0
6	Spirulina/HDPE	613	2/8	1/10	2/10	9.1
7	Spirulina/HDPE	613	4/6	1/10	2/10	9.4
8	Spirulina/HDPE	613	6/4	1/10	2/10	9.5
9	Spirulina/HDPE	613	8/2	1/10	2/10	9.6
10	Spirulina/HDPE	613	10/0	1/10	2/10	9.6
11	Spirulina/HDPE	613	5/5	0.6/10	2/10	9.1
12	Spirulina/HDPE	613	5/5	0.8/10	2/10	9.2
13	Spirulina/HDPE	613	5/5	1.2/10	2/10	9.2
14	Spirulina/HDPE	613	5/5	1.4/10	2/10	9.4
15	Spirulina/HDPE	613	5/5	1/10	1.2/10	5.9
16	Spirulina/HDPE	613	5/5	1/10	1.6/10	8.5
17	Spirulina/HDPE	613	5/5	1/10	2.4/10	10.6
18	Spirulina/HDPE	613	5/5	1/10	2.8/10	11.9

Fig. 1 depicts the procedure for separating liquefaction products. The liquefaction products included gaseous, liquid, and solid phases. After the autoclave was cooled down, the gas products were vented without being further analyzed. The reaction mixture in the autoclave was collected in a beaker for separation. In addition, the autoclave was washed with 150 mL ethanol thrice. The ethanol extract was obtained by extraction followed by filtration from the reaction mixture. Ethanol was evaporated in a rotary evaporator at 343 K, after which the obtained product was weighed and labeled as Oil 1. The ethanol insoluble fraction was subsequently extracted with tetrahydrofuran (THF) to collect THF extract. After evaporating THF in a rotary evaporator, the obtained fraction was weighed and designed as Oil 2. The oil yield was represented by the combined mass of Oil 1 and Oil 2. The THF insoluble fraction remaining on the filter paper was dried at 378 K overnight to a constant weight, then weighed and designed as residue.

2.3. Product analysis

The bio-oil products obtained from liquefaction experiments at 613 K with different R_1 (0/10, 5/5 and 10/0) were applied to analyses. The elemental compositions of the bio-oil were determined with a CHNOS Elemental Analyzer Vario EL III (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Germany). The gross calorific values of the obtained oil product were determined by a KLSR-400 Bomb Calorimeter (Kesheng Instrument Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Changsha, China).

FT-IR spectra of the obtained bio-oils were recorded on a WQF-410 type FT-IR spectrometer to determine its functional groups. All samples were analyzed in the wave number range of 4000-500 cm⁻¹.

GC-MS analyses were conducted on a Trace GC, Palaris Q GC-MS spectrometer (Thermo-Finnigan, USA) using carbon capillary column, DB-1 (film thickness, 0.25 mm; column dimensions, $30 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$). Inject mode was set as splitless and splitless time was 1.0 min. As a carrier gas, helium was at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The column temperature of GC used in this study was programmed from an initial temperature of 353 K up to 523 K by a heating rate of 5 K/min and finally held at 523 K for 30 min. The ionizing voltage was 70 eV, and mass ranged from 40 to 500 m/z. The identification of compounds was performed using electronic library search routines.

A scanning electron microscope (QUANTA 200, United FEI Corp.) was used to examine the morphology of obtained residues.

3. Results and discussion

The yield of each product was calculated as follows:

Yield of bio – oil (wt.%) = weight of $(Oil1 + Oil2)$				
\div (weight of feedstock) \times 100%				

Table 2

Characterization of Spirulina and HDPE.

Elemental analysis results of Spirulina and HDPE (wt.%) ^a									
Feedstock		С	Н	O ^b	1	1	S		
Spirulina 42.9 8.5 39.2 8.9 0. HDPE 85.5 14.2 - ^c - 0.							0.5 0.3		
Proximate analysis (wt%) Component analysis (wt%) ^a									
Volatile ^d	Ash ^d	Moisture	Crude protein	Crude fat	Crude fiber	Non-fibrous carbohydrate			
89.2	10.8	4.3	69.4	8.2	3.3	19.1			
^a On an organic bacic									

^a On an organic basis.

^b Calculated by difference.

^c Not contained.

^d On a dry solid basis.



Fig. 1. Procedure for separation of products.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Yield of residue} \ (wt.\%) = (weight of residue) & (2) \\ & \div \ (weight of feedstock) \times 100\% \end{array}$

Yield of liquefaction conversion (wt.%) = 100 - yield of residue (3)

The value of synergetic effect (*SE*) of *Spirulina* and HDPE can be calculated as follows:

$$SE(wt.\%) = Y_c - (Y_0 \times Y_M + (1 - Y_0) \times Y_{HDPE})$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where Y_c (wt.%): the bio-oil yields obtained from co-liquefaction of *Spirulina* and HDPE; Y_M and Y_{HDPE} (wt.%): the bio-oil yields obtained from pure *Spirulina* and pure HDPE liquefaction in the same operational conditions, respectively; Y_0 (wt.%): the weight percent of *Spirulina* in the liquefaction feedstock.

3.1. Effect of reaction temperature (T)

Fig. 2 shows the effect of reaction temperature (T) on the distribution of products (bio-oil and residue) during the co-liquefaction of *Spirulina*



Fig. 2. Effect of reaction temperature (T) on the product yields.

and HDPE with fixed R_1 (5/5), R_2 (1/10) and R_3 (2/10). As shown in the figure, the bio-oil yield increased markedly by 17.52 wt.% with the reaction temperature increasing from 593 K to 613 K. Accordingly, the yield of residue obviously declined by 29.67 wt.%. However, further increasing the reaction temperature had no obvious influence on the product yields.

It is well known that HDPE is difficult for thermal conversion because of its heat-resisting characteristics [12]. However, it was noteworthy that HDPE was successfully decomposed at a lower reaction temperature in this study. The yields of conversion obtained from co-liquefaction of Spirulina and HDPE at temperatures from 613 K to 653 K were all beyond 82 wt.%. This fact suggested that a large amount of HDPE had been decomposed under the given conditions. Jackab et al. [22] reported that the thermal decomposition of polypropylene could begin at a lower temperature with the addition of charcoal issued biomass. Furthermore, it was proposed that the solid products from wood decomposition influenced the depolymerization pathways of polypropylene [22]. Yang et al. put forward that the thermal stability of polymer would slightly decrease with the addition of lignocellulosic filler. The dispersion and interfacial adhesion between the lignocellulosic filler and thermoplastic polymer were important factors affecting the thermal stability of the composite system [23].

To identify the influence of *Spirulina* on the thermal stability of HDPE, the morphology of the liquefaction residues obtained from different liquefaction runs of pure HDPE and *Spirulina*/HDPE (5/5) was evaluated. Fig. 3 shows that the microfabric features of the liquefaction residue from the co-liquefaction process were markedly different from that from pure HDPE liquefaction. A bridge-like structure of the composite system was formed during co-liquefaction of *Spirulina* and HDPE (Fig. 3b). Moreover, significant pore spaces were found in the particle arrangements. The microfabric with pore spaces might create vulnerability to liquefaction of HDPE. In the other hand, during the co-liquefaction process with HDPE, *Spirulina* was decomposed and de-polymerized firstly, as expected due to a lower temperature required for *Spirulina* decomposition [7]. In summary, the decomposition of *Spirulina* could influence the thermal stability of HDPE, and finally accelerated the thermal degradation of HDPE at lower temperatures.

3.2. Effect of Spirulina/HDPE ratio (R₁)

Series of experiments varying *Spirulina*/HDPE ratio (R_1) in the liquefaction feedstock were performed. The variations of products'



Fig. 3. SEM of liquefaction residues obtained at 613 K with different feedstock composition.

yields with different R_1 at 613 K, R_2 1/10 and R_3 2/10 are presented in Fig. 4. Meanwhile, Fig. 5 depicts the variations of synergetic effects (*SE*) with different R_1 for the bio-oil yields.

As shown in Fig. 4, addition of *Spirulina* had a great influence on the bio-oil yields. An almost linear increment of bio-oil yield can be observed when R_1 was elevated from 0/10 to 4/6. However, further increasing R_1 would lead to a decrease in bio-oil yield. The varying



Fig. 4. Effect of *Spirulina*/HDPE ratio (R_1) on the product yields.



Fig. 5. Effect of *Spirulina*/HDPE ratio (R_1) on the synergetic effects.

trend on the yield of residue was just opposite. According to Fig. 5, the synergetic effects during co-liquefaction of *Spirulina* and HDPE mixture were all positive, suggesting remarkable mutually enhancing effect. The synergetic effect increased significantly with R_1 elevated from 0/10 to 4/6. When R_1 was fixed at 4/6, the maximum synergetic effect was obtained (30.33 wt.%).

The point (R_1 0/10) shows the experiment results of pure HDPE liquefaction. After the reaction was completed, sheet-like deposits of unconverted polymer were observed in the autoclave. The yield of bio-oil was only 7.55 wt.%. In other words, almost of HDPE were not decomposed. The point (R_1 10/0) summarized the results of pure *Spirulina* liquefaction. The bio-oil yield was up to 43.59 wt.%, and the liquefaction conversion yield was as high as 82.64 wt.%, indicating that *Spirulina* was decomposed easily in the given experiment conditions. Therefore, during the co-liquefaction process, *Spirulina* would be decomposed prior to HDPE. Degradation products of *Spirulina* may play a role in promoting the decomposition of HDPE. However, the bio-oil yields and the synergetic effects decreased with further increasing R_1 , implying that the rational R_1 was about 4/6.

As mentioned in Section 3.1, the co-liquefaction process may be divided into two stages. In the first stage, *Spirulina* was decomposed firstly at the lower temperatures. Meanwhile, the thermal stability of HDPE was influenced by the degradation products of *Spirulina* and hence HDPE began to decompose. In the second phase, the amount of HDPE became to be the main factor influencing the co-liquefaction process. The possible reason for explaining this point may be that HDPE was a hydrogen donor during the co-liquefaction reactions. The active hydrogen could stabilize the free radicals formed during decomposition of *Spirulina* and hence prevent the condensation reactions of free radicals [24].

3.3. Effect of (Spirulina + HDPE)/ethanol ratio (R_2)

The effect of (*Spirulina* + HDPE)/ethanol ratio (R_2) on the products' yields was investigated at 613 K with R_1 5/5 and R_3 2/10. Specific results are listed in Fig. 6. The oil yield increased from 32.56 to 51.20 wt.% when R_2 was increased from 0.6/10 to 1/10. However, further increasing R_2 to 1.4/10 led to a significant decrease in the bio-oil yield. An opposite trend was observed for the yield of residue.

The major functions of solvent during liquefaction process were decomposing raw feedstock materials and providing active hydrogen by hydride transfer of their α -hydrogen through a so-called "hydrogen shuttling" mechanism [29]. Increasing R_2 implied that the amount of liquefaction feedstock was increased. But the relative amount of liquefaction solvent was reduced. In other words, the



Fig. 6. Effect of (Spirulina + HDPE)/ethanol ratio (R₂) on the product yields.

functions of solvent (dissolving and stabilization) were relatively weakened. Therefore, the yield of bio-oil would be reduced when R_2 exceeded the optimum point. In the other hand, excessive solvent (at the lower R_2) may serve to keep the decomposition intermediates from *Spirulina* and HDPE in proximity of each other for longer period of time and deactivate the thermally excited molecules through collisions [30]. Therefore, a lower yield of bio-oil was obtained at lower R_2 below the critical value. Similar results have been also found by Bookcock et al. [31].

3.4. Effect of the solvent filling ratio (R_3)

The solvent filling ratio (R_3) was defined as the ratio of the volume of ethanol solvent (mL) to that of the autoclave (1000 mL). The effect of R_3 on the co-liquefaction characteristics of *Spirulina* and HDPE is depicted in Fig. 7. The data presented in this figure were obtained from the experimental runs at 613 K with R_1 5/5, R_2 1/10 and different R_3 . According to Fig. 7, the yield of bio-oil was improved from 33.01 to 51.02 wt.% with R_3 increasing from 1.2/10 to 2/10. After then, a declining trend was followed when R_3 further increased from 2/10 to 2.8/10. As regard to the yield of residue, a decline trend was observed firstly, and then a rising phenomenon appeared. It was also found that R_3 had a positive correlation with final reaction



Fig. 7. Effect of solvent filling ratio (R_3) on the product yields.

pressures. The final reaction pressures increased approximately two times when R_3 was promoted from 1.2/10 to 2.8/10.

There were several reasons for explaining the fact that the yield of bio-oil increased with the increment of R_3 . (1) The extraction activity between the decomposition materials and ethanol may also be enhanced with increasing reaction pressures [32]; (2) The prompting pressure in reaction system could reduce the condensation, cyclization and re-polymerization of the intermediates and liquid product [5]; (3) the higher the system pressure during liquefaction, the less liquid components would be gasified [33].

However, further increasing R_3 from 2/10 to 2.8/10 led to a decrease in the bio-oil yield. Large amounts of deposits with a smooth surface were found in the experimental runs at higher R_3 (2.4/10 and 2.8/10). Due to the high viscosity of HDPE, adhesion between Spirulina and HDPE might impede the heat and mass transfer during the co-liquefaction process. As clearly shown in Fig. 8, the morphology of the liquefaction residues obtained at 613 K with different R_3 (1.2/10, 2.0/10 and 2.8/10) was obviously different. At a low R_3 (1.2/10), the size of the liquefaction residue (Fig. 8a, b) was much smaller than that of the liquefaction residues at higher R_3 (Fig. 8c-f). It indicated that the mixture of Spirulina and HDPE was cracked into small irregular fragments during liquefaction. As regards the liquefaction residue obtained at a higher R_3 (2/10), a bridge-like structure bonding the small fragments was observed (Fig. 8c, d). Further increasing R_3 to 2.8/10, HDPE adhered to the interface of Spirulina forming an almost continuous matrix. This compact structure with limited pore space significantly impeded heating and mass transfer. Therefore, a lower bio-oil yield was obtained with further increasing R_3 to 2.8/10.

Attentions should also be paid to the fact that when R_3 was 1.2/10, the final reaction pressure was 5.9 MPa. Therefore, the experiment was conducted under subcritical conditions (critical point of ethanol: temperature 516 K, pressure 6.37 MPa). The yield of bio-oil in subcritical condition was lower than those in supercritical conditions. This may be due to the advantage of super-critical fluids. Super-critical fluids not only possessed unique transport properties (gas-like diffusivity and liquid-like density), but also had complete miscibility with the liquid/vapor products from the processes, providing a single-phase environment for reactions that would otherwise occur in a multiphase system under conventional conditions [34].

3.5. Characterizations of liquid products (bio-oil)

3.5.1. Elemental analysis

Table 3 presents the elemental compositions of bio-oils obtained from different liquefaction runs at 613 K with R_2 1/10, R_3 2/10 and different R_1 (0/10, 5/5 and 10/0). As expected, the bio-oils obtained from pure plastic liquefaction runs ($R_1 = 0/10$) were enriched in H and C, accompanied with a high caloric value (51.02 MJ/kg). In the *Spirulina*/HDPE co-liquefaction runs ($R_1 = 5/5$), the bio-oils contained higher H content and lower O concentration in comparison to those in the pure *Spirulina* runs ($R_1 = 10/0$). As shown in Table 2, the content of H in HDPE was high to 14.2 wt.%, while that in *Spirulina* was only 8.5 wt.%. Therefore, the higher H content in bio-oil from *Spirulina*/HDPE mixture may be due to hydrogen matching reactions between *Spirulina* and HDPE during co-liquefaction process.

Moreover, the O/C ratio decreased from 0.11 to 0.04 when HDPE was added to pure *Spirulina* liquefaction process. The greatly reduced oxygen content in the bi-oil could be mainly attributed to two reasons including (1) a hydrogen-donor process of the combination of oxygen in *Spirulina* with hydrogen in HDPE to produce water (2) formation of CO/CO_2 through decarboxylation reactions were promoted during the co-liquefaction process [32, 35]. Heating values of the obtained bio-oils were remarkably promoted with the percent of HDPE in the mixture increasing. In the case of co-liquefaction of *Spirulina* and HDPE, the heating value of the oil was 48.35 MJ/kg, significantly higher than that of pure *Spirulina*-derived bio-oil.



Fig. 8. SEM of liquefaction residues obtained at 613 K with different R_3 (1.2/10, 2.0/10 and 2.8/10).

3.5.2. FT-IR analysis

The FT-IR spectra of bio-oils obtained from liquefaction of pure *Spirulina*, pure HDPE and *Spirulina*/HDPE mixture (5/5) are presented in Fig. 9 and the analysis results are summarized in Table 4. For the pure *Spirulina*-derived bio-oil, the broad vibration at around

Table 3

Elemental analyses and calorific values of bio-oils from liquefaction of pure *Spirulina* (R_1 10/0), pure HDPE (R_1 0/10) and *Spirulina*/HDPE mixture (R_1 5/5) at 613 K with R_2 1/10 and R_3 2/10.

Sample	Weight fraction (wt.%)					0/C	H/C	Heating value	
	С	Н	0 ^a	Ν	S	ratio	ratio	(MJ/kg)	
Pure Spirulina	63.96	17.04	7.12	9.87	2.01	0.11	0.27	35.69	
Spirulina/HDPE	67.97	20.20	3.04	7.16	1.64	0.04	0.30	48.35	
Pure HDPE	72.25	26.07	0.66	0.17	0.86	0.01	0.36	51.02	

^a By difference.

3253 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to O–H stretching vibrations indicating the presence of phenols or alcohols. The strong signals observed at 1730–1700 cm⁻¹ were typical for the C=O stretching vibration. Their appearance may be connected with the presence of aliphatic carboxylic acids. Moreover, weak signals at 2950–2800 cm⁻¹ and 1460–1350 cm⁻¹ were assigned to alkyl groups. Meanwhile, strong signals were observed at 770–735 cm⁻¹, corresponding to aromatic compounds and their substituted derivatives.

The mixing of HDPE and *Spirul*ina intensely changed the spectra of bio-oils. Characteristic vibrational modes originated from alcohols disappeared. The distribution of functional groups in bio-oil from co-liquefaction of *Spirul*ina/HDPE mixture was similar to that in bio-oil from pure HDPE liquefaction, in which a wide range of intensive signals corresponding to hydrocarbons was detected. The C-H stretching vibrations at 2950–2850 cm⁻¹ and 995–905 cm⁻¹, the C-H bending vibrations at 1465–1375 cm⁻¹ and the CH₂ rocking vibrations at 720 cm⁻¹ were indicative for long aliphatic hydrocarbons.



Fig. 9. FT-IR spectra of bio-oils.

Meanwhile, the absorption band between 1675 and 1575 cm⁻¹ and the weak band at around 3075 cm⁻¹, representing the C=C and C=C-H stretching vibrations, respectively, were indicative for internal alkenes and aromatics [36]. Additionally, alkynes may exist in the bio-oil from pure HDPE liquefaction proved by the vibration at 650– 600 cm⁻¹.

3.5.3. GC-MS analysis

GC-MS analysis results of the bio-oils obtained from liquefaction of pure *Spirul*ina, pure HDPE and *Spirul*ina/HDPE mixture (5/5) based on peak areas are listed in Table 5. The total ion chromatograms of bio-oils are presented in Fig. 10. It was clearly shown that the composition of liquefaction feedstock obviously affected the components of bio-oils.

The bio-oil derived from pure *Spirul*ina mainly consisted of oxygen containing compounds including fatty acids, fatty acid esters, and ketones etc. Octadecanoic acid (RT = 27.39 min) was the most abundant compound, according to the maximum peak area (41.23%). Meanwhile, nitriles and nitrogen heterocycles (for example, pyrroles and

Table 4

FT-IR analysis results of bio-oils from liquefaction of pure *Spirulina* (R_1 10/0), pure HDPE (R_1 0/10) and *Spirulina*/HDPE mixture (R_1 5/5) at 613 K with R_2 1/10 and R_3 2/10.

Functional group	Wave number (cm ⁻¹)	Spirulina	Spirulina/ HDPE	HDPE
Alkvl				
C–H stretching	2950-2800	w	+	+
CH ₂ bending	~1460	+	+	+
CH ₃ bending	~1375	W	+	+
CH ₂ bending(four or more)	~720	_	+	+
Alkenyl				
=C−H stretching	3100-3010	—	+	+
C=C stretching	1690-1630	—	+	+
C–H bending	~990, ~970, ~910	-	+	+
Alkynyl	650 600			
≡C-H bending	650-600	_	_	+
Aromatic				
Aromatic Substitution in aromatic ring	770 725			
Substitution in aromatic ring	//0-/55	Ŧ	_	—
Carboxylic acids				
C=0 stretching	1730-1700	+	_	_
e o stretening	1750 1700	I		
Alcohols, phenols				
O–H stretching	3600-2400	b	_	_

b: broad signal. w: weak peak.

Table 5

Organic compounds identified in bio-oils from liquefaction of pure Spirulina (R_1 10/0), pure HDPE (R_1 0/10) and Spirulina/HDPE mixture (R_1 5/5) at 613 K with R_2 1/10 and R_3 2/10.

No.	Compound	Samples		
		Spirulina	Spirulina/ HDPE	HDPE
1	Pyrrole,3-ethyl-2,4,5-trimethyl-	0	Δ	Δ
2	Benzenepropanoic acid, ethyl ester	•	Δ	Δ
3	Pyrrolidine,1-(7-oxo-2,4,6-	0	Δ	Δ
	trimethylheptanoyl)-			
4	Benzonitrile, 2,4,6-trimethyl-	0	Δ	Δ
5	Heneicosane	•	Δ	Δ
6	Hexadecanenitrile	•	Δ	Δ
7	Ethyl-9-hexadecenoate	•	Δ	Δ
8	Octadecanoic acid	•	•	Δ
9	Linoleic acid ethyl ester	•	Δ	Δ
10	Ethyl oleate	•	Δ	Δ
11	Heptadecanoic acid, 15-methyl-, ethyl ester	•	Δ	Δ
12	Androstan(A)-3-formoxy-11-ol-17-one	0	Δ	Δ
13	Phenol, 3-methyl-	Δ	0	Δ
14	4-(2,5-dihydro-3-methoxyphenyl)butylamine	Δ	0	Δ
15	Oleic acid	Δ	0	Δ
16	Geranyl isovalerate	Δ	•	Δ
17	1-Nonadecene	Δ	•	•
18	Docosane	Δ	•	•
19	Tetradecane, 2,6,10-trimethyl-	Δ	•	Δ
20	1-Eicosylene	Δ	•	Δ
21	Pentacosane	Δ	•	0
22	Pentadecane, 8-hexyl-	Δ	•	•
23	Heptacosane	Δ	•	0
24	1-Docosene	Δ	0	•
25	Nonacosane	Δ	•	0
26	Dotriacontane	Δ	•	•
27	Pentatriacontane	Δ	•	Δ
28	Cyclotridecane	Δ	Δ	0
29	Nonadecane	Δ	Δ	•
30	9-Nonadecene	Δ	Δ	•
31	Eicosane	Δ	Δ	•
32	10-Heneicosene	Δ	Δ	•
33	Tetracosane	Δ	Δ	•
34	9-Octadecyne	Δ	Δ	•
35	Phenol nonyl-	Δ	Δ	0
36	Octacosane	Δ	Δ	•
37	Z-1 2-pentacosene	Δ	Δ	0
38	1-docosanol	Δ	Δ	0
39	Heneicosane,11-(1-ethylpropyl)-	Δ	Δ	0
40	Erucic acid	Δ	Δ	0
41	17-pentatriacontene	Δ	Δ	0

• Peak area beyond 2% of total area.

O Peak area between 1 and 2% of total area.

 Δ Peak area less than 1% of total area.

pydines) had also been observed. The presence of a large amount of oxygen and nitrogen containing compounds may be ascribed to the decomposition of protein, the main component of *Spirul*ina biomass, through decarboxylation and deamination reactions during liquefaction [37]. Lots of ethyl esters were produced mainly attributed to the esterification between organic acid and solvent ethanol.

As regards the bio-oil derived from HDPE, wide spectrum of hydrocarbon fragments was present, which included saturated and unsaturated aliphatic hydrocarbons. Now that all the C-C bonds in the HDPE chain were equivalent, HDPE was expected to show random bond scission along the chain, resulting in producing a distribution of aliphatic hydrocarbons of varying length [16, 30].

For the bio-oil from co-liquefaction of *Spirulina*/HDPE mixture, high content of aliphatic hydrocarbons was also detected. Compared with the bio-oil from pure microalgae, the amount of oxygen-containing compounds significantly decreased. The peak area belonging to octadecanoic acid declined to 11.76%. Moreover, the fatty acid esters disappeared when *Spirul*ina was blended with HDPE. In other words, addition of HDPE could reduce the formation of oxygen-containing compounds, and favor the formation of hydrocarbons.



Fig. 10. Total ion chromatograms of bio-oils.





The chemical compositions of the bio-oil derived from Spirulina/ HDPE co-liquefaction were similar to that from pure HDPE liquefaction, which indicated that a significant number of HDPE had been thermally decomposed with the addition of microalgae at 613 K. This supported the above hypothesis mentioned in Section 3.1 that the introduction of Spirulina could enhance the susceptibility toward thermal degradation of HDPE and lower the reaction temperature required for HDPE decomposition. Among the hydrocarbons detected in the bio-oil derived from co-liquefaction of Spirulina/HDPE mixture, a large proportion of saturated compounds were included. Meanwhile, higher content of unsaturated hydrocarbons was detected in the bio-oil from pure HDPE. It may be supposed that large amounts of unsaturated products from HDPE decomposition reacted with degradation products of Spirulina to the formation of saturated compounds. Moreover, there was a wide range of molecules present in the bio-oil from Spirulina/HDPE co-liquefaction. This indicated that some secondary reactions between the decomposing products took place during the co-liquefaction processes.

4. Conclusions

During co-liquefaction process, the decompositions of *Spirul*ina and HDPE were mutually enhanced. Firstly, the presence of *Spirul*ina during the co-liquefaction process makes the degradation conditions of HDPE milder. At 613 K, large amounts of HDPE were converted. Secondly, the quality of the bio-oil derived from *Spirul*ina could be improved for the high content of H in HDPE. The bio-oil from *Spirul*ina/HDPE co-liquefaction had higher C and H content but a lower O content, resulting a higher caloric value (48.35 MJ/kg). In addition, the chemical compositions of the bio-oil from liquefaction of *Spirul*ina/HDPE mixture were similar to that from pure HDPE liquefaction, in which aliphatic hydrocarbons dominated. The microfabric features of liquefaction residues were likely to be influenced by the solvent filling ratio. At higher solvent filling ratio, the "compact" microfabric with limited pore space was formed, which may impede heating and mass transfer during the co-liquefaction process.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Key Project for Science and Technology Research, Ministry of Education of China (No. 108100), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 50978087), and Hunan Provincial Innovation Foundation for Postgraduate (CX2009B078).

References

- S.P. Zou, Y.L. Wu, M.D. Yang, C. Li, J.M. Tong, Pyrolysis characteristics and kinetics of the marine microalgae *Dunaliella tertiolecta* using thermogravimetric analyzer, Bioresource Technology 101 (2010) 359–365.
- [2] A. Demirbas, M.F. Demirbas, Importance of algae oil as a source of biodiesel, Energy Conversion and Management 52 (2011) 163–170.
- [3] P. Biller, A.B. Ross, Potential yields and properties of oil from the hydrothermal liquefaction of microalgae with different biochemical content, Bioresource Technology 102 (2011) 215–225.
- [4] S.P. Zou, Y.L. Wu, M.D. Yang, I. Kaleem, C. Li, J.M. Tong, Production and characterization of bio-oil from hydrothermal liquefaction of microalgae *Dunaliella tertiolecta* cake, Energy 35 (2010) 5406–5411.
- [5] H.J. Huang, X.Z. Yuan, G.M. Zeng, J.Y. Wang, H. Li, C.F. Zhou, X.K. Pei, Q. You, L. Chen, Thermochemical liquefaction characteristics of microalgae in sub- and supercritical ethanol, Fuel Processing Technology 92 (2011) 147–153.
- [6] A.B. Ross, P. Biller, M.L. Kubacki, H. Li, A. Lea-Langton, J.M. Jones, Hydrothermal processing of microalgae using alkali and organic acids, Fuel 89 (2010) 2234–2243.
- [7] W.M. Peng, Q.Y. Wu, P.G. Tu, N.M. Zhao, Pyrolytic characteristics of microalgae as renewable energy source determined by thermogravimetric analysis, Bioresource Technology 80 (2001) 1–7.

- [8] S.P. Zou, Y.L. Wu, M.D. Yang, I. Kaleem, C. Li, J.M. Tong, Pyrolysis characteristics and kinetics of the marine microalgae *Dunaliella tertiolecta* using thermogravimetric analyzer, Bioresource Technology 101 (2010) 359–365.
- [9] A.K. Panda, R.K. Singh, D.K. Mishra, Thermolysis of waste plastics to liquid fuel: a suitable method for plastic waste management and manufacture of value added products—a world prospective, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 14 (2010) 233–248.
- [10] C.F. Wu, P.T. Williams, Pyrolysis-gasification of plastics, mixed plastics and realworld plastic waste with and without Ni-Mg-Al catalyst, Fuel 89 (2010) 3022–3032.
- [11] S.H. Shah, Z.M. Khan, I.A. Raja, Q. Mahmooda, Z.A. Bhatti, J. Khana, A. Farooq, N. Rashida, D.L. Wu, Low temperature conversion of plastic waste into light hydrocarbons, Journal of Hazardous Materials 179 (2010) 15–20.
- [12] B. Singh, N. Sharma, Mechanistic implications of plastic degradation, Polymer Degradation and Stability 93 (2008) 561–584.
- [13] L. Wang, P. Chen, Development of first-stage co-liquefaction of Chinese coal with waste plastics, Chemical Engineering and Processing 43 (2004) 145–148.
- [14] K.H. Lee, Thermal and catalytic degradation of pyrolytic oil from pyrolysis of municipal plastic wastes, Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 85 (2009) 372–379.
- [15] M.N. Siddiqui, H.H. Redhwi, Pyrolysis of mixed plastics for the recovery of useful products, Fuel Processing Technology 90 (2009) 545–552.
- [16] P.T. Williams, E. Slaney, Analysis of products from the pyrolysis and liquefaction of single plastics and waste plastic mixtures, Resources, Conservation and Recycling 51 (2007) 754–769.
- [17] V.I. Sharypov, N. Marin, N.G. Beregovtsova, S.V. Baryshnikov, B.N. Kuznetsov, V.L. Cebolla, J.V. Weber, Co-pyrolysis of wood biomass and synthetic polymer mixtures. Part I: influence of experimental conditions on the evolution of solids, liquids and gases, Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 64 (2002) 15–28.
- [18] M. Brebu, S. Ucar, C. Vasile, J. Yanik, Co-pyrolysis of pine cone with synthetic polymers, Fuel 89 (2010) 1911–1918.
- [19] L.M. Zhou, Y.P. Wang, Q.W. Huang, J.Q. Cai, Thermogravimetric characteristics and kinetic of plastic and biomass blends co-pyrolysis, Fuel Processing Technology 87 (2006) 963–969.
- [20] A. Demirbas, Pyrolysis of municipal plastic waste for recovery of gasoline-range hydrocarbons, Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 72 (2004) 97–102.
- [21] F.J. Mastral, E. Esperanza, P. García, M. Juste, Pyrolysis of high-density polyethylene in a fluidised bed reactor: influence of the temperature and residence time, Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 63 (2002) 1–15.
- [22] E. Jakab, G. Várhegyi, O. Faix, Thermal decomposition of polypropylene in the presence of wood-derived materials, Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 56 (2000) 273–285.

- [23] H.S. Yang, M.P. Wolcott, H.S. Kim, H.J. Kim, Thermal properties of lignocellulosic filler-thermoplastic polymer bio-composites, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 82 (2005) 157–160.
- [24] X.Z. Yuan, H.T. Cao, H. Li, G.M. Zeng, J.Y. Tong, L.H. Wang, Quantitative and qualitative analysis of products formed during co-liquefaction of biomass and synthetic polymer mixtures in sub- and supercritical water, Fuel Processing Technology 90 (2009) 428–434.
- [25] İ. Çit, A. Sinağ, A.T. Tekeş, P. Acar, Z. Mısırlıoğlu, M. Canel, Effect of polymers on lignite pyrolysis, Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 80 (2007) 195–202.
- [26] P. Rutkowski, A. Kubacki, Influence of polystyrene addition to cellulose on chemical structure and properties of bio-oil obtained during pyrolysis, Energy Conversion and Management 47 (2006) 716–731.
- [27] R.K. Owusu-Apenten, Food Protein Analysis: Quantitative Effects on Processing, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2002.
- [28] T. Minowa, S. Yokoyama, M. Kishimoto, T. Okakura, Oil production from algal cells of *Dunaliella tertiolecta* by direct thermochemical liquefaction, Fuel 74 (1995) 1735–1738.
- [29] C.B. Xu, T. Etcheverry, Hydro-liquefaction of woody biomass in sub- and supercritical ethanol with iron-based catalysts, Fuel 87 (2008) 335–345.
- [30] K.S. Rothenberger, A.V. Cugini, Investigation of first-stage liquefaction of coal with model plastic waste mixtures, Energy and Fuels 11 (1997) 849–855.
- [31] D.G.B. Boocock, K.M. Sherman, Further aspects of powdered poplar wood liquefaction by aqueous pyrolysis, Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering 63 (2009) 627–633.
- [32] H. Li, X.Z. Yuan, G.M. Zeng, D.L. Huang, H.J. Huang, J.Y. Tong, Q. You, J.C. Zhang, M. Zhou, The formation of bio-oil from sludge by deoxy-liquefaction in supercritical ethanol, Bioresource Technology 101 (2010) 2860–2866.
- [33] F. Behrendt, Y. Neubauer, M. Oevermann, B. Wilmes, N. Zobel, Direct liquefaction of biomass, Chemical Engineering and Technology 31 (2008) 667–677.
- [34] P.E. Savage, Organic chemical reactions in supercritical water, Chemical Reviews 99 (1999) 603–621.
- [35] S.N. Xiu, A. Shahbazi, C.W. Wallace, L.J. Wang, D. Cheng, Enhanced bio-oil production from swine manure co-liquefaction with crude glycerol, Energy Conversion and Management 52 (2011) 1004–1009.
- [36] K. Smets, P. Adriaensens, G. Reggers, S. Schreurs, R. Carleer, J. Yperman, Flash pyrolysis of rapeseed cake: influence of temperature on the yield and the characteristics of the pyrolysis liquid, Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 90 (2011) 118–125.
- [37] S.S. Toor, L. Rosendahl, A. Rudolf, Hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass: a review of subcritical water technologies, Energy 36 (2011) 2328–2342.