# Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology Using nanomaterials to facilitate the phytoremediation of contaminated soil --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	CREST-D-18-00205R1
Full Title:	Using nanomaterials to facilitate the phytoremediation of contaminated soil
Article Type:	Review (of Previously Published Material)
Keywords:	nanomaterial; phytoremediation; soil contamination
Corresponding Author:	Guangming Zeng Hunan University changsha, CHINA
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Hunan University
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	. 6
First Author:	Biao Song
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Biao Song
	Piao Xu
	Ming Chen
	Wangwang Tang
	Guangming Zeng
	Jilai Gong
	Peng Zhang
	Shuing t
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	Solvoolution has been an environmental problem drawing worldwide attention. Phy oremediation is a good and highly accepted method for treating contaminated soil. Numerous studies have been performed to enhance the phytoremediation efficiency by various approaches. The development of nanotechnology provides an effective alternative method. This article reviews recent advances in using nanomaterials to facilitate the phytoremediation of contaminated soil. Nanomaterials can function in the phytoremediation system through directly removing pollutants, promoting plant growth, and increasing pollutant phytoavailability. Phytoextraction is the most effective and recognized phytoremediation strategy for remedying contaminated soil. Nanoscale zero-valent iron is the most studied nanomaterials for facilitating the phytoremediation due to its successful engineering applications in treating contaminated soil and groundwater. Fullerene nanoparticles can increase the phytoavailability of pollutant. In general, using nanomaterials to facilitate the phytoremediation of contaminated soil can be an effective strategy, but it is still in the phase of exploration and attempt. The experience from more application systems needs further research.

1	Using nanomaterials to facilitate the phytoremediation of contaminated soil
2	
3	Biao Song <sup>a,b,1</sup> , Piao Xu <sup>a,b,1</sup> , Ming Chen <sup>a,b,1</sup> , Wangwang Tang <sup>a,b,1</sup> , Guangming Zeng <sup>a,b,*</sup> , Jilai
4	Gong <sup>a,b,*</sup> , Peng Zhang <sup>a,b</sup> , Shujing Ye <sup>a,b</sup>
5	
6	<sup>a</sup> College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha 410082,
7	PR China
8	<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Environmental Biology and Pollution Convol (Hunan University),
9	Ministry of Education, Changsha 410082, PR China
10	× `
11	* Corresponding authors at: College of Environmental science and Engineering, Hunan
12	University, Changsha 410082, PR China.
13	E-mail addresses: zgming@hnu.edu.cn (G.Zong), jilaigong@hnu.edu.cn (J. Gong).
14	
15	<sup>1</sup> These authors considured equally to this article.
16	X -

17 Abstract

Soil pollution has been an environmental problem drawing worldwide attention. 18 19 Phytoremediation is a good and highly accepted method for treating contaminated soil. 20 Numerous studies have been performed to enhance the phytoremediation efficiency 21 by various approaches. The development of nanotechnology provides an effective 22 alternative method. This article reviews recent advances in using nanomaterials to 23 facilitate the phytoremediation of contaminated soil. Nanomaterials can function in the phytoremediation system through directly removing polluan 24 promoting plant growth, and increasing pollutant phytoavailability. Phy 25 stion is the most effective and recognized phytoremediation strategy r remedying contaminated soil. 26 Nanoscale zero-valent iron is the most studied nanomaterials for facilitating the 27 phytoremediation due to its successful engineering applications in treating 28 ullerene nanoparticles can increase the contaminated soil and groun 29 phytoavailability of polluant. In general, using nanomaterials to facilitate the 30 am nated soil can be an effective strategy, but it is still in the 31 phytoremediation cophase of exploration and attempt. The experience from more application cases is 32 33 required and the long-term performance of nanomaterials in phytoremediation systems needs further research. 34

35

Keywords: nanomaterial; phytoavailability; phytoremediation; phytotoxicity; plant
 growth; soil contamination

38

39 **1. Introduction** 

Soil is very precious to humans. It performs many important functions including 40 41 life support, food production, carbon storage, water purification, and biodiversity conservation (Blum, 2005; Amundson et al., 2015). Sustainable development of 42 43 human society requires safe and healthy soil. However, our soil is under serious threat. 44 Over the past decades, increasing accumulation of toxic metals/metalloids and 45 persistent organic pollutants in soil has been found due to rapid industrialization and various human activities (e.g., industrial waste discharge 46 irrigation, and improper use of chemical pesticides and fertilizers). These nces are harmful to 47 human health and ecological safety (Cachada et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014). Therefore, 48 the remediation of contaminated soil is necessiry 49

50 Many technologies have been developed to abate soil pollution (Chen et al., 2015; popular bioremediation method. Compared Song et al., 2017a). Phytoremed 51 ytor mediation is of low cost, simple operation, aesthetic with some other methods, p 52 bility, little destruction to the soil structure, and high public 53 appearance, wide dapi acceptance (Macek et al., 2000; Glick, 2003; Gong et al., 2018b). Since 1980s, 54 55 phytoremediation has been greatly developed and widely used in field studies at sites contaminated with heavy metals, radionuclides, crude oil, pesticides, explosives, and 56 chlorinated solvents (Sharma and Pandey, 2014; Dubchak and Bondar, 2019). 57 Successful field cases of phytoremediation have been extensively documented 58 59 worldwide and the global phytoremediation market is broad (Gerhardt et al., 2017; Thijs et al., 2017). In China, widespread use of phytoremediation began in 1990s. 60

This green technology has been applied to the remediation of arable land 61 contaminated with heavy metals, metalloids, and pesticides (Tang, 2007; Tang and Mo, 62 63 2007). For example, many phytoremediation projects that use Pteris vittata for arsenic extraction from contaminated soil have been successfully implemented in Hunan, 64 65 Yunnan, Henan, Hebei, Guangxi, and Beijing in China (Chen et al., 2018). However, phytoremediation usually needs long time (several years), and its application is 66 limited by weather conditions, soil quality, and pollutant phytotoxicity. In order to 67 improve the phytoremediation efficiency, many strategies 68 ployed, such as agronomic management, treatment with chemical 69 inoculation of rhizospheric microorganisms, and use of genetic engineering (Gerhardt et al., 2017). 70 Development of nanotechnology brings inspiration and ideas to the 71 nev phytoremediation of contaminated soil. (Ong et al., 2018a). Many studies have 72 ls in plant systems. Ghormade et al. (2011) reported the benefits of using na 73 naromaterials in plant protection and nutrition, and studied the applications d 74 ould help with the delivery of pesticides and fertilizers, 75 reported that name aate the detection of plan disease and pollutants, and the protection of soil structure. In a 76 77 review of nanomaterials in plant protection and fertilization, it was introduced that 40% of all contributions deal with carbon-based nanomaterials including carbon 78 nanotubes, liposomes, and organic polymers, followed by titanium dioxide, silver, 79 80 silica, and alumina nanomaterials (Gogos et al., 2012). Over the past decade, many 81 nano-enabled patents and products have been developed to control plant disease and increase crop yield, such as nanopesticides, nanofertilizers, and nanosensors (Servin et 82

al., 2015). Recently, some studies that aim at using nanomaterials to improve the phytoremediation efficiency have been conducted. This article reviews recent advances in using nanomaterials to facilitate the phytoremediation of contaminated soil. The review mainly focuses on how the applied nanomaterials function in the phytoremediation. Interactions between pollutants and plants in the presence of nanomaterials are analyzed. Additionally, the challenges of using nanomaterials in phytoremediation are discussed for identifying future research needs.

90

## 91 **2.** Phytoremediation of contaminated soil



Phytoremediation uses plants to remove, debtade, or contain pollutants in
environmental media (water, air, sediment, and obil). Phytoremediation technologies
used for soil pollution include phytovolatilization, phytoextraction, phytodegradation,
phytostabilization, and rhizodegradation (Figure 1).

Phytovolatilization (Figure (A)) is the absorption and transpiration of pollutants 96 bso<sup>,</sup> bed by plants, some pollutants can be transformed into by plants. After 97 volatile forms or degraded into volatile products. These volatile substances are then 98 99 transported to leaves and transpired. Arsenic and mercury are commonly studied in 100 phytovolatilization because these inorganic pollutants have volatile forms and can be biologically transformed into gaseous species by plants (Sakakibara et al., 2010). 101 Some volatile organic compounds (e.g., trichloroethylene) can be removed through 102 volatilizing from leaves and stems or from soil induced by plant root activities 103 (Doucette et al., 2013; Limmer and Burken, 2016). Phytoextraction (Figure 1B) is the 104

105 accumulation of pollutants in the overground part of plant. It is a translocation process of pollutants, and the pollutants do not undergo transformation but are stored in plant 106 107 shoots after being taken in by the roots. Through harvesting the overground part, these accumulated pollutants are removed from the site and can be further treated or 108 109 recycled. Phytoextraction is the most effective and recognized phytoremediation 110 strategy for abating soil pollution (Figure 2). This strategy is usually conducted with hyperaccumulators that can accumulate specific pollutant to a level that is 100 times 111 greater than that for most plants (Reeves et al., 2018). Indian nue 112 d and sunflower are widely used plant species for phytoextraction. They att 113 archers because of large biomass, rapid growth, and excellent accuration capacity for various 114 pollutants (Shaheen and Rinklebe, 2015). Phylodegradation (Figure 1C) is the 115 breakdown of organic pollutants by metabolic processes in plant tissues. Organic 116 s. Through the internal metabolic processes, pollutants can be taken in by the 117 the pollutants are broken down in o simple molecules that can be incorporated into the 118 catalyze the degradation of organic pollutants by generating 119 plant tissues. Plan car various enzymes why specific functions, such as nitroreductase, peroxidases, and 120 121 dehalogenase (Lee, 2013). Specific degradation pathways in various plant species are different and have not been fully understood. Phytostabilization (Figure 1D) is the 122 in-situ immobilization of pollutants by plant roots. This process takes place in 123 rhizosphere, but not in the plant body. Through adsorption onto plant roots and 124 125 precipitation (or complexation) within rhizosphere, pollutant mobility can be reduced, thereby decreasing the possibility of entering ground water or food chain. 126

Phytostabilization is effective for treating toxic metals (Jadia and Fulekar, 2009). 127 However, pollutants are not actually removed from the soil by phytostabilization, 128 which is the major disadvantage of this technique. Rhizodegradation (Figure 1E) is 129 breakdown of organic pollutants within rhizosphere by rhizospheric 130 the microorganisms. It is a plant-assisted process. The microorganisms play a dominant 131 132 role in degrading the pollutants by their metabolic processes. The plant roots loosen the soil, provide attachment surface for microbial growth, and exude enzymes, amino 133 acids, saccharides, and other compounds that can stimulate the 134 robial metabolic activity. Since the interaction of roots and rhizospheric mid 135 axisms is vital to the success of rhizodegradation, some grasses that e extensive root systems are 136 usually used, such as ryegrass and tall fescue (Lip) ar., 2011). 137

Although phytoremediation has many advantages in treating contaminated soil, the final remediation efficiency is shally limited by plant species, pollutant bioavailability, soil characteristics, and weather. The primary disadvantage of phytoremediation is the long remediation time and it is only effective seasonally. Considering the limiting factors and disadvantages of phytoremediation, strategies are needed to facilitate it for practical applications.

144

### 145 **3.** Applications of nanomaterials in the phytoremediation of contaminated soil

With the development of nanotechnology, nanomaterials for environmental remediation and pollution abatement are drawing increasing attention of environmental researchers. Nanomaterials are a kind of material with nanoscale size (1–100 nm) in at least one dimension (Tang et al., 2014). Among the nanomaterials, carbon-based nanomaterials and metal-based nanomaterials are widely studied (Gong et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2017). Many field applications of engineered nanomaterials for the remediation of soil and groundwater have been successfully carried out in Europe and USA (Mueller and Nowack, 2010). Recently, some studies reported the applications of nanomaterials in the phytoremediation of contaminated soil. It is promising to incorporating nanomaterials into conventional phytoremediation

156

systems.

157

158 3.1. Removing pollutants by phytoremediation assisted with nanomaterials

Some examples of phytoremediation assisted with anomaterials are displayed in
Table 1. Most of these studies were conducted for the removal of heavy metals.
Nanoscale zero-valent iron (nZVD) is most used for facilitating phytoremediation.
More details are introduced as follows.

163

164 3.1.1. Nanomaterial-facilitated phytoremediation for removal of heavy metals

Heavy metal pollution in soil is a serious problem worldwide and poses a significant threat to food safety and human health. Phytoremediation is widely used for in-situ remediation of soil contaminated with heavy metals (Song et al., 2017a). It has been reported that the phytoremediation of soil contaminated with cadmium, chromium, lead, nickel, and zinc could be enhanced by applying nanomaterials (Tripathi et al., 2015; Khan and Bano, 2016; Singh and Lee, 2016; Liang et al., 2017b; 171 Vítková et al., 2018). Lead and cadmium are the most studied heavy metals since the
172 two metals are the most common in contaminated sites.

173 Lead is a common industrial metal that is widely used in storage batteries, gasoline additives, ammunition, and solder, but it is also a well-known soil 174 contaminant bringing great health risks (Yu et al., 2001). Phytoextraction is the most 175 176 recognized phytoremediation technique used to remove lead from contaminated soil (Ali et al., 2013). In experimental research, ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) is typically 177 used for phytoextraction of Pb from contaminated soil due to 178 relatively rapid growth, high tolerance to Pb, and low cost. Using nanon al has been reported 179 180 effective in promoting Pb phytoextraction efficiency v ryegrass. For example, Liang et al. (2017b) studied the effects of applying mano-hydroxyapatite on the Pb 181 phytoextraction by ryegrass, and determined the remediation efficiency after 1, 1.5, 2, 182 ts showed that the addition of 0.2% (w/w) 3, and 12 months. The experim 183 nano-hydroxyapatite significantly increased the accumulation of Pb in the overground 184 ont is. In the control group with only ryegrass, the removal 185 part of plant after rates of Pb in the oil ranged from 16.74% to 31.76%. With the assistance of 186 187 nano-hydroxyapatite, ryegrass removed over 30% of Pb in the soil after one month. The removal rate reached 44.39% after three months, and such a treatment time was 188 considered most effective compared with a removal rate of 46.55% after 12 months. 189 In a study by Huang et al. (2018), nZVI particles of various concentrations (0, 100, 190 191 200, 500, 1000, and 2000 mg/kg) were added to assist Pb phytoextraction by ryegrass. After a treatment of 45 days, the authors observed that low concentrations of nZVI 192

193 (100, 200, and 500 mg/kg) could enhance the Pb accumulation in ryegrass. The 194 maximum accumulation of Pb was 1175.40  $\mu$ g per pot and obtained with nZVI 195 particles of 100 mg/kg. However, the nZVI particles of high concentrations (1000 and 196 2000 mg/kg) caused severe oxidative stress in the plant, thus decreasing the Pb 197 accumulation.

198 Cadmium is a toxic metal commonly released into the soil from various industrial processes and products such as mining, smelting, electroplating, storage 199 batteries, color pigments, and phosphate fertilizers (Godt et al., 2006 Mahabadi et al., 200 2007). Using hyperaccumulators to extract Cd from control 201 and soil is the main phytoremediation strategy, but available Cd hyperacumulator species are limited in 202 amount and capacity (Kirkham, 2006). Some nationaterials have been demonstrated 203 to enhance the phytoextraction of Cd in sil Singh and Lee (2016) reported the 204 Cd accumulation in soybean plants. The positive effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanopa 205 authors added TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles of 100, 200, and 300 mg/kg to the soil, and analyzed 206 the accumulation and astribution of Cd in plants on the 60<sup>th</sup> day after sowing. With 207 the assistance of Tio nanoparticles, the Cd accumulation in the shoots increased by 208 209 about 1.9, 2.1, and 2.6 times, while the Cd accumulation in the roots increased by 2.5, 2.6, and 3.3 times, respectively. The maximum accumulation of Cd reached 1534.7 210 mg/g with TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles of 300 mg/kg. In a study of Cd phytoextraction by 211 ramie, it was reported that applying nZVI particles could improve the 212 phytoremediation efficiency (Gong et al., 2017). In this study, the authors added 213 starch-stabilized nZVI particles of 100, 500, and 1000 mg/kg to the contaminated 214

sediment before planting the ramie seedlings. The experimental results showed that the addition of nZVI particles increased the Cd accumulation in the roots, stems, and leaves by 16-50%, 29-52%, and 31-73%, respectively.

218

## 219 3.1.2. Nanomaterial-facilitated phytoremediation for removal of arsenic

220 Arsenic is a metalloid widely found in the environment. Due to the high toxicity and carcinogenicity of arsenic, a growing concern has been raised about the soil 221 arsenic contamination resulting from the widespread use of arsenic-containing 222 pesticides, herbicides, phosphate fertilizers, and wood pres as well as related 223 industrial activities (Singh et al., 2015). Phytoextication and phytostabilization are 224 two main phytoremediation strategies used to arsenic contamination. Plants 225 ۵Û can absorb arsenic via three separate systems: active uptake by the symplast; passive 226 uptake by the apoplast; and dire ular transport from the environment to the 227 plant vascular system (Vi hanage et al., 2012). Thus, phytoextraction has been 228 preferentially used as a effective remediation method for soil arsenic contamination 229 (Lei et al., 2018). Suri et al. (2017) reported that using salicylic acid nanoparticles 230 could improve the arsenic phytoextraction by Isatis cappadocica. The authors 231 incorporated salicylic acid nanoparticles into the arsenic phytoextraction system based 232 233 on the consideration that salicylic acid plays important roles in plant growth and arsenic tolerance. In their experiments, the plant seedlings were pretreated with 250 234 µM of salicylic acid nanoparticles for 10 days before the arsenic phytoextraction. 235 236 With the assistance of salicylic acid nanoparticles, not only the plant growth but also

the phytoremediation efficiency increased markedly. Maximum arsenic accumulation 237 in the shoot and root reached 705 and 1188 mg/kg, respectively. Recent study by 238 239 Vítková et al. (2018) demonstrated that applying nZVI particles had a positive effect on the arsenic stabilization in sunflower rhizosphere. In this study, nZVI particles of 240 241 1% (w/w) were added to the contaminated soil before the sunflowers were planted. 242 After the growth period of five weeks, the arsenic concentrations in soil pore water decreased by over 80% compared to the control (from 5.55 to 0.95 mg/L), and the 243 arsenic accumulation in the plant roots and shoots decreas d 47% and 24%, 244 respectively. These results increased the application potent 245 ing nZVI particles in phytostabilization systems. 246

247

3.1.3. Nanomaterial-facilitated phytoremediation for removal of organic pollutants 248 in soil and mainly emitted from anthropogenic Organic pollutants are wide 249 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, chlorinated sources. Organochlorine pisticides, 250 hydrocarbons, polychloginated biphenyls, phenols, and their derivatives are common 251 organic pollutants is soil, and it is crucial to remove these pollutants due to their 252 and bioaccumulation 253 toxicity, persistence, (Jones and de Voogt, 1999). Phytoremediation has been an effective approach for remedying soil contaminated 254 255 with organic pollutants, especially pesticides, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, petroleum, and explosives (Kang, 2014). It has been observed that applying 256 nanomaterials enhanced the phytoremediation of soil contaminated 257 with 258 trichloroethylene, endosulfan, and trinitrotoluene (Ma and Wang, 2010; Jiamjitrpanich

et al., 2012; Pillai and Kottekottil, 2016). Ma and Wang (2010) reported that fullerene 259 nanoparticles could increase the uptake of trichloroethylene by eastern cottonwood in 260 261 the phytoremediation system. The uptake of trichloroethylene increased by 26% and 82% after applying fullerene nanoparticles of 2 and 15 mg/L, respectively. 262 Simultaneously, the addition of fullerene nanoparticles did not cause any acute 263 toxicity to the plants. In the study of removing trinitrotoluene from contaminated soil 264 by Panicum maximum, Jiamjitrpanich et al. (2012) added nZVI particles of various 265 concentrations (100, 500, and 1000 mg/kg) to the soil and otter 266 ned the residual trinitrotoluene concentrations in soil during a remediation 267 of 120 days. The results indicated that applying nZVI particles and effectively improve the 268 phytoremediation efficiency. In their experiments 269 the best removal performance was observed with a trinitrotoluene-nZVI ratio 0.1/10, and the phytoremediation process 270 he initial trinitrotoluene concentration was could be finished within 60 day 271 ottel ottil (2016) used nZVI particles to assist the 272 100 mg/kg. Pillai and il ontaminated with endosulfan. The experiments were 273 phytoremediation conducted with three plant species, Alpinia calcarata, Ocimum sanctum, and 274 Cymbopogon citratus, in the presence or absence of nZVI particles. With the 275 assistance of nZVI particles, the removal rates of endosulfan from soil were increased 276 from 81.2% to 100%, from 20.76% to 76.28%, and from 65.08% to 86.16% for A. 277 calcarata, O. sanctum, and C. citratus, respectively. 278

279

13

#### 280 *3.2. Roles of nanomaterials in phytoremediation*

The system of nanomaterial-assisted phytoremediation consists of three primary 281 282 parts: plants, pollutants, and nanomaterials. On the one hand, nanomaterials can improve phytoremediation by directly acting on the pollutants and plants. On the other 283 hand, the applied nanomaterials may be involved in the interactions between the 284 pollutants and plants, indirectly affecting the final remediation efficiency. Based on 285 this, the following section discusses how the applied nanomaterials function in the 286 phytoremediation from three aspects: direct pollutant removal by nanomaterials, 287 promoting plant growth, and increasing phytoavailability tants. A schematic 288 diagram is presented in Figure 3. 289

290

291 3.2.1. Direct pollutant removal by nanomagrial

to remove pollutants directly from the soil in 292 Many nanomaterials are able phytoremediation system, y ces the burden of removing pollutants by plants. 11Ch 293 Nanomaterials can function brough adsorption or redox reactions for direct pollutant 294 removal (Mueller and Nowack, 2010). For example, pollutants can be immobilized 295 296 through adsorption by carbon nanotubes. This is similar to phytostabilization. It has been demonstrated that carbon nanotubes have excellent adsorption capacity towards 297 298 various pollutants, especially some hydrophobic organic pollutants (Song et al., 2017b; Kang et al., 2018). Carbon nanotubes may stabilize organic pollutants through the 299 interactions of electrostatic attraction, hydrophobic interaction, and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  bonding, 300 301 while the interactions between carbon nanotubes and heavy metals involve

302 complexation, electrostatic attraction, physical adsorption, and surface precipitation (Song et al., 2018). Multiple interactions may coexist in the adsorption process, which 303 304 makes the combination of carbon nanotubes and pollutants relatively stable. As for removing pollutants through redox reactions, nZVI is the most studied. Generally, 305 306 nZVI can be used as an electron donor for reductive degradation or stabilization of pollutants. Many studies used nZVI for reductive dechlorination of chlorinated 307 organic pollutants (e.g., polychlorinated biphenyls and organochlorine pesticides) and 308 for reductive transformation of toxic metals with high valence e.g r(VI) and U(VI)) 309 (Di Palma et al., 2015; El-Temsah et al., 2016; Huang et 6). Apart from the 310 reducing capacity, nZVI can also function through adsorbing inorganic ions and 311 al., 2000; Li et al., 2018). Other coprecipitating with them (e.g., As(III)) (Lackov 312 313 nanomaterials that are widely studied for recoving pollutants from the contaminated containing bimetallic nanoparticles, natural soil include iron oxide nanoparti 314 mineral nanoparticles, phosphate based nanoparticles, etc (Long et al., 2011; Liu and 315 2aXu et al., 2012b; Trujillo-Reyes et al., 2014; Wan et al., 316 Lal. 2012: Xu et 2018). Using engineered nanomaterials for remediation of contaminated soil is still a 317 318 focus point of environmental research these years. Table 2 summarizes some recently reported studies about the utilization of engineered nanomaterials for the remediation 319 of contaminated soil. It is theoretically possible for all these nanomaterials to be 320 involved in phytoremediation. 321

322 Initial pollutant concentration significantly influences the phytoremediation 323 efficiency. Plants are generally more effective in accumulating and metabolizing

pollutants with relatively low concentration (Li et al., 2015). Too high concentration 324 of pollutant, especially a level over the tolerance limit of the used plant, will have 325 326 obvious phytotoxicity towards plant and result in a decrease of plant biomass and pollutant accumulation (Liu et al., 2010a). Generally, a plant can only accumulate or 327 328 be tolerant to a certain pollutant within a certain concentration range. Other coexisting 329 pollutants or extremely high concentration of the targeted pollutant may cause failure of the phytoremediation. Thus, some strategies for mitigating phytotoxicity during 330 phytoremediation are proposed (Figure 4). Nanomaterials can ire 331 remove part of pollutants in phytoremediation systems, which reduces phy 332 y resulted from the stress of high concentration pollutants. Chai et al 2013) investigated the effect of 333 carbon nanotubes on Cd accumulation in mg cordgrass. According to their 334 experimental results, carbon nanotubes did not cause phytotoxicity under low Cd 335 e plants from growth inhibition under high concentration (50 mg/kg), but p 336 Cd concentration (200 mg/kg) Through further analyzing the ion contents of 337  $(Na^{+})$ , and calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>), the authors demonstrated that 338 potassium  $(K^+)$ , diun carbon nanotubes could mitigate phytotoxicity of Cd by increasing  $K^+$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  for 339 340 osmotic adjustment. In the study using nano-hydroxyapatite and nano-carbon black to promote Pb phytoextraction by ryegrass, the nanomaterials alleviated the 341 phytotoxicity of Pb in soil through adsorbing and stabilizing the pollutant (Liang et al., 342 2017b). Though the accumulation amount of Pb in the plant roots decreased in the 343 first month, the final phytoremediation efficiency increased after 12 months due to the 344 reduced phytotoxicity. Additionally, the phytoremediation efficiency within a single 345

growing season is limited, and it may take several years (even decades) to completely 346 remove pollutants of high concentrations by plant alone. Using nanomaterials to 347 348 directly remove part of pollutants can reduce the burden of removing pollutants by plants and shorten the remediation time. Jiamjitrpanich et al. (2012) used nZVI 349 350 particles for enhancing the phytoremediation of trinitrotoluene-contaminated soil with panicum. In their study, the remediation experiments were conducted with or without 351 plants, respectively. Based on the experimental results, nZVI particles can directly 352 remove a considerable amount of trinitrotoluene. When only 353 g the plant for remediation, it took more than 120 days to completely rem 354 nitrotoluene of 500 mg/kg in the soil. With the assistance of nZVL he phytoremediation time was 355 shortened to 90 days. Similar effects were a served by Pillai and Kottekottil 356 lso (2016). They applied nZVI particles in the phytoremediation of soil contaminated 357 ide). The used nZVI particles can directly with endosulfan (an organochlo 358 remove 34.96% endosulfation in the soil through reductive dechlorination, which 359 significantly enh ytoremediation efficiency within a remediation period of 360 361 28 days.

362

363 *3.2.2. Promoting plant growth* 

Plant biomass and growth rate are two important considerations in choosing plant species for phytoremediation. Many applied plants are often not satisfactory due to their low plant biomass and slow growth rate resulting from limited tolerance to pollutants and poor soil conditions for plant growth. Therefore, some strategies are

used in phytoremediation processes to promote plant growth, such as inoculating plant 368 growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), applying plant growth regulators, and using 369 370 transgenic plants (Ma et al., 2016b; Aderholt et al., 2017; Nahar et al., 2017; Yadu et 371 al., 2018). Research on nanomaterials and plants has shown that some nanomaterials 372 could enhance plant growth, such as graphene quantum dots, carbon nanotubes, Ag 373 nanoparticles, ZnO nanoparticles, nZVI particles, and upconversion nanoparticles (Table 3). The mechanisms of these nanomaterials in promoting plant growth are 374 different. For example, Chakravarty et al. (2015) considered bat 375 phene quantum dots might serve as nanofertilizer and pesticide to enh growth rates of 376 Coriandrum sativam and Allium sativum, while Khowkovskaya et al. (2013) reported 377 that carbon nanotubes could activate the plant ep ducuve system, thus leading to the 378 379 enhancement of tomato growth.

omaterials can increase the remediation 380 In phytoremediation sys ant frowth. Direct pollutant removal by nanomaterials efficiency by promoting p 381 ity which is beneficial to plant growth. In addition, 382 reduces the physical tox nanomaterials may act on plants to increase the tolerance to pollutants. For reducing 383 384 the phytotoxicity of Cd and Pb towards white popinac in phytoremediation, zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles were used as physiological regulators of the plants by Praveen et 385 al. (2018). It was inferred from the experimental results that ZnO nanoparticles 386 increased the plant tolerance through regulating the genetic expression of enzymes. 387 Similarly, Tripathi et al. (2015) reported their study on alleviating Cr(VI) 388 phytotoxicity towards pea by using silicon nanoparticles. The applied nanoparticles 389

390 promoted the plant tolerance to Cr(VI) stress, which was demonstrated by a resulting low level of reactive oxygen species and an enhancement in antioxidant activities and 391 392 photosynthetic performance. Apart from alleviating pollutant phytotoxicity, 393 nanomaterials may increase plant growth in phytoremediation systems by facilitating absorption of water and nutrients, enhancing photosynthetic rate, regulating soil 394 microbial community, as well as alleviating abiotic stress (e.g., high salinity and 395 drought). Ding et al. (2017) applied nano-hydroxyapatite to assist removal of lead by 396 ryegrass, and the plant growth was promoted, resulting in enhance 397 hytoremediation efficiency. The authors explained it by the increased phose 398 oncentration in soil after the addition of nano-hydroxyapatite. Souri al. (2017) used salicylic acid 399 nanoparticles to increase the absorption and relization rate of nutrients, which 400 increased the plant biomass (fresh weight of Isatis cappadocica in the arsenic 401 toextraction process by soybean, TiO<sub>2</sub> phytoextraction system. In 402 nanoparticles were reported to be helpful in promoting plant growth by enhancing the 403 ch and Lee, 2016). According to their results, Cd uptake 404 photosynthetic r increased with TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, and the authors proposed a possible mechanism 405 406 that the small TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles can enter the chloroplasts and accelerate light adaptation and electron transfer. Recent research by Timmusk et al. (2018) showed 407 that TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles could improve the performance of PGPR. In their experiments, 408 the rhizobacteria performed better under all abiotic stress (drought, pathogen, and salt), 409 and resulted in an increase of the plant biomass. The experience from these cases is 410 valuable for using nanomaterials to promote plant growth in phytoremediation 411

412 systems.

413

#### 414 3.2.3. Increasing phytoavailability of pollutants

Phytoavailability of pollutants is a key factor that affects phytoremediation 415 efficiency, especially for phytoextraction. Plants only absorb pollutants in available 416 forms. The phytoavailability of pollutants strongly depends on their chemical 417 speciation and distribution in soil. For example, in a study about the phytoavailability 418 of cadmium in different binding forms, it was shown that the cadmium adsorbed on 419 gibbsite is the most available to reed compared with othe minerals (alumina, 420 goethite, magnetite, and manganese oxide) in soil (Mang et al., 2009). Generally, the 421 highest phytoavailability of metals is in exclan forms (dissolved in the soil 422 solution), then in combined forms with maerals, oxides, and organic matters, and 423 , 2016; Liang et al., 2017a). Additionally, 424 lowest in crystalline phase (She soil physicochemical properties and plant physiological characteristics also affect the 425 phytoavailability of pullutants (Sheoran et al., 2016; Ren et al., 2018). Low 426 427 phytoavailability often limits the phytoremediation process. For example, lead usually exists in insoluble forms in soil due to adsorption, complexation, and precipitation, 428 which makes it difficult for phytoextraction (Zaier et al., 2014). Therefore, many 429 430 methods have been proposed to increase pollutant phytoavailability, including agronomic management (e.g., fertilization), treatment with chemical additives (e.g., 431 chelating agent), inoculation of rhizospheric microorganisms, and use of genetic 432 433 engineering (Glick, 2010; Habiba et al., 2015; Jacobs et al., 2018). Increasing 434 phytoavailability of pollutants has been proven effective in improving the435 phytoremediation efficiency.

436 Nanomaterials have two divergent influences on the phytoavailability of pollutants in soil (Table 4). On the one hand, nanomaterials can serve as a carrier of 437 438 pollutants when they enter the cell, thereby increasing the bioavailability (Wild and 439 Jones, 2009; Su et al., 2013). On the other hand, adsorption of pollutants onto nanomaterials outside organism may reduce the free pollutants, thereby decreasing the 440 bioavailability (Glomstad et al., 2016). Based on this, two key co 441 itions need to be met for improving the phytoavailability of pollutants with more 442 naterials: (1) The used nanomaterial can combine with the pollutant (main through adsorption); (2) The 443 nanomaterial is phytoavailable. The fuller ne hanoparticles are widely studied 444 nanoparticles that can increase the phytoavalability of pollutant. Ma and Wang (2010) 445 phytoremediation system with eastern used C<sub>60</sub> fullerene nanopartic 446 cottonwood for facilitating the removal of trichloroethylene from contaminated soil. 447 t fullerenes enhanced the trichloroethylene uptake by plant. 448 Their results show authors explained the experimental phenomenon by co-transporting 449 The trichloroethylene with fullerene nanoparticles. The adsorbed trichloroethylene on 450 fullerene entered the plant along with the uptake of nanoparticles. Torre-Roche et al. 451 (2012) demonstrated the enhancement of dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (p, p'-DDE)452 accumulation in zucchini, soybean, and tomato in the presence of  $C_{60}$  fullerene. The 453 454 addition of fullerene increased all plant uptake of the pollutant by 30% to 65%, and the effect was especially evident in roots. Additionally, it has been demonstrated that 455

456	some other nanomaterials, such as short carbon nanotubes, Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles, TiO <sub>2</sub>
457	nanoparticles, silica nanoparticles, and quantum dots, could be directly taken in by
458	plants (Wang et al., 2016a). This enables more nanomaterials to be used for increasing
459	the phytoavailability of pollutants in phytoremediation systems in future research.

460

## 461 *3.3. Phytoremediation efficiency*

For evaluating phytoremediation efficiency, residual pollutant amount in soil (for 462 phytovolatilization, phytoextraction, phytodegradation, rhizodegradation), 463 pollutant amount in bioavailable and high toxic forms tostabilization), or 464 pollutant accumulation in plant tissue (for phytoextection) is often determined after 465 remediation treatment (Song et al., 2017a). luating the phytoremediation 466 ٦Ŋ efficiency with nanomaterials, an experimental group without nanomaterials is usually 467 468 used as control, so that the be ects of nanomaterials on the remediation efficiency entified. In the summarized examples of 469 can be clearly nanomaterial-facilitated phy premediation (Table 1), the residual amount of pollutant 470 471 soil (RAS), cumulated amount of pollutant in plant tissue (AAP), in bioconcentration factor (BCF), translocation factor (TF), and remediation factor (RF) 472 are used to quantify the phytoremediation efficiency. For organic pollutants, the RAS 473 474 is reliable to reflect the enhanced degradation efficiency by using nanomaterials. A typical example can be found in the article by Pillai and Kottekottil (2016). The 475 authors conducted their experiments with three control groups: without any treatment, 476 477 with addition of nZVI, and with only phytoremediation. The phytoremediation

treatment assisted with nZVI was implemented in the experimental group. Both the 478 values of RAS in the control and experimental group were measured after 0, 7, 14, 21, 479 480 and 28 days. By comparing the RAS results, it is clear that the nZVI increased phytoremediation efficiency. For heavy metals or arsenic, the nanomaterial-induced 481 482 changes of AAP are generally measured. The AAP is expressed as the pollutant 483 concentration in root, shoot, or whole plant. For example, Souri et al. (2017) measured the arsenic concentration in root and shoot of *Isatis cappadocica* after the 484 phytoremediation with salicylic acid nanoparticles, and found the 485 the nanoparticles increased the arsenic concentration in root but showed no 486 nt influence on the arsenic accumulation in shoot. The BCF, TF, **RF** are used for evaluating 487 phytoextraction processes. The calculation me for e as follows: 488

489 BCF =  $C_{\text{plant}}/C_{\text{soil}}$ 

- 490 TF =  $C_{\text{shoots}}/C_{\text{roots}}$
- 491  $\text{RF} = C_{\text{plant}}/C_{\text{soil}} \times W_{\text{plant}}/W_{\text{so}}$

where  $C_{\text{shoots}}$ ,  $C_{\text{rot}}$ and  $C_{\text{soil}}$  are pollutant concentrations in the plant shoots, the 492 plant roots, the harvested plant biomass, and the soil, respectively.  $W_{\text{plant}}$  is weight of 493 494 the harvested plant biomass and  $W_{soil}$  is weight of the soil. The value of BCF is used to divide the plant as excluder (BCF < 1), accumulator (1 < BCF < 10), or 495 hyperaccumulator (BCF > 10) (Lam et al., 2018). The value of TF indicates the plant 496 capacity to translocate pollutant to the harvestable part, and the RF is calculated to 497 498 evaluate the phytoextraction capacity for soil remediation (Ali et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2017b; Moameri and Abbasi Khalaki, 2017). Liang et al. (2017b) determined the 499

500 efficiency of Pb phytoextraction assisted with nano-hydroxyapatite, and found that the nanoparticles could significantly increase the BCF and TF after a treatment of 2 501 502 months. However, in the phytoremediation study by Moameri and Abbasi Khalaki (2017), the nano-silica of 500 mg/kg increased the BCF of Cd in rye but decreased the 503 504 TF. The authors further calculated the RF value, and found no significant difference 505 compared with the control due to the decrease of plant growth and biomass. This case suggests that the RF is more suitable for evaluating the phytoremediation efficiency as 506 it involves the changes of both pollutant and plant during 507 hytoremediation 508 assisted with nanomaterials.

509

510 *3.4. Challenges and recommendations* 

Using nanomaterials to facilitate phytoemediation is an emerging idea appeared 511 5Ch along with the development of hology and bioremediation technology. It 512 faces many challenges in plactical applications. Environmental risk of nanomaterials 513 in the soil ecosystem is the post concerned problem. Many nanomaterials are toxic to 514 515 animals, plants, and microbial communities in the soil (Maurer-Jones et al., 2013). The phytotoxicity of nanomaterials is especially concerned in phytoremediation. 516 517 Therefore, on the one hand, more research on environmental risk of nanomaterials is needed to fully understand the toxicity. On the other hand, using nanomaterials in 518 phytoremediation needs to be regulated to take maximum advantage of them but 519 520 minimize their risk. Currently, using nanomaterials in phytoremediation is in the 521 phase of exploration and attempt, though many positive results have been obtained.

Experience from more application cases is required and long-term performance of the 522 nanomaterials needs further research. Based on the currently known cases of 523 524 phytoremediation assisted with nanomaterials, nZVI is mostly studied. Compared with other nanomaterials, using nZVI to facilitate the phytoremediation of 525 contaminated soil has certain advantages. Firstly, field-scale commercial applications 526 of nZVI have already implemented for soil remediation (Mueller and Nowack, 2010). 527 Much successful experience can be used in the phytoremediation. Additionally, the 528 high reactivity and controllable phytotoxicity of nZVI ma 529 re a successful phytoremediation (Terzi et al., 2016; Gil-Díaz and Lobo, 2 wever, in a review 530 article by Crane and Scott, it was reported that VI might suffer from particle 531 aggregation, oxidation corrosion, and interface nom soil components in their 532 applications (Crane and Scott, 2012). Thus, it is important to design the structure of 533 nanomaterials and fully understa sons and conditions that cause the failure of 534 phyteremediation. Moreover, specific responses of 535 using nanomaterials in plant species, pollutants, soil types, and weather conditions 536 nanomaterials to ffere in phytoremediation systems should be further investigated for general applicability. 537 538 Other methods including agronomic management, treatment with chemical additives, inoculation of rhizospheric microorganisms, and use of genetic engineering may be 539 incorporated into the phytoremediation assisted with nanomaterials to regulate the 540 performance of nanomaterials and further improve the remediation efficiency. 541

542

25

### 543 **4.** Concluding remarks

Phytoremediation is a green biotechnology with many competitive advantages in 544 treating contaminated soil, yet the long remediation time, changeable weather 545 conditions, and phytotoxicity of high concentration pollutants limit its extensive use. 546 547 Considerable effort has been made in order to increase the phytoremediation 548 efficiency. Over the past few years, using nanomaterials in phytoremediation has shown great prospect for enhancing the remediation efficiency. This article reviews 549 the latest research and knowledge on using nanomaterals 550 facilitate the phytoremediation of contaminated soil. Nanomaterials 551 a able of removing pollutants, promoting plant growth, and increasing ollutant phytoavailability, thus 552 facilitating the phytoremediation of contaminated on. Currently, using nanomaterials 553 in phytoremediation is in the phase of exploration and attempt but provides an 554 alternative means to enhance ph ation efficiency. More work is needed to be 555 done to further confirm these findings and advance the knowledge. 556 557

### 558 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China
(51378190, 51508177, 51521006, 51579095, 51709101), the Program for Changjiang
Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (IRT-13R17), China
Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2017M610498).

563



#### 564 **References**

- 565 Aderholt, M., Vogelien, D.L., Koether, M., Greipsson, S., (2017). Phytoextraction of
- 566 contaminated urban soils by *Panicum virgatum* L. enhanced with application of a
- 567 plant growth regulator (BAP) and citric acid. *Chemosphere*, 175, 85-96.
- Ali, H., Khan, E., Sajad, M.A., (2013). Phytoremediation of heavy metals—concepts
  and applications. *Chemosphere*, 91, 869-881.
- 570 Amundson, R., Berhe, A.A., Hopmans, J.W., Olson, C., Sztein, A.E., Sparks, D.L.,
- 571 (2015). Soil and human security in the 21st century. *Scie*, ce, 048, 1261071.
- 572 Blum, W.E.H., (2005). Functions of soil for society and the environment. *Reviews in*
- 573 Environmental Science and Bio/Technology, 4, 75-
- 574 Cachada, A., Pato, P., Rocha-Santos, T., Ferrira du Silva, E., Duarte, A.C., (2012).
- 575 Levels, sources and potential human hearth risks of organic pollutants in urban 576 soils. *Science of the Total Elvironment*, 430, 184-192.
- 577 Cai, F., Wu, X., Zhang, H., then X., Zhang, M., Chen, W., Gao, Q., White, J.C., Tao,
- 578 S., Wang, X, 2017. Impact of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on lead uptake and
- 579 bioaccumulation in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *NanoImpact*, 5, 101-108.
- 580 Chai, M., Shi, F., Li, R., Liu, L., Liu, Y., Liu, F., (2013). Interactive effects of
- 581 cadmium and carbon nanotubes on the growth and metal accumulation in a
- halophyte *Spartina alterniflora* (Poaceae). *Plant Growth Regulation*, 71, 171-179.
- 583 Chakravarty, D., Erande, M.B., Late, D.J., (2015). Graphene quantum dots as
  584 enhanced plant growth regulators: effects on coriander and garlic plants. *Journal*
- 585 *of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 95, 2772-2778.

- Chen, M., Xu, P., Zeng, G., Yang, C., Huang, D., Zhang, J., (2015). Bioremediation of 586 soils contaminated with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, petroleum, pesticides, 587 chlorophenols and heavy metals by composting: Applications, microbes and 588 future research needs. Biotechnology Advances, 33, 745-755. 589 Chen, M., Zeng, G., Xu, P., Zhang, Y., Jiang, D., Zhou, S., (2017). Understanding 590 591 enzymatic degradation of single-walled carbon nanotubes triggered by functionalization using molecular dynamics simulation. Environmental Science: 592 Nano, 4, 720-727. 593 Chen, T., Lei, M., Wan, X., Yang, J., Zhou, X., (2018). 594 **I**yperaccumulator Pteris vittata L. and Its Application to the Field Luo, Y., Tu, C. (Eds.). Twenty 595 Years of Research and Development on Soil ution and Remediation in China. 596 Springer Singapore, Singapore, pp. 465 597 C., Chen, C., Huang, R., Xu, X., (2014). Chen, X., Yao, X., Yu, C., Su 598 Hydrodechlorination o polychlorinated biphenyls in contaminated soil from an 599 using nanoscale zerovalent iron and Pd/Fe bimetallic 600 e-waste reci nanoparticles. Expression in the second seco 601 602 Crane, R.A., Scott, T.B., (2012). Nanoscale zero-valent iron: Future prospects for an emerging water treatment technology. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 211-212, 603
- 604 112-125.
- Das, P., Barua, S., Sarkar, S., Karak, N., Bhattacharyya, P., Raza, N., Kim, K.H.,
  Bhattacharya, S.S., (2018). Plant extract–mediated green silver nanoparticles:
- 607 Efficacy as soil conditioner and plant growth promoter. Journal of Hazardous

608

- *Materials*, 346, 62-72.
- 609 De La Torre-Roche, R., Hawthorne, J., Deng, Y., Xing, B., Cai, W., Newman, L.A.,
- 610 Wang, Q., Ma, X., Hamdi, H., White, J.C., (2013a). Multiwalled carbon
- 611 nanotubes and  $C_{60}$  fullerenes differentially impact the accumulation of weathered
- 612 pesticides in four agricultural plants. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 47,
- 613 12539-12547.
- 614 De La Torre-Roche, R., Hawthorne, J., Musante, C., Xing, B., Newman, L.A., Ma, X.,
- 615 White, J.C., (2013b). Impact of Ag nanoparticle exposes on p,p'-DDE
- 616 bioaccumulation by *Cucurbita pepo* (Zucchini) and Sixthe max (Soybean).
- 617 Environmental Science & Technology, 47, 718-2
- Deng, Y., Eitzer, B., White, J.C., Xing, B (2017). Impact of multiwall carbon
   nanotubes on the accumulation and distribution of carbamazepine in collard
- 620 greens (Brassica oleracea). *Invironmental Science: Nano*, 4, 149-159.
- Di Palma, L., Gueye, M., Petrucci, E., (2015). Hexavalent chromium reduction in
  contaminated coil. A comparison between ferrous sulphate and nanoscale
  zero-valent iron. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 281, 70-76.
- Ding, L., Li, J., Liu, W., Zuo, Q., Liang, S., (2017). Influence of nano-hydroxyapatite
- on the metal bioavailability, plant metal accumulation and root exudates of
- 626 ryegrass for phytoremediation in lead-polluted soil. International Journal of
- 627 Environmental Research and Public Health, 14, 532.
- 628 Doucette, W., Klein, H., Chard, J., Dupont, R., Plaehn, W., Bugbee, B., (2013).
- 629 Volatilization of trichloroethylene from trees and soil: measurement and scaling

- 630 approaches. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 47, 5813-5820.
- 631 Dubchak, S., Bondar, O., (2019). Bioremediation and Phytoremediation: Best
- 632 Approach for Rehabilitation of Soils for Future Use. in: Gupta, D.K., Voronina, A.
- 633 (Eds.). Remediation Measures for Radioactively Contaminated Areas. Springer
- International Publishing, Cham, pp. 201-221.
- Ehsan, S., Ali, S., Noureen, S., Mahmood, K., Farid, M., Ishaque, W., Shakoor, M.B.,

636 Rizwan, M., (2014). Citric acid assisted phytoremediation of cadmium by

637 Brassica napus L. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safet, 105, 164-172.

- El-Temsah, Y.S., Sevcu, A., Bobcikova, K., Cernik, M., eatr, E.J., (2016). DDT
  degradation efficiency and ecotoxicological effects of two types of nano-sized
- zero-valent iron (nZVI) in water and soil. *Ch nosphere*, 144, 2221-2228.
- 641 Gerhardt, K.E., Gerwing, P.D., Greenberg, B.M., (2017). Opinion: Taking
  642 phytoremediation from proven technology to accepted practice. *Plant Science*,
- 643 256, 170-185.

Ghormade, V., Deshkand, M.V., Paknikar, K.M., (2011). Perspectives for
nano-biotechnology enabled protection and nutrition of plants. *Biotechnology Advances*, 29, 792-803.

647 Gil-Díaz, M., Lobo, M.C., (2018). Phytotoxicity of Nanoscale Zerovalent Iron (nZVI)

- 648 in Remediation Strategies. in: Faisal, M., Saquib, Q., Alatar, A.A., Al-Khedhairy,
- 649 A.A. (Eds.). Phytotoxicity of Nanoparticles. Springer International Publishing,
- 650 Cham, pp. 301-333.

651 Glick, B.R., (2003). Phytoremediation: synergistic use of plants and bacteria to clean

- up the environment. *Biotechnology Advances*, 21, 383-393.
- Glick, B.R., (2010). Using soil bacteria to facilitate phytoremediation. *Biotechnology Advances*, 28, 367-374.
- 655 Glomstad, B., Altin, D., Sørensen, L., Liu, J., Jenssen, B.M., Booth, A.M., (2016).
- 656 Carbon nanotube properties influence adsorption of phenanthrene and subsequent
- 657 bioavailability and toxicity to *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. Environmental
- 658 *Science & Technology*, 50, 2660-2668.
- 659 Godt, J., Scheidig, F., Grosse-Siestrup, C., Esche, V., Brandenberg, P., Reich, A.,
- 660 Groneberg, D.A., (2006). The toxicity of cadmium and resulting hazards for
- human health. *Journal of Occupational Medicips and Toxicology*, 1, 22.
- Gogos, A., Knauer, K., Bucheli, T.D., (2012) Nonomaterials in plant protection and
   fertilization: current state, foreseen applications, and research priorities. *Journal*
- 664 of Agricultural and Food Clemistry 50, 9781-9792.
- 665 Gong, J.L., Wang, B., Zeng G.M. Yang, C.P., Niu, C.G., Niu, Q.Y., Zhou, W.J., Liang,
- Y., (2009). monto cationic dyes from aqueous solution using magnetic
  multi-wall carbon nanotube nanocomposite as adsorbent. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 164, 1517-1522.
- 669 Gong, X., Huang, D., Liu, Y., Peng, Z., Zeng, G., Xu, P., Cheng, M., Wang, R., Wan, J.,
- 670 (2018a). Remediation of contaminated soils by biotechnology with nanomaterials:
- bio-behavior, applications, and perspectives. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology,
- 672 38, 455-468.
- 673 Gong, X., Huang, D., Liu, Y., Zeng, G., Wang, R., Wan, J., Zhang, C., Cheng, M., Qin,

- K., Xue, W., (2017). Stabilized nanoscale zerovalent iron mediated cadmium
  accumulation and oxidative damage of *Boehmeria nivea* (L.) Gaudich cultivated
  in cadmium contaminated sediments. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 51,
  11308-11316.
  Gong, X., Huang, D., Liu, Y., Zeng, G., Wang, R., Wei, J., Huang, C., Xu, P., Wan, J.,
  Zhang, C., (2018b). Pyrolysis and reutilization of plant residues after
- 680 phytoremediation of heavy metals contaminated sediments: For heavy metals 681 stabilization and dye adsorption. *Bioresource Technology*, 53, 64,71.
- 682 Guan, M.Y., Zhang, H.H., Pan, W., Jin, C.W., Lin, X.Y., 2018. Sulfide alleviates 683 cadmium toxicity in *Arabidopsis* plants by altring the chemical form and the
- subcellular distribution of cadmium. Science of the Total Environment, 627,
  685 663-670.
- Habiba, U., Ali, S., Farid, M., Sharror, M.B., Rizwan, M., Ibrahim, M., Abbasi, G.H.,
- Hayat, T., Ali, B., (2015). EDTA enhanced plant growth, antioxidant defense
  system, and phytoxtraction of copper by *Brassica napus* L. *Environmental Science and Polytion Research*, 22, 1534-1544.
- Hamdi, H., De La Torre-Roche, R., Hawthorne, J., White, J.C., (2015). Impact of
- 691 non-functionalized and amino-functionalized multiwall carbon nanotubes on
- 692 pesticide uptake by lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). *Nanotoxicology*, 9, 172-180.
- Hu, J., Guo, H., Li, J., Gan, Q., Wang, Y., Xing, B., (2017). Comparative impacts of
- 694 iron oxide nanoparticles and ferric ions on the growth of *Citrus maxima*.
- *Environmental Pollution*, 221, 199-208.

696	Huang, D., Qin, X., Peng, Z., Liu, Y., Gong, X., Zeng, G., Huang, C., Cheng, M., Xue,
697	W., Wang, X., Hu, Z., (2018). Nanoscale zero-valent iron assisted
698	phytoremediation of Pb in sediment: Impacts on metal accumulation and
699	antioxidative system of Lolium perenne. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety,
700	153, 229-237.

- Huang, D., Xue, W., Zeng, G., Wan, J., Chen, G., Huang, C., Zhang, C., Cheng, M.,
- Xu, P., (2016). Immobilization of Cd in river sediments by sodium alginate
  modified nanoscale zero-valent iron: Impact on enzyme activities and microbial
  community diversity. *Water Research*, 106, 15-25.
- 705 Jacobs, A., De Brabandere, L., Drouet, T., Sterbeman, T., Noret, N., (2018).
- 706 Phytoextraction of Cd and Zn with *located caerulescens* for urban soil 707 remediation: influence of nitrogen fertilization and planting density. *Ecological*
- 708 Engineering, 116, 178-187.
- 709 Jadia, C.D., Fulekar, M.L. (2009). Phytoremediation of

Jadia, C.D., Fulekar, M.L., (2009). Phytoremediation of heavy metals: Recent
techniques. *Assicanjournal of biotechnology*, 8, 921-928.

Jiamjitrpanich, W., Carkpian, P., Polprasert, C., Kosanlavit, R., (2012). Enhanced
 phytoremediation efficiency of TNT-contaminated soil by nanoscale zero valent

- iron. 2nd International Conference on Environment and Industrial Innovation.
- 714 *IACSIT Press, Singapore,*, vol. 35, pp. 82-86.
- 715 Jiang, H., Liu, J.K., Wang, J.D., Lu, Y., Zhang, M., Yang, X.H., Hong, D.J., (2014).
- The biotoxicity of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles to the plant growth. *Journal of*
- 717 *Hazardous Materials*, 270, 71-81.

- 718 Jin, Y., Liu, W., Li, X., Shen, S., Liang, S., Liu, C., Shan, L., (2016).
- 719 Nano-hydroxyapatite immobilized lead and enhanced plant growth of ryegrass in
- a contaminated soil. *Ecological Engineering*, 95, 25-29.
- Jones, K.C., de Voogt, P., (1999). Persistent organic pollutants (POPs): state of the
  science. *Environmental Pollution*, 100, 209-221.
- 723 Kang, J., Duan, X., Wang, C., Sun, H., Tan, X., Tade, M.O., Wang, S., (2018).
- Nitrogen-doped bamboo-like carbon nanotubes with Ni encapsulation for
  persulfate activation to remove emerging contaminants with scellent catalytic
  stability. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 332, 398-408.
- Kang, J.W., (2014). Removing environmental organic pollutants with bioremediation
  and phytoremediation. *Biotechnology Letters* 36, 1129-1139.
- 729 Kelsey, J.W., White, J.C., (2013). Effect  $OiC_{60}$  fullerenes on the accumulation of 730 weathered p,p'-DDE by pract and earthworm species under single and 731 multispecies conditions. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 32,
- 732 1117-1123. 733 Khan, N., Bano, A (2016). Role of
- Khan, N., Bano, A (2016). Role of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and
  Ag-nano particle in the bioremediation of heavy metals and maize growth under
  municipal wastewater irrigation. *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 18,
  211-221.
- Khodakovskaya, M.V., Kim, B.S., Kim, J.N., Alimohammadi, M., Dervishi, E.,
  Mustafa, T., Cernigla, C.E., (2013). Carbon nanotubes as plant growth regulators:
  effects on tomato growth, reproductive system, and soil microbial community.

740 Small, 9, 115-123.

- Kim, J.H., Lee, Y., Kim, E.J., Gu, S., Sohn, E.J., Seo, Y.S., An, H.J., Chang, Y.S., 741
- (2014). Exposure of iron nanoparticles to Arabidopsis thaliana enhances root 742
- elongation by triggering cell wall loosening. Environmental Science & 743
- Technology, 48, 3477-3485. 744
- 745 Kirkham, M.B., (2006). Cadmium in plants on polluted soils: Effects of soil factors, hyperaccumulation, and amendments. Geoderma, 137, 19-32. 746
- López-Moreno, M.L., Avilés, L.L., Pérez, N.G., Irizarry Perales, O., 747
- Cedeno-Mattei, Y., Román, F., (2016). Effect of 748 In ferrite  $(CoFe_2O_4)$
- nanoparticles on the growth and development of Lycopersicon lycopersicum 749
- (tomato plants). Science of the Total Environment 550, 45-52. 750
- Lackovic, J.A., Nikolaidis, N.P., Dobbs, G. (2000). Inorganic arsenic removal by 751 eering Science, 17, 29-39. zero-valent iron. Environme
- 752

Cá ovas, M., Montofré, Í.L., Keith, B.F., (2018). Lam, E.J., Gálvez, M.E. 753 dar live capacity of plant species in copper mine tailings in 754 Assessment Sthe arid and semiarit environments. Journal of Soils and Sediments, 18, 2203-2216. 755

- Lee, J.H., (2013). An overview of phytoremediation as a potentially promising 756 technology for environmental pollution control. Biotechnology and Bioprocess 757 Engineering, 18, 431-439. 758
- Lei, M., Wan, X., Guo, G., Yang, J., Chen, T., (2018). Phytoextraction of 759 arsenic-contaminated soil with Pteris vittata in Henan Province, China: 760 comprehensive evaluation of remediation efficiency correcting for atmospheric 761

762

depositions. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 25, 124-131.

- Li, H., Zhang, G.C., Xie, H.C., Li, K., Zhang, S.Y., (2015). The effects of the phenol
- 764 concentrations on photosynthetic parameters of *Salix babylonica* L.
  765 *Photosynthetica*, 53, 430-435.
- Li, Z., Ma, Z., van der Kuijp, T., Yuan, Z., Huang, L., (2014). A review of soil heavy
- 767 metal pollution from mines in China: Pollution and health risk assessment.
  768 *Science of the Total Environment*, 468-469, 843-853.
- 769 Li, Z., Wang, L., Meng, J., Liu, X., Xu, J., Wang, F., Brockes, P., (2018).
- 770 Zeolite-supported nanoscale zero-valent iron: new inclusion on simultaneous
- adsorption of Cd (II), Pb (II), and As (III) in accurate solution and soil. *Journal of*
- 772 *Hazardous Materials*, 344, 1-11.
- 1773 Liang, C., Xiao, H., Hu, Z., Zhang, X., Hu, J., (2018). Uptake, transportation, and
- accumulation of  $C_{60}$  fullerance and heavy metal ions (Cd, Cu, and Pb) in rice
- plants grown in an agricultural soil. *Environmental Pollution*, 235, 330-338.

Liang, J., Yang, X. Tang, L. Zeng, G., Yu, M., Li, X., Wu, H., Qian, Y., Li, X., Luo, Y.,
(2017a). Changes in heavy metal mobility and availability from contaminated
wetland soil remediated with combined biochar-compost. *Chemosphere*, 181,
281-288.

- Liang, Q., Zhao, D., (2014). Immobilization of arsenate in a sandy loam soil using
  starch-stabilized magnetite nanoparticles. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 271,
  16-23.
- 783 Liang, S., Jin, Y., Liu, W., Li, X., Shen, S., Ding, L., (2017b). Feasibility of Pb

- phytoextraction using nano-materials assisted ryegrass: Results of a one-year
  field-scale experiment. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 190, 170-175.
- Limmer, M., Burken, J., (2016). Phytovolatilization of organic contaminants.
   *Environmental Science & Technology*, 50, 6632-6643.
- Lin, C., Lerch, R.N., Kremer, R.J., Garrett, H.E., (2011). Stimulated rhizodegradation
- of atrazine by selected plant species. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 40,
  1113-1121.
- 791 Liu, J., Zhou, Q., Wang, S., (2010a). Evaluation of chemical phancement on
- phytoremediation effect of Cd-contaminated soils with *Calendula officinalis* L.
- 793 International Journal of Phytoremediation, 12, 33-515.
- 794 Liu, Q., Zhao, Y., Wan, Y., Zheng, J., Zhang, L., Wang, C., Fang, X., Lin, J., (2010b).
- Study of the inhibitory effect of water-poluble fullerenes on plant growth at the
  cellular level. *ACS Nano*, 4, 6753-57-8.
- 797 Liu, R., Lal, R., (2012). Nnoemanced materials for reclamation of mine lands and
- other degraded soils: a review. *Journal of Nanotechnology*, vol. 2012, Article ID
  461468, 18 pages, http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/461468.
- 800 Long, F., Gong, J.L., Zeng, G.M., Chen, L., Wang, X.Y., Deng, J.H., Niu, Q.Y., Zhang,
- 801 H.Y., Zhang, X.R., (2011). Removal of phosphate from aqueous solution by
- magnetic Fe–Zr binary oxide. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 171, 448-455.
- 803 Ma, C., Liu, H., Chen, G., Zhao, Q., Eitzer, B., Wang, Z., Cai, W., Newman, L.A.,
- 804 White, J.C., Dhankher, O.P., (2017). Effects of titanium oxide nanoparticles on
- 805 tetracycline accumulation and toxicity in Oryza sativa (L.). Environmental

- 806 *Science: Nano*, 4, 1827-1839.
- Ma, X., Wang, C., (2010). Fullerene nanoparticles affect the fate and uptake of
  trichloroethylene in phytoremediation systems. *Environmental Engineering Science*, 27, 989-992.
- 810 Ma, Y., Rajkumar, M., Zhang, C., Freitas, H., (2016a). Beneficial role of bacterial
- 811 endophytes in heavy metal phytoremediation. *Journal of Environmental*812 *Management*, 174, 14-25.
- 813 Ma, Y., Rajkumar, M., Zhang, C., Freitas, H., (2016b). Inoculation of Brassica
- 814 *oxyrrhina* with plant growth promoting bacteria for the upprovement of heavy
- 815 metal phytoremediation under drought constions. Journal of Hazardous
  816 Materials, 320, 36-44.
- 817 Macek, T., Macková, M., Káš, J., (2000). Avaloitation of plants for the removal of
- 818 organics in environmental remulia ica. *Biotechnology Advances*, 18, 23-34.
- Mahabadi, A.A., Hajabbasi M.A. Khademi, H., Kazemian, H., (2007). Soil cadmium
  stabilization using a Irahian natural zeolite. *Geoderma*, 137, 388-393.
- Maurer-Jones, M.A., Gunsolus, I.L., Murphy, C.J., Haynes, C.L., (2013). Toxicity of
  engineered nanoparticles in the environment. *Analytical Chemistry*, 85,
- 823 3036-3049.
- Moameri, M., Abbasi Khalaki, M., (2017). Capability of *Secale montanum* trusted for
- 825 phytoremediation of lead and cadmium in soils amended with nano-silica and
- 826 municipal solid waste compost. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*,
- 827 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0544-7.

- 828 Movafeghi, A., Khataee, A., Abedi, M., Tarrahi, R., Dadpour, M., Vafaei, F., (2018).
- 829 Effects of  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles on the aquatic plant *Spirodela polyrrhiza*:
- 830 Evaluation of growth parameters, pigment contents and antioxidant enzyme 831 activities. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 64, 130-138.
- Mueller, N.C., Nowack, B., (2010). Nanoparticles for remediation: solving big
  problems with little particles. *Elements*, 6, 395-400.
- 834 Nahar, N., Rahman, A., Nawani, N.N., Ghosh, S., Mandal, A., (2017).
- 835 Phytoremediation of arsenic from the contaminated soil using transgenic tobacco
- 836 plants expressing ACR2 gene of Arabidopsis that was Journal of Plant
- 837 *Physiology*, 218, 121-126.
- Nair, P.M.G., Chung, I.M., (2015). Study on the correlation between copper oxide
- 839 nanoparticles induced growth suppression and enhanced lignification in Indian
- 840 mustard (Brassica juncea Environmental Safety, 113,
- 841 302-313.
- Peng, J., Sun, Y. Liu, Q., Jang, Y., Zhou, J., Feng, W., Zhang, X., Li, F., (2012).
  Upconversion nanoparticles dramatically promote plant growth without toxicity.
- 844 Nano Research, 5, 770-782.
- Pillai, H.P., Kottekottil, J., (2016). Nano-phytotechnological remediation of
  endosulfan using zero valent iron nanoparticles. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 7, 734-744.
- Praveen, A., Khan, E., Ngiimei D, S., Perwez, M., Sardar, M., Gupta, M., (2018). Iron
- 849 oxide nanoparticles as nano-adsorbents: a possible way to reduce arsenic

- 850 phytotoxicity in Indian mustard plant (*Brassica juncea* L.). Journal of Plant
  851 Growth Regulation, 37, 612–624.
- 852 Reeves, R.D., Baker, A.J.M., Jaffré, T., Erskine, P.D., Echevarria, G., van der Ent, A.,
- 853 (2018). A global database for plants that hyperaccumulate metal and metalloid
- trace elements. *New Phytologist*, 218, 407-411.
- 855 Ren, X., Zeng, G., Tang, L., Wang, J., Wan, J., Liu, Y., Yu, J., Yi, H., Ye, S., Deng, R.,
- 856 (2018). Sorption, transport and biodegradation An insight into bioavailability of
- 857 persistent organic pollutants in soil. Science of the Total Environment, 610-611,
- 858 1154-1163.
- 859 Rossi, L., Sharifan, H., Zhang, W., Schwab, A.P., X., (2018). Mutual effects and
- 860 in planta accumulation of co-existing ceriamoxide nanoparticles and cadmium in
- 861 hydroponically grown soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.). *Environmental Science:*
- 862 Nano, 5, 150-157.
- ., Indue, M., Sano, S., Kaise, T., (2010). Phytoextraction Sakakibara, M., Watanabe, 863 on of arsenic from As-contaminated soils by Pteris vittata. 864 and phytovo iliza he annual international conference on soils, sediments, water 865 Proceedings of and Vol. 12 Article 26, 866 energy,
- 867 https://scholarworks.umass.edu/soilsproceedings/vol12/iss1/26/.
- 868 Servin, A., Elmer, W., Mukherjee, A., De la Torre-Roche, R., Hamdi, H., White, J.C.,
- Bindraban, P., Dimkpa, C., (2015). A review of the use of engineered
- 870 nanomaterials to suppress plant disease and enhance crop yield. *Journal of*
- 871 Nanoparticle Research, 17, 92.

- 872 Shaheen, S.M., Rinklebe, J., (2015). Phytoextraction of potentially toxic elements by
- 873 Indian mustard, rapeseed, and sunflower from a contaminated riparian soil.
- 874 Environmental Geochemistry and Health, 37, 953-967.
- 875 Sharma, P., Pandey, S., (2014). Status of Phytoremediation in World Scenario.
- 876 International Journal of Environmental Bioremediation & Biodegradation, 2,
- 877 178-191.
- 878 Shen, X., Li, S., Zhang, H., Chen, W., Yang, Y., Li, J., Tao, S., Wang, X., (2018).
- 879 Effect of multiwalled carbon nanotubes on uptake of pyrese by cucumber
  880 (*Cucumis sativus* L.): Mechanistic perspectives. *Nanologyee*, 10, 168-176.
- Sheoran, V., Sheoran, A.S., Poonia, P., (2016). Factors affecting phytoextraction: a
  review. *Pedosphere*, 26, 148-166.
- 883 Singh, J., Lee, B.K., (2016). Influence of na orTiO<sub>2</sub> particles on the bioaccumulation
- of Cd in soybean plants (*Glactice heat*): A possible mechanism for the removal of
- Cd from the contaminated poil. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 170,
  886 88-96.
- Singh, R., Singh, S., Parihar, P., Singh, V.P., Prasad, S.M., (2015). Arsenic
  contamination, consequences and remediation techniques: A review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 112, 247-270.
- 890 Song, B., Xu, P., Zeng, G., Gong, J., Zhang, P., Feng, H., Liu, Y., Ren, X., (2018).
- 891 Carbon nanotube-based environmental technologies: the adopted properties,
- 892 primary mechanisms, and challenges. Reviews in Environmental Science and
- *Bio/Technology*, 17, 571-590.

894	Song, B., Zeng, G., Gong, J., Liang, J., Xu, P., Liu, Z., Zhang, Y., Zhang, C., Cheng,
895	M., Liu, Y., Ye, S., Yi, H., Ren, X., (2017a). Evaluation methods for assessing
896	effectiveness of in situ remediation of soil and sediment contaminated with
897	organic pollutants and heavy metals. Environment International, 105, 43-55.
898	Song, B., Zeng, G., Gong, J., Zhang, P., Deng, J., Deng, C., Yan, J., Xu, P., Lai, C.,
899	Zhang, C., Cheng, M., (2017b). Effect of multi-walled carbon nanotubes on
900	phytotoxicity of sediments contaminated by phenanthrene and cadmium.
901	Chemosphere, 172, 449-458.
902	Souri, Z., Karimi, N., Sarmadi, M., Rostami, E., (2017). Supervise acid nanoparticles
903	(SANPs) improve growth and phytoremediation fficiency of Isatis cappadocica
904	Desv., under As stress. IET Nanobiotechnology, 11, 650-655.
905	Su, Y., Yan, X., Pu, Y., Xiao, F., Wang, D., Vang, M., (2013). Risks of single-walled
906	carbon nanotubes acting a pataminants-carriers: potential release of
907	phenanthrene in Japanese nedaka (Oryzias latipes). Environmental Science &
908	Technology, 47, 47, 47, 47, 47, 10.
909	Sun, R.J., Chen, H., Fan, T.T., Zhou, D.M., Wang, Y.J., (2018). Effect of

- 910 nanoparticle hydroxyapatite on the immobilization of Cu and Zn in polluted soil.
- 911 *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25, 73-80.
- 912 Tang, S., (2007). Phytoremediation in China: Inorganics. in: Willey, N. (Ed.).
- 913 Phytoremediation: Methods and Reviews. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, pp.914 351-379.
- 915 Tang, S., Mo, C., (2007). Phytoremediation in China: Organics. in: Willey, N. (Ed.).

- 916 Phytoremediation: Methods and Reviews. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, pp.917 381-391.
- 918 Tang, W.W., Zeng, G.M., Gong, J.L., Liang, J., Xu, P., Zhang, C., Huang, B.B., (2014).
- 919 Impact of humic/fulvic acid on the removal of heavy metals from aqueous
- 920 solutions using nanomaterials: A review. Science of the Total Environment,
- 921 468-469, 1014-1027.
- 922 Terzi, K., Sikinioti-Lock, A., Gkelios, A., Tzavara, D., Skouras, A., Aggelopoulos, C.,
- Klepetsanis, P., Antimisiaris, S., Tsakiroglou, C.D., (2016) Mobility of zero
  valent iron nanoparticles and liposomes in porous media. *Colorids and Surfaces A:*
- 925 *Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 506 11-722.
- Thijs, S., Sillen, W., Weyens, N., Vangronsveld, J., (2017). Phytoremediation:
  State-of-the-art and a key role for the plant microbiome in future trends and
  research prospects. *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 19, 23-38.
- 929 Timmusk, S., Seisenbaeva G., Behers, L., (2018). Titania (TiO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles
- 930 enhance the performance of growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Scientific Reports*, 8,931 617.
- 932 Torre-Roche, R.D.L., Hawthorne, J., Deng, Y., Xing, B., Cai, W., Newman, L.A.,
- 933 Wang, C., Ma, X., White, J.C., (2012). Fullerene-enhanced accumulation of
- *p,p'*-DDE in agricultural crop species. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46,
  935 9315-9323.
- 936 Tripathi, D.K., Singh, V.P., Prasad, S.M., Chauhan, D.K., Dubey, N.K., (2015).
- 937 Silicon nanoparticles (SiNp) alleviate chromium (VI) phytotoxicity in Pisum

sativum (L.) seedlings. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 96, 189-198.

938

- 939 Trujillo-Reves, J., Peralta-Videa, J., Gardea-Torresdey, J., (2014). Supported and
- 940 unsupported nanomaterials for water and soil remediation: are they a useful
- solution for worldwide pollution? *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 280, 487-503.
- 942 Vítková, M., Puschenreiter, M., Komárek, M., (2018). Effect of nano zero-valent iron
- application on As, Cd, Pb, and Zn availability in the rhizosphere of metal(loid)
  contaminated soils. *Chemosphere*, 200, 217-226.
- 945 Venkatachalam, P., Jayaraj, M., Manikandan, R., Geetha, N. Bern, E.R., Sharma,
- 946 N.C., Sahi, S.V., (2017a). Zinc oxide nanoparticles (CACMPs) alleviate heavy
- 947 metal-induced toxicity in *Leucaena leucocephera* seedlings: A physiochemical
  948 analysis. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 10, 59-69.
- $\mathbf{X}$
- 949 Venkatachalam, P., Priyanka, N., Manikanda, K., Ganeshbabu, I., Indiraarulselvi, P.,
- 950 Geetha, N., Muralikrishna, K., Sharacharya, R.C., Tiwari, M., Sharma, N., Sahi,
- 951 S.V., (2017b). Enhancel plant growth promoting role of phycomolecules coated
  952 zinc oxide micoparticle with P supplementation in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*
- L.). Plant Physiclogy and Biochemistry, 110, 118-127.
- 954 Vithanage, M., Dabrowska, B.B., Mukherjee, A.B., Sandhi, A., Bhattacharya, P.,
- 955 (2012). Arsenic uptake by plants and possible phytoremediation applications: a
- 956 brief overview. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 10, 217-224.
- 957 Wan, J., Zeng, G., Huang, D., Hu, L., Xu, P., Huang, C., Deng, R., Xue, W., Lai, C.,
- Zhou, C., Zheng, K., Ren, X., Gong, X., (2018). Rhamnolipid stabilized
  nano-chlorapatite: Synthesis and enhancement effect on Pb-and

- 960 Cd-immobilization in polluted sediment. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 343,
  961 332-339.
- 962 Wang, C., Liu, H., Chen, J., Tian, Y., Shi, J., Li, D., Guo, C., Ma, Q., (2014a).
- 963 Carboxylated multi-walled carbon nanotubes aggravated biochemical and
  964 subcellular damages in leaves of broad bean (*Vicia faba* L.) seedlings under
  965 combined stress of lead and cadmium. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 274,
  966 404-412.
- Wang, H., Jia, Y., Wang, S., Zhu, H., Wu, X., (2009). Bioa ailebility of cadmium
  adsorbed on various oxides minerals to wetland part species *Phragmites*
- 969 australis. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 167, 1-646.
- Wang, P., Lombi, E., Zhao, F.-J., Kopittke, IM, (2016a). Nanotechnology: A New
  Opportunity in Plant Sciences. *Trends in Plant Science*, 21, 699-712.
- 972 Wang, X., Liu, Y., Zhang, H., Shen, X., Cai, F., Zhang, M., Gao, Q., Chen, W., Wang,
- B., Tao, S., (2016b). The impact of carbon nanotubes on bioaccumulation and
- 974 translocation of phynap nrene, 3-CH<sub>3</sub>-phenanthrene and 9-NO<sub>2</sub>-phenanthrene in
- 975 maize (*Zea may.*) seedlings. *Environmental Science: Nano*, 3, 818-829.
- 976 Wang, X., Zhang, D., Pan, X., Lee, D.J., Al-Misned, F.A., Mortuza, M.G., Gadd, G.M.,
- 977 (2017). Aerobic and anaerobic biosynthesis of nano-selenium for remediation of
- 978 mercury contaminated soil. *Chemosphere*, 170, 266-273.
- Wang, Y., Fang, Z., Kang, Y., Tsang, E.P., (2014b). Immobilization and phytotoxicity
- 980 of chromium in contaminated soil remediated by CMC-stabilized nZVI. Journal
- 981 *of Hazardous Materials*, 275, 230-237.

- 982 Wild, E., Jones, K.C., (2009). Novel method for the direct visualization of in vivo
- 983 nanomaterials and chemical interactions in plants. *Environmental Science* &
  984 *Technology*, 43, 5290-5294.
- 985 Wu, X., Wang, W., Zhu, L., (2018). Enhanced organic contaminants accumulation in
- 986 crops: Mechanisms, interactions with engineered nanomaterials in soil.
  987 *Environmental Pollution*, 240, 51-59.
- 988 Xie, W., Liang, Q., Qian, T., Zhao, D., (2015). Immobilization of selenite in soil and
- groundwater using stabilized Fe–Mn binary oxide nanoparticle. Water Research,
  70, 485-494.
- Yie, Y., Fang, Z., Cheng, W., Tsang, P.E., Zhen D., (2014). Remediation of
  polybrominated diphenyl ethers in soil using Ni/Fe bimetallic nanoparticles:
- Influencing factors, kinetics and mechanism. Science of the Total Environment,
  485-486, 363-370.
- Xiong, P., He, C., Oh, K., Chent X., Liang, X., Liu, X., Cheng, X., Wu, C., Shi, Z.,
  (2018). *Medianco scrive* L. enhances the phytoextraction of cadmium and zinc by
- *Ricinus communis* L. on contaminated land in situ. *Ecological Engineering*, 116,
  61-66.
- 999 Xu, P., Zeng, G.M., Huang, D.L., Feng, C.L., Hu, S., Zhao, M.H., Lai, C., Wei, Z.,
- 1000 Huang, C., Xie, G.X., Liu, Z.F., (2012a). Use of iron oxide nanomaterials in
- 1001 wastewater treatment: a review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 424, 1-10.
- 1002 Xu, P., Zeng, G.M., Huang, D.L., Lai, C., Zhao, M.H., Wei, Z., Li, N.J., Huang, C.,
- 1003 Xie, G.X., (2012b). Adsorption of Pb(II) by iron oxide nanoparticles immobilized

- 1004 *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*: equilibrium, kinetic, thermodynamic and
   1005 mechanisms analysis. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 203, 423-431.
- 1006 Xu, Y., Yan, X., Fan, L., Fang, Z., (2016). Remediation of Cd(II)-contaminated soil by
- 1007 three kinds of ferrous phosphate nanoparticles. *Rsc Advances*, 6, 17390-17395.
- 1008 Yadu, B., Chandrakar, V., Korram, J., Satnami, M.L., Kumar, M., S, K., (2018). Silver
- 1009 nanoparticle modulates gene expressions, glyoxalase system and oxidative stress
- 1010 markers in fluoride stressed Cajanus cajan L. Journal of Hazardous Materials,
- 1011 353, 44-52.
- 1012 Yanık, F., Vardar, F., (2015). Toxic effects of aluminum oxide (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) nanoparticles
- 1013 on root growth and development in *Triticur aestivum*. Water, Air, & Soil 1014 Pollution, 226, 296.
- 1014 *Pollution*, 226, 296.
- 1015 Yu, B., Zhang, Y., Shukla, A., Shukla, S.S. Dorris, K.L., (2001). The removal of
- 1016 heavy metals from aqueous southers by sawdust adsorption removal of lead
- 1017 and comparison of its door tion with copper. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*,
  1018 84, 83-94.
- Zaier, H., Ghnaya, A. Ghabriche, R., Chmingui, W., Lakhdar, A., Lutts, S., Abdelly,
  C., (2014). EDTA-enhanced phytoremediation of lead-contaminated soil by the
- 1021 halophyte Sesuvium portulacastrum. Environmental Science and Pollution
- 1022 *Research*, 21, 7607-7615.
- 1023 Zhang, H., Liu, Y., Shen, X., Zhang, M., Yang, Y., Tao, S., Wang, X., (2017a).
- 1024 Influence of multiwalled carbon nanotubes and sodium dodecyl benzene 1025 sulfonate on bioaccumulation and translocation of pyrene and 1-methylpyrene in

- 1026 maize (*Zea mays*) seedlings. *Environmental Pollution*, 220, 1409-1417.
- 1027 Zhang, J., Gong, J.L., Zeng, G.M., Yang, H.C., Zhang, P., (2017b). Carbon nanotube

1028 amendment for treating dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane and

- 1029 hexachlorocyclohexane remaining in Dong-ting Lake sediment An implication
- 1030 for in-situ remediation. *Science of the Total Environment*, 579, 283-291.
- 1031 Zhang, M., Gao, B., Chen, J., Li, Y., (2015). Effects of graphene on seed germination
  1032 and seedling growth. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 17, 78.
- 1033 Zhu, F., Li, L., Ma, S., Shang, Z., (2016). Effect factors, kinetics and thermodynamics
- 1034 of remediation in the chromium contaminated soils of hanoscale zero valent
- 1035 Fe/Cu bimetallic particles. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 302, 663-669.





Figure 1. Phytoremediation technologies for abating soil pollution.



Figure 2. Number of publications on various types of phytoremediation technologies in the last decade. The data were extracted from Web of Science in August

2018 by searching publications containing "phytovolatilization", "phytoxtrection", "phytodegradation", "phytostabilization", or "rhizodegradation" in the

×cc,

topic.



Figure 3. Roles of nanomaterials in facilitating phytoremediation of contaminated soil.



## Inoculating endophytes

Endophytes can reduce the phytotoxicity through biosorption, intracellular accumulation, biotransformation, and extracellular precipitation of pollutants.

# Applying organic acids of low molecular weight

Organic acids can mitigate the phytotoxicity by increasing plant biomass, photosynthesis, and antioxidant enzymes activity (reducing oxidative stress).



## **Employing inorganic sulfide**

Sulfide can alleviate the phytotoxicity by improves plant tolerance to pollutant stress and reducing the oxidative stress.



# **Companion planting**

Companion planting can mitigate the phytopxicity by increasing photosynthetic pigment content and antioxidant enzymes activity of the main plant.



Using nanomaterials

Nanomaterials can reduce the phytocoxicity through reducing the available pollutant amount and increasing the place tolerance to the stress of high concentration pollutants.

Figure 4. Some strategies for mitigating phytotoxicity during phytoremediation. Summarized from the corresponding references: inoculating endophytes (Ma

et al., 2016a), applying organic acids of low molecular weight (Ehsan et al., 2014), employing inorganic sulfide (Guan et al., 2018), companion planting (Xiong

et al., 2018), using nanomaterials (Chai et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2017b; Praveen et al., 2018; Tripathi et al., 2015).

Pollutants	Plant species	Nanomaterials	Roles of nanomaterials	Main results	Reference
Trinitrotoluene	Panicum ( <i>Panicum maximum</i> Jacq.)	nZVI	Direct trinitrotoluene removal by nZVI particles	Zero-valent iron nanoparticles enhanced the removal efficiency of trinitrotoluene from 85.7%# <sup>a</sup> to 100%# after 120 days.	Jiamjitrpanich et al. (2012)
Endosulfan	Chittaratha (Alpinia calcarata Roscoe), Tulsi (Ocimum sanctum L.), and lemongrass [Cymbopogon citratus (DC.) Stapf.]	nZVI	Direct endosulfan removal by nZVI particles	With nZVI, removal rates of endosulfan from the soil were increased from 81.2% to 100%, from 20.76% to 76.28%, and from 65.08% to 86.16% for <i>A. calcarata</i> , <i>O. sanctum</i> , and <i>C. citratus</i> , reportively.	Pillai and Kottekottil (2016)
Trichloroethylene	Eastern cottonwood ( <i>Populus deltoides</i> Bartr.)	Fullerene nanoparticles	Increasing phytoavailability of trichloroethylene as carriers	and 82% with 2 and 15 mg/L of fullerene nanoparticles, respectively.	Ma and Wang (2010)
Рb	Ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.)	Nano-hydroxyapatite and nano-carbon black	Direct Pb stabilization by the nanomaterials, thus all viating phytotoxicity, and promoting plant growth	After 12 month, removal rates of Pb from the soil were increased from 31.76% to 46.55% and 45.53%# with nano-hydroxyapatite and nano-carbon black, respectively.	Liang et al. (2017b)
Cr	Pea ( <i>Pisum sativum</i> L.)	Silicon nanoparticles	Promoting plant growth in the toleanne to Cr(VI) stress through alleviating phytotoxicity	With silicon nanoparticles, accumulation concentrations of Cr in the root and shoot decreased from 1472.6 to 516.6 mg/kg DW <sup>b</sup> , and from 62.5 to 35.2 mg/kg DW, respectively.	Tripathi et al. (2015)
Pb	Ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.)	Nano-hydro ywnath	Direct Pb stabilization by nano-hydroxyapatite, and promoting plant growth through increasing phosphorus concentration in soil	With nano-hydroxyapatite, content of Pb in the root and shoot decreased by 2.86-21.1% and 13.19-20.3%, respectively.	Ding et al. (2017)
As	( <i>Isatis cappadocica</i> Desv.)	Salicylic acid nanoparticles	Increasing the absorption and utilization rate of nutrients for plant growth	With salicylic acid nanoparticles, maximum accumulation concentrations of As in the shoot and root reached 705 and 1188 mg/kg DW, respectively.	Souri et al. (2017)
Cd	Soybean [ <i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr.]	TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	Enhancing the sprouting, development and	The Cd uptake increased from 128.5 to 507.6 $\mu$ g per plant with increasing concentration of TiO <sub>2</sub>	Singh and Lee (2016)

**Table 1.** Some recent examples of phytoremediation assisted with nanomaterials.

Pollutants	Plant species	Nanomaterials	Roles of nanomaterials	Main results	Reference
			photosynthetic rates of plants	nanoparticles from 100 to 300 mg/kg.	
Pb	Ryegrass (Lolium	nZVI	Promoting plant growth at low	Maximum accumulation concentrations of Pb in	Huang et al.
	perenne L.)		nZVI concentration	the root and shoot reached 1175.4 $\mu$ g per pot with	(2018)
				100 mg/kg nZVI.	
As, Cd, Pb, and Zn	Sunflower	nZVI	Direct pollutant stabilization	After using nZVI for phytostabilization, the	Vítková et al.
	(Helianthus annuus		by nZVI particles	concentrations of As, Cd, Pb and Zn in roots and	(2018)
	L.) and ryegrass			shoots decreased by 50-60% compared to the	
	(Lolium perenne L.)			control sample.	
Cd	Ramie [Boehmeria	nZVI	Promoting plant growth at low	WarnZVI, concentrations of Cd in the leaves,	Gong et al.
	nivea (L.) Gaudich]		nZVI concentration	steer, and roots increased by 31-73%, 29-52%,	(2017)
				are 1.55%, respectively.	
Cd and Pb	Rye (Secale	Nano-silica	Increasing phytoavailability of	What imum accumulation concentrations of Pb	Moameri and
	montanum Guss.)		Pb, and promoting plant	(533.6 mg/kg DW) and Cd (208.6 mg/kg DW) in	Abbasi Khalaki
			growth	the roots were achieved with nano-silica.	(2017)
Cd and Pb	White popinac	ZnO nanoparticles	Promoting plant growth Ma	With ZnO nanoparticles, accumulation of Cd and	Venkatachalam
	[Leucaena		alleviating phytotoxicit	Pb in the plant increased from 1253.1 to 1863.5	et al. (2017a)
	<i>leucocephala</i> (Lam.)		$\mathbf{X}$	mg/kg DW and 1026.8 to 1343.4 mg/kg DW,	
	de Wit]			respectively.	
Pb	Ryegrass (Lolium	Nano-hydroxyapatite	Direct Pb stabilization by	After 6 weeks, removal efficiency of Pb by the	Jin et al. (2016)
	perenne L.)		nano hydro vyapatite, and	plant was increased from 11.67%# to 21.97%#	
			enhancing plant growth	with nano-hydroxyapatite under a Pb stress of	
			J	800 mg/kg.	
Cd, Pb and Ni	Maize (Zea mays L.)	Silver nanoparticles	Enhancing root area and root	With silver nanoparticles, accumulation	Khan and Bano
			length	concentrations of Cd, Pb, and Ni in the shoot	(2016)
		Y Y		increased from 0.65# to 0.73# mg/kg DW, from	
		•		129.1# to 232.7# mg/kg DW, and from 0# to	
				12.4# mg/kg DW, respectively.	

<sup>a</sup> The datum with # was read from the figure of the reference. <sup>b</sup> mg/kg DW: milligram for per kilogram of the plant in dry weight.

Cd and Pb Cr	Immobilization by precipitation as metal-phosphate compounds	Wan at al. $(2018)$
Cr		Wall et al. (2010)
CI	Stabilization by reductive transformation of Cr(VI) into more	Wang et al. (2014b)
	stable and less toxic form	
Organochlorine pesticides	Immobilization by adsorption for in situ remediation	Zhang et al. (2017b)
Cu and Zn	Stabilization by ion exchange, surface complexation, and	Sun et al. (2018b)
	precipitation as new metal phosphates	
Hg	Immobilization of elemental mercury by forming insoluble	Wang et al. (2017)
	mercuric selenide (HgSe)	
Cd	Stabilization by formation of vacuum phosphate through	Xu et al. (2016)
	precipitation	
Polybrominated diphenyl ethers	Degradation by hydrogenation debromination reaction with	Xie et al. (2014)
	Ni/Fe nanoparticles	
Polychlorinated biphenyls	Degradation by hydrogenation dechlorination reaction with	Chen et al. (2014)
(PCBs)	Pb/Fe nanoparaces	
As	Stabilization by adsorption and coprecipitation	Liang and Zhao
		(2014)
Se	In mobilization by adsorptive immobilization	Xie et al. (2015)
Cr	Stabilization by reductive transformation of Cr(VI) with	Zhu et al. (2016)
C	Cu nanoparticles	
χ		
	Organochlorine pesticides Cu and Zn Hg Cd Polybrominated diphenyl ethers Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) As Se Cr	Organochlorine pesticides       Immobilization by adsorption for in situ remediation         Cu and Zn       Stabilization by ion exchange, surface complexation, and precipitation as new metal phosphates         Hg       Immobilization of elemental nercury by forming insoluble mercuric selenide (HgSe)         Cd       Stabilization by ion exchange, surface complexation, and precipitation of elemental nercury by forming insoluble mercuric selenide (HgSe)         Cd       Stabilization by formation of rate of phosphate through precipitation         Polybrominated diphenyl ethers       Degradation by fordogenation debromination reaction with Ni/Fe nanopartines         Polychlorinated biphenyls       Degradation by adsorption and coprecipitation         Se       Immobilization by adsorptive immobilization         Cr       Caphration by reductive transformation of Cr(VI) with Cr

Table 2. Some representative studies reported in 2014–2018 about the utilization of engineered nanomaterials for remediation of contaminated soil.

Nanomaterials	Plant species	Effect	Main mechanism	Reference
Carbon-based nanomaterials				
Graphene quantum dots	Coriander ( <i>Coriandrum sativum</i> L.) and garlic ( <i>Allium sativum</i> L.)	Enhanced	The graphene quantum dots were served as nanofertilizer and pesticide.	Chakravarty et al. (2015)
Carbon nanotubes	Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.)	Enhanced	The used carbon nanotubes activated the plant reproductive system, thus leading to the increase of fruit production.	Khodakovskaya et al. (2013)
Graphene	Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.)	Decreased	Graphene penetrated vacuole and deposited in root tips, when reduced the biomass production.	Zhang et al. (2015)
Watersoluble C70 fullerenes	Cress [Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh.]	Inhibited	The hopparticles caused auxin disruption, a normal cell division, and microtubule disorganization, which retarded the plant growth.	Liu et al. (2010b)
Metal-based nanomaterials				
Ag nanoparticles	Kidney bean ( <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.)	Enhanced	The applied nanoparticles stimulated the plant metabolism and increased nitrogen uptake, thus facilitating the chlorophyll activity and biomass accumulation.	Das et al. (2018)
TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	Common duckmeat [ <i>Spirodela polyrrhize</i> (L.) Schleid.]	Decreased	The nanoparticle toxicity might inhibit the photosynthesis, protein synthesis, and nitrogen fixation.	Movafeghi et al. (2018)
ZnO nanoparticles	Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L	Enhanced	The coated ZnO nanoparticles increased the utilization of zinc and phosphorus, and released zinc ions into cell by a slow and sustainable manner without causing phytotoxicity.	Venkatachalam et al. (2017b)
γ-Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles	Shaddock [ <i>Citrus maxima</i> (Burm. f.) Merr.]	No significant difference	The effect on plant growth is dependent on the exposure concentration and is not obvious at the experimental concentrations.	Hu et al. (2017)
CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.)	No significant difference	The nanoparticles might not pass through seed coat or root system at early germination stage.	López-Moreno et al. (2016)
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles	Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)	Inhibited	The nanoparticles damaged the epidermal and cortex cells due to vacuolization and shrinkage,	Yanık and Vardar (2015)

Table 3. Some representative studies about the effects of nanomaterials on plant growth.

Nanomaterials	Plant species	Effect	Main mechanism	Referer	nce	
			and the toxic effect inhibited plant growth.			
CuO nanoparticles	Indian Mustard ( <i>Brassica juncea</i> L.)	Decreased	The nanoparticle exposure enhanced lignification and subsequent rigidification of plant cells, which reduced the plant growth.	Nair an (2015)	d Ch	iung
nZVI particles	Cress [Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh.]	Enhanced	The nanoparticles enhanced root elongation by triggering hydroxyl radical-induced cell wall loosening.	Kim (2014)	et	al.
Others						
Nano-hydroxyapatite	Mung bean (Phaseolus radiatus L.)	Inhibited	The nanostructure and local high intracellular $Ca^{2+}$ content and caused by hydroxyapatite mopphic were considered to result in cell apoptor's and plant growth inhibition.	Jiang (2014)	et	al.
Upconversion nanoparticles	Mung bean (Phaseolus radiatus L.)	Enhanced	The underlying mechanism is not clear.	Peng (2012)	et	al.
	Acces	2°C				

Table 4

Pollutants	Plant species	Nanomaterials	Main effects	Reference
Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene ( <i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDE)	Zucchini ( <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> L.), soybean [ <i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr.], and tomato ( <i>Solanum</i> <i>lycopersicum</i> L.)	C <sub>60</sub> fullerenes	The fullerenes increased the uptake of $p,p'$ -DDE by 30% to 65%.	Torre-Roche et al. (2012)
Chlordane, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), and metabolites of DDT	Zucchini ( <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> L.), soybean [ <i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr.], corn ( <i>Zea mays</i> L.), and tomato ( <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)	Multiwalled carbon nanotubes and C <sub>60</sub> fullerenes	The nanotubes decreased the accumulation of these pollutants by all the plants, while the fullerenes increased the accumulation of chlordane	De La Torre-Roche et al. (2013a)
<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	Zucchini ( <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> L.) and soybean [ <i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr.]	Ag nanoparticles	A nanoparticles deceased the uptake and accumulation of $p,p'$ -DDE by both the plants.	De La Torre-Roche et al. (2013b)
<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	Pumpkin ( <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> L.)	C <sub>60</sub> fullerenes	The fullerenes had little impact on $p,p'$ -DDE bioaccumulation by the plant.	Kelsey and White (2013)
Cd and Pb	Fava bean (Vicia faba L.)	Carboxylyted multi-walled carbox nanotatoes	The used carbon nanotubes facilitated the enrichment of Cd and Pb in the leaves.	Wang et al. (2014a)
Chlordane and <i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDE	Garden lettuce ( <i>Lactuca sativa</i> L.)	Ion/ mino-functionalized musiwall arbon nanotubes	Both the carbon nanotubes decreased pesticide content in the root and shoot, and the effects caused by amino-functionalized nanotubes were modest.	Hamdi et al. (2015)
Phenanthrene, 3-CH <sub>3</sub> -phenanthrene, and 9-NO <sub>2</sub> -phenanthrene	Maize (Zea mays L.	Carbon nanotubes	Carbon nanotubes reduced bioaccumulation of phenanthrene in maize seedling roots and shoots, and the nanotubes were detected in plant roots.	Wang et al. (2016)
Pb	Rice (Oryza sativa L.)	TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	$TiO_2$ nanoparticles reduced the bioaccumulation of Pb in rice at high exposure levels, and anatase nanoparticles did accumulate in rice roots.	Cai et al. (2017)
Carbamazepine	Collard greens ( <i>Brassica</i> oleracea L.)	Multiwall carbon nanotubes	Carbon nanotubes suppressed carbamazepine accumulation, and the	Deng et al. (2017)

Table 4 Effects of a materials on the unterior and accumulation of pollutants by plants

Pollutants	Plant species	Nanomaterials	Main effects	Reference
			functionalization of carbon nanotubes	
			enhanced carbamazepine translocation.	
Tetracycline	Rice (Oryza sativa L.)	TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	The presence of TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs lowered the	Ma et al. (2017)
			tetracycline accumulation in rice	
			seedlings.	
Pyrene and 1-methylpyrene	Maize (Zea mays L.)	Multiwalled carbon	Multiwalled carbon nanotubes reduced	Zhang et al.
		nanotubes	the concentrations of pyrene and	(2017a)
			1-methylpyrene in roots and shoots, and	
		(	suppressed their translocation in plant.	
Cd	Soybean [Glycine max (L.)	CeO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	2 nanoparticles significantly	Rossi et al. (2018)
	Merr.]		we deed the translocation of Cd from	
			roots to shoots by 70%.	
Anthracene, phenanthrene,	Swamp morning-glory (Ipomoea	TiO <sub>2</sub> , Ag, and $1_2O_3$	All the nanomaterials increased, to	Wu et al. (2018)
pyrene, fluoranthene,	aquatica Forssk.), cucumber	nanoparticles, grapheme,	different degrees, the accumulation of	
benzo[a]pyrene,	(Cucumis sativus L.), corn (Zea	and carbon nanotubes	these pollutants by the plants.	
hexachlorobenzene, <i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDE,	mays L.), spinach (Spinacia			
and deca-brominated diphenyl	oleracea L.), and pumpkin	$\chi \smile$		
ether	(Cucurbita moschata Duchesne)	$\sim$		
Pyrene	Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.)	Aultiwalled carbon	The nanotubes reduced root uptake of	Shen et al. (2018)
	C	panotubes	pyrene, but enhanced the translocation	
		J *	of pyrene from root to shoot.	
Cd, Cu, and Pb	Rice (Oryza sativa L.)	C <sub>60</sub> fullerenes	The fullerenes could accumulate in rice	Liang et al.
			panicles, and their effects on the uptake	(2018)
			of heavy metal ions depended on rice	
	► Y		cultivars, type of metal ions, and their	
	•		concentrations in soil.	