Core-shell structured nanoparticles for photodynamic therapy-based cancer treatment and 1 2 related imaging Yang Yang ^{a, c, 1}, Zhuotong Zeng ^{b, 1}, Eydhah Almatrafi ^{d, 1}, Danlian Huang ^a, Chen Zhang ^a, Weiping 3 Xiong^a, Min Cheng^a, Chengyun Zhou^a, Wenjun Wang^a, Biao Song^a, Xiang Tang^a, Guangming 4 Zeng^{a, b, d, *}, Rong Xiao^{b, *}, Zhi Li^{c, *} 5 ^a College of Environmental Science and Engineering and Key Laboratory of Environmental Biology 6 7 and Pollution Control (Ministry of Education), Hunan University, Changsha 410082, PR China ^b Department of Dermatology, Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South wersity, Changsha 410011, 8 PR China 9 ^c Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 10 1H9, Canada 11 ^d Center of Research Excellence in Renewable Energy a d Power Systems, Center of Excellence in 12 Desalination Technology, Department of M kanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering-Rabigh, 13 King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21 . di Arabia 14 15 16

^{*} Corresponding authors at: College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha, 410082, PR China.

E-mail addresses: zgming@hnu.edu.cn (G. Zeng), xiaorong65@csu.edu.cn (R. Xiao) and zhi.li@ualberta.ca (Z. Li).

¹ These authors contribute equally to this article.

17 Abstract

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is an emerging noninvasive therapy modality for treating cancer 18 diseases. However, conventional PDT suffers from poor stability of organic photosensitizers, limited 19 tissue penetration depth of excitation light and hypoxic tumor microenvironment, which hinders its 20 modern clinical applications. The combination of PDT and nanotechnology is becoming a promising 21 technology to tackle these troubles. Core-shell structured nanoparticles are of great interest as they 22 can integrate the functionalities of individual components into one structure and exhibit improved 23 physical and chemical properties that are different from the single g ponent. Therefore, many 24 efforts have been paid to develop core-shell structured nanoparticle PDT of cancer. This review 25 provides a panorama of the latest achievement in the developments of core-shell structured 26 aging. Concretely, this review starts with nanoparticles for PDT-based cancer treatment and related 27 the categories of core-shell structured nanoparticles followed by the functions of these nanoparticles 28 in PDT of cancer, including photosensitize elivery vehicle, energy transducer, photosensitizer and 29 hen the applications of core-shell structured particles hypoxic tumor microenvironment mo water 30 f cancer are highlighted as well as their imaging applications for photodynamic synergistic 31 as contrast agents. Final spectives on the major challenges and opportunities are presented for 32 better developments in the future research. 33

Keywords: Core-shell; Photodynamic therapy; Cancer treatment; Synergistic therapy; Imaging
 35

Contents

37	1. Introduction	4
38	2. Categories of core-shell structured nanoparticles	6
39	2.1. Inorganic core-shell structured nanoparticles	9
40	2.2. Organic core-shell structured nanoparticles	11
41	2.3. Hybrid core-shell structured nanoparticles	12
42	3. Core-shell structured nanoparticles for PDT of cancer	13
43	3.1. Photosensitizer delivery vehicles	14
44	3.2. Energy transducers	16
45	3.3. Photosensitizers	19
46	3.4. Hypoxic tumor microenvironment modulators	22
47	4. Core-shell structured nanoparticles for photodynamic synergistic the apy of cancer	27
48	4.1. PDT combined with chemotherapy	29
49	4.2. PDT combined with photothermal therapy	
50	4.3. PDT combined with immunotherapy	38
51	4.4. PDT combined with other therapies	41
52	5. Core-shell structured nanoparticles for imaging in DJ-based cancer treatment	45
53	5.1. Optical imaging	46
54	5.2. Photothermal imaging	48
55	5.3. Photoacoustic imaging	49
56	5.4. Magnetic resonance imaging	49
57	5.5. Computed tomography imaging	51
58	6. Conclusions and perspectives	52
59	Acknowledgements	53
60	Reference	53
61		

64 1. Introduction

Cancer, a disease that poses serious threats to the health of human beings, is becoming one of 65 the leading causes of death globally. In 2020, nearly 19.3 million new cancer cases were diagnosed, 66 and about 10.0 million cancer cases deaths occurred [1]. Due to the high risk and mortality of cancer, 67 researchers all over the world have been working to develop effective therapies to treat cancer [2-4]. 68 Conventional cancer therapies mainly include surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy, and they have 69 some inescapable shortcomings. For example, surgery usually requires the cooperation of 70 chemotherapy or radiotherapy to completely remove the cancer cells, some tumors may recur 71 after surgery [5, 6]. Chemotherapy inhibits the cell division, leading a some side effects, such as 72 alopecia and myelosuppression [7, 8]. Besides, radiotherapy is restricted by the radiation site and 73 cumulative radiation dose [9, 10]. Accordingly, althout improvement of the traditional cancer 74 therapy modalities is important, it is also necessarily develop alternate therapy modalities that are 75 safer, more effective and more affordable 76

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) cancer treatment method that can kill cancer cells 77 through reactive oxygen spec generated by a photosensitizer under light irradiation [11, 12]. 78 Compared with tradition accer treatment methods, PDT has the advantages of high safety, good 79 repeatability, low long-term morbidity and high life quality of patients [13-15]. Normally, PDT 80 consists of three essential components: photosensitizer, excitation light and molecular oxygen (O_2) 81 [16]. These components are not toxic alone, but together they will trigger a photochemical reaction 82 to produce cytotoxic ROS. Under the irradiation of light with a specific wavelength, photosensitizer 83 can be excited and then react with substrates and O₂ to generate free radicals, such as superoxide 84 radical (O_2^{-}) and hydroxyl radical (OH) (type I reaction). Alternatively, the excited photosensitizer 85 can directly transfer its energy to O_2 to form highly reactive singlet oxygen (1O_2), resulting in the 86

significant cellular toxicity (type II reaction) [17]. Notably, three interrelated mechanisms are
involved in the tumor destruction by PDT: direct tumor cell kill, vascular damage and immune
response [11]. Since the significant breakthrough made in 1975 by Dougherty and co-workers [18],
PDT has been proved to be effective in treating various cancers, such as skin cancer, head and neck
cancers, and superficial bladder cancer.

Despite the extensive research and rapid growth, photodynamic cancer therapy still has some 92 limitations in the modern clinical applications [19-21]. Typically, the traditional small organic 93 molecule photosensitizers present poor stability and low targeting a ty, which will reduce the 94 efficiency of PDT and may evoke serious side effects [22, 23]. intion wavelength of most 95 photosensitizers is in the visible light region, which will result in the limited tissue penetration depth 96 and thus hinder the wide application of PDT [24]. Mo er, the hypoxic tumor microenvironment 97 induced by O2 consumption will also affect the susaide effect of PDT [25, 26]. In recent years, the 98 introduction of nanoparticles into PDT has come a promising strategy to resolve these issues [27-99 the core-shell structured nanoparticles have stimulated 29]. Among the various types of nanoparticle 100 integrate the functionalities of individual components into one great research interest as the 101 physical and chemical properties that are different from the single structure and exhibit im 102 component [30-32]. Meanwhile, the active interfaces between different components in core-shell 103 structured nanoparticles may produce synergistic effects and novel properties [33, 34]. For example, 104 some biomolecules shells could not only stabilize the photosensitizers in biological fluids and extend 105 their blood circulation time, but also provide the ability to actively target tumor sites [35-37]. The 106 lanthanide-doped upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) cores could absorb near-infrared (NIR) light 107 and convert it to ultraviolet-visible (UV-VIS) light, thereby exciting the photosensitizers loaded in 108 the shells [38-40]. Compared with the UCNPs/metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) nanocomposite 109

with Janus structure, the distance between UCNPs and MOFs in core-shell structured UCNPs@MOFs nanoparticle is shorter, which could enhance the energy transfer efficiency from the UCNPs to the MOFs under NIR light irradiation, thus promoting the ${}^{1}O_{2}$ generation and improving the PDT efficacy [41-43]. Most importantly, the incorporation of functional materials or agents could enable core-shell structured nanoparticles to be multifunctional nanoplatforms for synergistic therapy and imaging [44-46]. These unique core-shell structured nanoparticles have been widely applied in photodynamic cancer therapy, but lacking a systematic understanding and overview.

This review aims to summarize the recent progress of core-shell stru ured nanoparticles in PDT-117 based cancer treatment and related imaging. First, the categories of or ructured nanoparticles 118 are introduced according to the material compositions of the core and shell. Second, the functions of 119 core-shell structured nanoparticles in PDT of cancer comprehensively summarized. Then the 120 achievements of core-shell structured nanoparticle in hotodynamic synergistic therapy of cancer 121 ation of core-shell structured nanoparticles in imaging are discussed in detail. Additionally, the apr 122 pentioned. Ultimately, a brief conclusion and some during the PDT-based cancer treatment are 123 s of this area are presented. perspectives on the future dev 124

125 2. Categories of core-shill structured nanoparticles

In the past decades, various strategies have been developed to prepare core-shell structured nanoparticles because of the great application potentials of core-shell structures in many fields, such as biomedicine [47, 48], energy utilization [49, 50], catalysis [51, 52], etc. Meanwhile, there are some excellent reviews that have summarized the synthesis of core-shell structured nanoparticles in detail [53-55]. Therefore, here we do not intend to provide a repeated summary on the synthesis of coreshell structured nanoparticles, but rather to briefly introduce the categories of core-shell structured nanoparticles in PDT of cancer (Table 1). Broadly, a core-shell structured nanoparticle is composed 133 of an inner core and an outer shell. According to material compositions of the core and shell, core-

134 shell structured nanoparticles can be classified into three categories: inorganic, organic and hybrid.

- 135
- 136

137 Table 1. Categories of core-shell structured nanoparticles in PDT of cancer.

		Synthesis methods			
Nanoparticle Morphology		Core Shell		Functions	Ref.
		Class I: Inorganic core-s	shell structured nanoparticles		
UCNPs@mSiO2	a a a Mare	Thermal decomposition method	Sol-gel method	Photosensitizer (RB) delivery vehicle Energy transducer	[37]
UCNPs@mSiO2		Coprecipitation method	Sol-gel method	Phoos asitizer (ZnPc) delivery vehicle Energy transducer	[56]
AuNR@SiO2	S.	Seed-mediated growth method	Solve I method	Photosensitizer (HB) delivery vehicle	[57]
Fe ₃ O ₄ @SiO ₂ @mSiO ₂		Solvothermal mit too	Sol-gel method	Photosensitizer (AIC4Pc) delivery vehicle	[58]
UPCNs@TiO2		Tyrmal decomposition method	Solvothermal method	Energy transducer Photosensitizer (TiO ₂)	[59]
SiO ₂ @MnO ₂	200 mg	Sol-gel method	Reduction method	Photosensitizer (MB) delivery vehicle Hypoxic tumor microenvironment modulator	[60]
Cu _{2-x} S@MnS	tin S <u>V nin</u>	One-pot hot-inj	ection method	Photosensitizer (Cu _{2-x} S) Hypoxic tumor microenvironment modulator	[61]
UCNPs@CaF2	and a	Thermal decomposition method	Epitaxial growth method	Photosensitizer (PpIX) delivery vehicle Energy transducer	[62]
		Class II: Organic core-s	hell structured nanoparticles		

Photosensitizer (BODIPY) [63]
Photosensitizer (porphyrin-lipid) [64]
Photosensitizer (IR780) delivery vehicle [65]
on and Photosensitizer (Ce6) delivery vehicle [66] ation
oparticles
n method Photosensitizer (HB) delivery vehicle [35]
n method Photosensitizer (DSBDP) delivery vehicle [67]
n method Photosensitizer (ICG) delivery vehicle [68]
nethod Photosensitizer (COFs) [69]
Energy transducer n method [70] Photosensitizer (g-C ₃ N ₄)
Photosensitizer (Ce6) delivery vehicle n method [71] Energy transducer
Photosensitizer (PCPDTBT) nethod [72] Hypoxic tumor microenvironment modulator

_

AuNR@MOFs		Seed-mediated growth method	Solvothermal method	Photosensitizer (MOFs)	[73]
UCNPs@MOFs	000	Thermal decomposition method	Precipitation method	Energy transducer Photosensitizer (MOFs)	[42]
ZIF-67@ZIF-8	0	Precipitation method	Precipitation method	Photosensitizer (PpIX) delivery vehicle Hypoxic tumor microenvironment modulator	[74]

138

139 2.1. Inorganic core-shell structured nanoparticles Inorganic core-shell structured nanoparticles are the most imof the three types. The 140 cores of the inorganic core-shell structured nanoparticles used for PDT of cancer are usually made of 141 UCNPs [75, 76], metals [77, 78], metal oxides [79, 80] and sulfides [81, 82], while their shells are 142 1] and sulfides [61, 83], and CaF₂ [62, 84]. mainly composed of SiO₂ [75, 79, 82], metal oxide. 143 cores with low bulk conductivity and high suspension Notably, the SiO₂ coating can endow inorg 144 stability [53, 85]. Moreover, becau collable pore structure, feasible functionalization and 145 excellent biocompatibility, tl D_2 shell can serve as a carrier to deliver photosensitizers [86, 146 I material, the SiO₂ has attracted much interest in recent years. For 87]. Therefore, as a typi 147 example, to avoid the shift of surface plasmon resonance (SPR) peak of gold nanorods (AuNR) from 148 NIR region to visible light region, Qin et al. deposited a mesoporous SiO₂ (mSiO₂) shell on the surface 149 of AuNR for preventing their aggregation under NIR laser irradiation [57]. After incorporating a 150 hypocrellin B (HB) photosensitizer into the mSiO₂ shell, the AuNR@mSiO₂-HB nanoparticles 151 presented great potential in synergistic PDT/photothermal therapy (PTT). In the study of Xu et al., a 152 NaGdF₄:Yb,Er@NaGdF₄:Nd,Yb core was coated with a mSiO₂ shell containing dual-photosensitizer 153 for PDT [88]. The chlorin e6 (Ce6) and merocyanine 540 (MC540) photosensitizers were loaded into 154

the mSiO₂ shell through covalent bond and electrostatic interaction, respectively. As a consequence, the mSiO₂ shell not only enabled the nanoparticles to have a high photosensitizer loading, but also avoided the direct contact between photosensitizers and cells in organism, thereby protecting them from the *in vivo* microenvironment.

Apart from SiO₂, metal oxides and sulfides including TiO₂ [89, 90], ZnO [91], MnO₂ [92], CeO₂ 159 [81], ZrO₂[93], MnS [61] and FeS [83], and CaF₂ [94] have also been employed as the shell materials 160 of inorganic core-shell structured nanoparticles for PDT of cancer. For example, TiO₂ is a desirable 161 photosensitizer as it can be maintained for a long time in human bod and is nontoxic and stable 162 without light irradiation [95]. In the study of Hou et al., a TiO₂ ated on the surface of 163 NaYF₄:Yb,Tm@NaGdF₄:Yb core for PDT [59]. The direct contact between TiO₂ shell and UCNPs 164 core could ensure the maximum energy transfer from CNPs to TiO₂, thereby accelerating the 165 production and release of ROS. MnO₂ has a high O compration ability in acidic and H₂O₂-rich tumor 166 the for alleviating tumor hypoxia and enhancing PDT microenvironment, making it a good cand 167 efficacy [96]. Li et al. developed bell structured nanoparticle consisted of a hollow 168 Ce6 photosensitizer and a MnO₂ shell for PDT/PTT [97]. The mesoporous CuS core loaded 169 modulator to effectively alleviate tumor hypoxia, but also served as a MnO₂ shell not only acted 170 gatekeeper to prevent the premature release of loaded Ce6. ZrO₂ can be utilized in imaging-guided 171 therapy owing to its excellent biocompatibility and effective imaging ability [98]. Feng et al. 172 fabricated UCNPs@ZrO2 nanoparticles to load Ce6 photosensitizer, doxorubicin (DOX) and 173 tetradecanol for multimodal imaging-guided PDT/PTT/chemotherapy [93]. The hollow and 174 mesoporous ZrO₂ shell endowed the UCNPs@ZrO₂ nanoparticles with superior drug delivery 175 capacity and satisfactory computed tomography (CT) imaging performance. Moreover, the CaF₂ shell 176 can strengthen the upconversion luminescent intensity of UCNPs core and prevent the leakage of rare 177

- 178 earth ions in UCNPs core [99]. A core-shell structured NaYF₄:Yb,Er@CaF₂ nanoparticle was
- 179 fabricated by Punjabi et al. for *in vivo* deep tumor PDT treatment [62].

180 *2.2. Organic core-shell structured nanoparticles*

Both cores and shells of organic core-shell structured nanoparticles are made of polymers or 181 other organic materials. Owing to the good biodegradability and high drug encapsulation efficiency, 182 they are widely applied to the controlled release of photosensitizers in PDT of cancer [100]. 183 Meanwhile, encapsulating photosensitizers in these nanoparticles can significantly increase the 184 dispersibility and stability of photosensitizers, thereby improv • their pharmacokinetic 185 characteristics [28]. In recent years, poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) non⁺ xic, nonimmunogenic, 186 nonantigenic and water soluble polymer, has been frequently employed to construct organic core-187 shell structured nanoparticles for PDT of cancer [63, 1. For example, Kim et al. conjugated a 188 pheophorbide a (PhA) photosensitizer with methox, P2G (mPEG) through disulfide bond to fabricate 189 moparticles for PDT [102]. The disulfide bond was the core-shell structured mPEG-(ss-PhA) 190 broken in the intracellular reductive environment, thereby promoting the rapid release of PhA 191 an organic core-shell structured nanoparticle by conjugating photosensitizer. An et al. construct 192 higol chemiluminescence substrate and PEG for H₂O₂-triggered in situ Ce6 photosensitizer with 193 PDT [103]. At a pathologically relevant H₂O₂ concentration, the Ce6 photosensitizer was activated 194 through chemiluminescence resonance energy transfer to generate ¹O₂ for in situ PDT of tumors and 195 repressing lung metastasis. Besides, lipids have also been used to fabricate organic core-shell 196 structured nanoparticles for encapsulating photosensitizers in PDT of cancer. Cheng et al. 197 encapsulated IR780 photosensitizer and perfluorocarbon by lipids to create organic core-shell 198 structured nanoparticles for PDT [104]. In the study of Chang et al., porphyrin-lipid shell was utilized 199 to stabilize the water/oil interface to develop organic core-shell structured nanoparticles for 200

201 PDT/chemotherapy [64].

202 2.3. Hybrid core-shell structured nanoparticles

There are two typical forms of hybrid core-shell structured nanoparticles: inorganic core-organic 203 shell and organic core-inorganic shell. Normally, the inorganic-organic core-shell structured 204 nanoparticles applied in PDT are made of metals [67], metal oxides [105] and UCNPs [106, 107] 205 cores and polymers [67, 106, 107] and organic carbonaceous materials [105, 108] shells. One of the 206 advantages of coating the organic shell on the inorganic core is that it can improve the stability and 207 biocompatibility of the inorganic core. Meanwhile, the organic shell has bundant functional groups, 208 which enables further photosensitizers loading and surface modifie [11]. For example, Tan 209 et al. coated a polyaniline (PANI) shell on a Ag core and then loaded an indocyanine green (ICG) 210 photosensitizer to prepare the core-shell structured ICG @PANI nanoparticles for PDT/PTT [68]. 211 The cell viability could still be maintained at abuy 70% in the dark when the concentration of 212 $n n n^{1}$, which indicated the good biocompatibility of Ag@PANI nanoparticles was as high as 40 213 Ag@PANI nanoparticles. novel core-shell structured gold abricated 214 Liu a nanoparticle to load zinc(II) phthalocyanine (ZnPc) nanoprism@mesoporous 215 Owing to the π - π stacking and hydrophobic interactions induced photosensitizer for PD7 216 by the mesoporous organosilica shell, the loading of the ZnPc photosensitizer could be as high as 11.8 217 wt%. In the study of Feng et al., Fe₃O₄ was employed as the core to in situ grow the covalent-organic 218 frameworks (COFs) shell for PDT/PTT [69]. Due to the excellent biocompatibility of COFs, the cell 219 viability of Fe₃O₄@COFs nanoparticles in the dark remained about 80% at a high concentration of 220 800 µg mL⁻¹. Ultimately, the Fe₃O₄@COFs nanoparticles presented satisfactory capacity to kill cancer 221 222 cells and inhibit tumor growth through the synergistic effect of PDT/PTT. The structure of organicinorganic core-shell structured nanoparticles is just the reverse of the above type. Coating the 223

inorganic shell on the organic core is beneficial to enhance the whole strength and wear resistance of
the nanoparticles [53]. In the study of Zhu et al., a MnO₂ shell was coated on a semiconducting hybrid
nanoparticles (SPN) core through an in situ growth strategy [72]. Compared with the uncoated SPN,
the SPN@MnO₂ nanoparticles showed better PDT efficacy as it could produce more ¹O₂ in the
hypoxic and acidic tumor microenvironment.

Moreover, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), as an emerging hybrid functional materials 229 assembled from inorganic metal nodes and organic linkers, have been extensively utilized to fabricate 230 core-shell structured nanoparticles for PDT of cancer owing to their lar 231 surface area, tunable pore structure, intrinsic biodegradability and excellent biocompatibili . Notably, the porous 232 structure of MOFs can not only prevent the aggregation of photosensitizers to reduce their self-233 quenching, but also promote the diffusion of ROS. I he study of Ren et al., a pH-responsive 234 nanoparticle was prepared for PDT/chemotherapy by incupsulating a DOX drug and a protoporphyrin 235 lazonate framework-67 (ZIF-67) core and a zeolitic IX (PpIX) photosensitizer in a zeolitic 236 imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8) shell tively [74]. The ZIF-8 shell degraded in weak acidic 237 prior release of PpIX. Then the ZIF-67 core rapidly catalyzed tumor microenvironment, trig 238 utilized by PpIX to generate ROS under laser irradiation for enhanced H₂O₂ to produce O₂, whi 239 PDT. Meanwhile, the decomposition of ZIF-67 core induced the release of DOX for chemotherapy. 240 Moreover, in the study of Liu et al., an O₂ self-evolving nanoparticle was fabricated through coating 241 a Material of Institute Lavoisie-NH₂ (MIL) shell on a CeO_x core for PDT [116]. Benefiting from the 242 encapsulation and protection of the MIL shell, the CeO_x@MIL nanoparticles presented more stable 243 activity for generating ROS in complex tumor microenvironment. 244

245 **3. Core-shell structured nanoparticles for PDT of cancer**

246 Recently, core-shell structured nanoparticles have been extensively applied in PDT of cancer.

They play four main functions in this treatment: photosensitizer delivery vehicles, energy transducers,
 photosensitizers and hypoxic tumor microenvironment modulators. Notably, most core-shell
 structured nanoparticles can simultaneously perform multiple functions.

250 *3.1. Photosensitizer delivery vehicles*

In general, most photosensitizers are organic small molecules, which are easy to self-aggregate 251 in aqueous phase, leading to a decrease in PDT efficacy [22, 117]. Accordingly, appropriate delivery 252 vehicles are needed to enhance their stability and targeting ability in PDT of cancer. The development 253 of nanotechnology enables nanoparticles with a core-shell structur to meet these demands, 254 improving the selectivity of photosensitizers to cancer cells 1201 In this process, the 255 photosensitizers are first encapsulated into the core-shell structured nanoparticles through physical 256 adsorption and chemical bonding. After the core-shell suctured nanoparticles reach the targeted 257 cancer cells and are irradiated by the light with a specific wavelength, the embedded photosensitizers 258 will be excited and produce a large amount toxic ROS to kill the cancer cells [121, 122]. 259

In a core-shell structured nanopartic both the core and shell can be used to load 260 \mathbf{p}_{2} ticularly, mesoporous nanostructures with large pore volume photosensitizers for PDT of c 261 emely beneficial to the loading of photosensitizers [123, 124]. For and high surface area and 262 example, Qian et al. fabricated NaYF4:Yb,Er@SiO2@mSiO2 nanoparticles for PDT of MB49 bladder 263 cancer cells (Fig. 1a) [125]. Incorporating the ZnPc photosensitizer into the mSiO₂ shell prevented it 264 from being degraded in the complex biological environment and accelerated the release of ROS. Zeng 265 et al. constructed MnO₂@polydopamine (PDA)-folic acid (FA) nanoparticles in which the Ce6 266 photosensitizer was loaded into the hollow mesoporous MnO₂ core for PDT of breast cancer [126]. 267 The PDA shell avoided the premature release of Ce6 in blood circulation, while after reaching the 268 acidic tumor site, the Ce6 was released because of the destruction of PDA shell. Meanwhile, 269

photosensitizers can self-assemble with other organic molecules to form core-shell structured selfdelivery nanoparticles for PDT of cancer [127, 128]. In the study of Liu et al., about 13.89% of the
Ce6 photosensitizer was loaded into a core-shell structured nanoparticle, which was composed of a
core formed by self-assembly of Ce6 and rapamycin as well as a MOFs shell loaded with catalase
[129].

In addition, the targeting ability of core-shell structured nanoparticles is critical to deliver 275 photosensitizers to tumor sites. There are two routes utilized for the controlled delivery: active and 276 passive delivery. In the case of active targeting, the customized tumor-tar ting ligands are introduced 277 on the core-shell structured nanoparticles for recognizing cell receptors to deliver 278 photosensitizers [130, 131]. For example, folic acid (FA) exhibits a high affinity with folate receptor 279 protein, which usually overexpresses on the surface of va us cancer cells [132]. Wang et al. achieved 280 superior cancer cell targeting ability in PDT by decrating the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@mSiO₂ nanoparticles 281 assive targeting, the photosensitizers loaded core-shell with FA (Fig. 1b) [58]. On the other hand, 1 282 structured nanoparticles will selectively ccumulate in targeted cancer cells because of 283 ctors [133]. Normally, in the case of passive targeting, most physicochemical or pharmace 284 cles deliver photosensitizers based on the enhanced permeability and core-shell structured nan 285 retention (EPR) effect [134, 135]. In the study of Meng et al., owing to the high angiogenesis of triple-286 negative breast cancer, the CDTNs selectively accumulated in it via EPR effect, improving the PDT 287 efficacy [136]. Wang et al. reported that the accumulation of FA modified core-shell structured 288 poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) nanoparticles in tumor was attributed to the synergistic effect 289 of active targeting and EPR effect [137]. Overall, with the help of the delivery of core-shell structured 290 291 nanoparticles, the photosensitizers can successfully reach the targeted cancer cells, thereby reducing

damage to the surrounding healthy cells and enhancing PDT efficacy.

292



U87MG tumor under NIR light irradiation [143]. When irradiated by a 980 nm NIR laser, the 309 NaYF₄:Yb,Er@NaGdF₄ nanoparticles emitted red light, which was exploited to excite the Ce6 to 310 produce cytotoxic ¹O₂, resulting in the necrosis of U87MG tumor. In the study of Lucky et al., the 311 NaYF4:Yb,Tm@TiO2-PEG nanoparticles exhibited admirable activity for PDT of human oral 312 squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) cells both in vitro and in vivo under 980 nm NIR laser irradiation 313 [95]. In this system, electrons in the valence band (VB) of TiO₂ shell was excited to the conduction 314 band (CB) because the NaYF4:Yb,Tm core could convert NIR light to ultraviolet light (Fig. 2a). 315 Consequently, the generation of charge carriers promoted the formation **FROS** for killing the OSCC 316 cells. Nevertheless, Yb3+-sensitized UCNPs usually need to be ne 980 nm NIR light, 317 which overlaps with the absorption of water molecules, leading to low tissue penetration depth and 318 overheating of tissues [144, 145]. Nd³⁺ doping can effect ly solve this issue because it can tune the 319 excitation wavelength of Yb³⁺-sensitized UCNPs to 1980 nm to around 800 nm where the tissue 320 is minimal [146-148]. For example, Xu et al. fabricated transparency is maximal and the heating eff 321 the dye-sensitized NaGdF4:Yb,Er@NaGdF4 d,Yb nanoparticles for dual-photosensitizer PDT of 322 on [88]. As displayed in Fig. 2b, it converted 808 nm photons cancer upon 808 nm NIR lase 323 exciting the MC540 and Ce6 photosensitizers respectively to generate to green and red light, the 324 ROS for cancer therapy. As expected, the in vitro and in vivo tests demonstrated that the dye-325 sensitized NaGdF₄:Yb,Er@NaGdF₄:Nd,Yb nanoparticles possessed high efficacy for PDT of HeLa 326 cancer cells and U14 tumors, respectively. 327

In addition to UCNPs, scintillator nanoparticles (SCNPs) are another promising energy transducers in PDT of cancer [149, 150]. They present a high X-ray shielding capability and can convert X-ray to UV-VIS fluorescence [151, 152]. For example, Zhang et al. prepared LiYF₄:Ce@SiO₂@ZnO nanoparticles for PDT of HeLa cancer cells under X-ray radiation [153]. As

depicted in Fig. 2c, the LiYF4:Ce core was excited by X-ray radiation and emitted ultraviolet 332 fluorescence, which was utilized to induce the formation of photogenerated charge carriers in the ZnO 333 shell. Subsequently, the photogenerated electrons and holes reacted with O_2 and H_2O to produce $\cdot O_2^-$ 334 and OH respectively, thereby enhancing the antitumor therapeutic efficacy of PDT. Meanwhile, 335 through the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) between SCNPs and photosensitizers, the 336 efficiently realize deep PDT 337 **SCNPs** can [154]. In the study of Hsu et al.. NaLuF4:Gd,Eu@NaLuF4:Gd@NaLuF4:Gd,Tb nanoparticles were designed for deep tissue PDT 338 under X-ray radiation (Fig. 2d) [155]. Upon X-ray excitation, it emitters 43 nm green light (from 339 Tb^{3+}), which overlapped with the main absorption peak of the loade (RB) photosensitizer 340 (549 nm), allowing efficient FRET from the NaLuF4:Gd,Eu@NaLuF4:Gd@NaLuF4:Gd,Tb donor to 341 the RB acceptor. By virtue of the integral FRET system large amount of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ was produced to kill 342 the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cancer cells. 343

Notably, as variants of tradition hell structured nanoparticles, yolk-shell-like 344 (core@void@shell) and hollow-like ell) nanoparticles are considered to be beneficial for 345 ce of internal cavity structures that facilitates light scattering energy transfer in PDT due to 346 et al. constructed UCNPs@ZnxCd_{1-x}S yolk-shell-like nanoparticles [156-158]. For example 347 for PDT of HeLa cancer cells under 980 nm NIR laser irradiation [159]. The steady and dynamic 348 fluorescence spectra demonstrated that the UCNPs@ZnxCd1-xS yolk-shell-like nanoparticle was an 349 efficient energy transducer for NIR light because it significantly enhanced the energy transfer 350 efficiency. In the study of Chang et al., Au@CuS yolk-shell-like nanoparticles were developed for 351 PDT/PTT/chemotherapy [160]. The yolk-shell structure could enhance local electromagnetic field to 352 induce a resonance energy transfer from Au core to CuS shell, improving both photodynamic and 353 photothermal performance. As a result, under 980 nm NIR laser irradiation, it displayed excellent 354

antitumor efficacy for *in vitro* 4T1 cancer cells and *in vivo* 4T1 tumor-bearing mice. Moreover, to obtain high energy transfer efficiency, Kamkaew et al. utilized the hollow mSiO₂ nanoparticles as a carrier to simultaneously encapsulate ⁸⁹Zr isotope and Ce6 photosensitizer [161]. In this system, ⁸⁹Zr isotope could serve as a Cerenkov radiation source to excite Ce6 photosensitizer to produce ROS for PDT of cancer.



Fig. 2. (a) Mechanism of the NaYF₄:Yb,Tm@T PEG anoparticles in PDT of cancer under 980 nm NIR laser 361 irradiation. Reproduced with permission. ight 2015, American Chemical Society. (b) Proposed energy 362 is n in the dye-sensitized NaGdF4:Yb,Er@NaGdF4:Nd,Yb nanoparticles level diagram and energy-transfer 363 tion. Reproduced with permission. [88] Copyright 2017, American Chemical under 808 nm NIR laser 364 Society. (c) Mechanism of the LiYF4:Ce@SiO2@ZnO nanoparticles in PDT of cancer under X-ray radiation. 365 Reproduced with permission. [153] Copyright 2015, Wiley-VCH. (d) Energy-transfer mechanism in the 366 NaLuF4:Gd,Eu@NaLuF4:Gd@NaLuF4:Gd,Tb nanoparticles under X-ray radiation. Reproduced with permission. 367

368

360

[155] Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society.

369 *3.3. Photosensitizers*

Owing to the unique light absorption characteristics, some core-shell structured nanoparticles have the capability to produce ROS upon light excitation, which allows them to serve as

photosensitizers in PDT by themselves. Metal oxide and sulfide semiconductors have attracted much 372 attention as photosensitizers in PDT of cancer because of their efficient photoactivity [162-164]. 373 Under light irradiation, they are induced to generate electron-hole pairs, which can react with O₂ and 374 H₂O to produce various ROS for killing the cancer cells. For example, Liu et al. constructed 375 Au@SiO2@Cu2O nanoparticles (Fig. 3a and b) and loaded them into perfluorohexane droplets with 376 liposome coating for PDT of cancer under 670 nm laser irradiation [165]. The process of plasmon-377 induced resonance energy transfer from Au core to Cu₂O shell facilitated the generation of charges in 378 Cu_2O shell, resulting in a significant increase in the quantum yield of ¹Q Hence this nanocomposite 379 exhibited outstanding anticancer efficacy for in vitro MCF-7 can in vivo MCF-7 tumor 380 xenotransplanted BALB/c nude mice. Wang et al. integrated oxygen vacancy-enriched core-shell 381 structured crystalline@amorphous black TiO₂ into a chiteren matrix for PDT of cancer [166]. Under 382 808 nm NIR laser irradiation, the thermogel showed considerable activity in killing B16F10 cells in 383 vive Moreover, Huang et al. prepared Cu_{2-x}S@MnS vitro and inhibiting B16F10 tumors growt 384 of Here cancer cells [61]. In this structure, the $Cu_{2-x}S$ core nanoparticles (Fig. 3c and d) for PDT 385 OS for PDT upon 808 nm NIR laser excitation. acted as a photosensitizer to g 386

sulfide semiconductors, graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) also has Apart from metal o 387 been employed as a photosensitizer for PDT of cancer, which is ascribed to its low cytotoxicity, 388 excellent biocompatibility, good photostability and low cost [167-171]. As exhibited in Fig. 3e and f, 389 Feng et al. created UCNPs@g-C₃N₄-PEG nanoparticles for PDT of HeLa cells in vitro and U14 390 tumors in vivo under 808 nm NIR laser irradiation [70]. The UCNPs core converted absorbed NIR 391 light to UV-VIS light, which could excite electrons in the VB of g-C₃N₄ shell to the CB and thus 392 induce the formation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs. These photogenerated electrons and holes 393 then reacted with O_2 and H_2O respectively to produce O_2^- and OH, resulting in the death of HeLa 394

cells and inhibition of U14 tumors growth. In the study of Zhang et al., the nitrogen-doped graphene quantum dot (N-GQD)@hollow mSiO₂@g-C₃N₄-amphipathic polymer (R-NCNP) nanoparticles presented superior anticancer effects both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [108]. In this nanocomposite, the g-C₃N₄ photosensitizer was excited by a 630 nm laser to produce ROS for PDT of cancer.

More recently, porphyrinic MOFs have shown great potential as photosensitizers for PDT of 399 cancer [172-174]. Since porphyrinic MOFs are directly self-assembled by the coordination 400 interactions between porphyrin photosensitizers and metal ions/clusters, the porphyrin-derived 401 molecules are uniformly dispersed in the whole porphyrinic MOFs fram ork, which maximizes the 402 light harvesting ability. Meanwhile, the abundant pore structures of elerate the diffusion of 403 ROS, thereby enhancing the PDT efficacy. For example, Zeng et al. reported that AuNR@porphyrinic 404 MOFs nanoparticles exhibited excellent PDT efficacy up NIR laser excitation for killing the cancer 405 cells in vitro and inhibiting the tumor growth and ne as asis in vivo [73]. In the study of Shao et al., 406 ticle (Fig. 3g and h) were constructed for PDT of UCNPs@porphyrinic MOFs (UCSs) nane 407 hypoxic tumors [42]. Benefiting from flyient energy transfer from UCNPs core to porphyrinic 408 MOFs nanoparticles presented rapid generation of ¹O₂ under MOFs shell, the UCNPs@por 409 980 nm NIR laser irradia esulting in the enhanced PDT efficacy. 410



Fig. 3. (a) TEM image and (b) interfacial HRTEM image of the Au@SiO₂@Cu20 na courticles. Reproduced with
permission. [165] Copyright 2018, American Chemical Society. (c) TEM image and (d) EDS elemental mapping
images of the Cu_{2-x}S@MnS nanoparticles. Reproduced with practission. [61] Copyright 2019, Wiley-VCH. (e)

TEM image of the UCNPs@g-C₃N₄ nanoparticles, and (1971FM image and EDS elemental mapping images of

416 the UCNPs@g-C₃N₄-PEG nanoparticles. Reproduced with permission. [70] Copyright 2016, American Chemical

417 Society. (g) TEM image and (h) EDL elemental mapping images of the UCNPs@porphyrinic MOFs

418 nanoparticles. Reproduced with premission. [42] Copyright 2020, American Chemical Society.

419 *3.4. Hypoxic tumor microgramment modulators*

Hypoxia is a prominent feature of tumor microenvironment, which originates from the uncontrolled cancer cells growth and abnormal angiogenesis [175, 176]. Moreover, the process of PDT also consumes O₂ to generate ROS, thereby exacerbating the tumor hypoxia [177, 178]. Hypoxic tumor microenvironment not only accelerates the cancer metastasis but also impairs the therapeutic efficacy of PDT [179, 180]. Recently, various core-shell structured nanoparticles have been developed to modulate hypoxic tumor microenvironment for attenuating the tumor hypoxia in PDT [181-184]. Normally, there are two main mechanisms in the process of utilizing core-shell structured 427 nanoparticles to overcome this obstacle.

One mechanism is the direct transport of O_2 to hypoxic tumor areas through the core-shell 428 structured nanoparticles to effectively oxygenate the tumor. Perfluorocarbon is an efficient O₂ carrier 429 due to its high affinity toward O₂ molecules [65, 185]. In the study of Cheng et al., the IR780 430 photosensitizer was loaded into an oxygen self-enriched nanoparticle, which was composed of 431 perfluorocarbon droplet core and lipid shell [104]. In this nanoparticle, the IR780 photosensitizer was 432 evenly dispersed inside the lipid shell. When irradiated by a 808 nm NIR laser, the IR780 transferred 433 energy to the oxygen enriched-perfluorocarbon droplet core for cytotox \mathbf{O}_2 production, leading to 434 an enhanced tumor inhibition. However, perfluorocarbon-based Q esent a limited ability 435 to transport O₂ to the tumor site. On account of the large pore volume and high surface area, MOFs 436 have been regarded as promising candidates for O_2 s age and transport [186, 187]. Xie et al. 437 constructed a multifunctional nanoplatform by covulnity conjugating DOX and NH2-poly(ethylene 438 f core-shell structured UCNPs@mSiO₂-RB@ZIF-90 glycol) modified folic acid on the surface 439 nanoparticles for highly efficient calcer the py under 808 nm NIR laser irradiation [188]. The 440 outermost ZIF-90 shell was ervoir, which decomposed under acidic conditions, enabling 441 rapid release of O2 at h tumor microenvironment. After the addition of UCNPs@mSiO2-442 RB@ZIF-90 nanoparticles, the O₂ concentration in deoxygenated phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) 443 solution at low pH value was increased. 444

Another mechanism is using core-shell structured nanoparticles with catalase-like properties to catalyze endogenous H_2O_2 for in situ O_2 production. Mn-based materials (e.g., MnO₂ [189], MnS [61] and Mn-Cdots [190], etc.) are the most commonly used catalase-like nanoenzymes to alleviate tumor hypoxia by virtue of their superior activity. For example, Zhu et al. developed a core-shell structured nanoparticle composed of a MnO₂ shell and a SPN core for enhanced PDT of 4T1 cancer cells both

in vitro and in vivo [72]. Under hypoxic and acidic tumor microenvironment, the MnO₂ shell 450 decomposed H₂O₂ to O₂. Subsequently, the O₂ was activated by the SPN core under 808 nm NIR laser 451 irradiation to form ¹O₂ for cancer therapy. Compared with the uncoated SPN, the MnO₂ coated SPN 452 (SPN-M1) produced 2.68-fold more ¹O₂ at hypoxic and acidic conditions under NIR laser irradiation. 453 Moreover, Huang et al. reported that the MnS shell in Cu_{2-x}S@MnS nanoparticles acted as a H₂O₂ 454 responder to mediate O₂ production for efficiently relieving tumor hypoxia [61]. Nevertheless, Mn-455 based materials are only suitable for acidic tumor microenvironment since their catalytic activity is 456 greatly affected by the pH. As an emerging catalase-like nanoenzyme, no he metals have drawn much 457 attention due to their admirable stability and pH-independent activ [6]. For example, Wang 458 et al. designed Pt-based core-shell structured nanoparticles to promote the decomposition of 459 endogenous H2O2 for enhanced PDT efficacy (Fig. 4a-94]. In this nanoparticle, the Pt interlayer 460 first decomposed the endogenous H₂O₂ to O₂, which as then converted to cytotoxic ¹O₂ by the 461 zirconium-porphyrin (PCN) shell when exp d to right irradiation (Fig. 4f). As shown in Fig. 4d and 462 e, the O_2 generation and 1O_2 prod fficiencies over the polydopamine (Pda)-Pt@PCN 463 nanoparticles were significan ced. Meanwhile, cellular level tests further verified the O₂-464 improved ¹O₂-producing capability of the Pda-Pt@PCN nanoparticles generating capability and 465 (Fig. 4g and h). In another study, a porous Au@Rh core-shell structured nanoparticle was developed 466 to alleviate tumor hypoxia for improved PDT [78]. As expected, it showed excellent catalase-like 467 activity to effectively decompose H_2O_2 to O_2 in tumors. 468





- 475 of ROS production in CT26 cells treated with (h1) 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate in dark, (h2) 2',7'-
- 476 dichlorofluorescein diacetate under 660 nm LED irradiation, (h3) Pda-Pt@PCN-FA in dark and (h4) Pda-
- 477 Pt@PCN-FA under 660 nm LED irradiation. The irradiation time was 2 min. The scale bar is 36 μm. Reproduced
 - with permission. [194] Copyright 2018, Wiley-VCH.

478

Unfortunately, the amount of O₂ generated by catalase-like core-shell structured nanoparticles is 479 highly dependent on the decomposition of endogenous H₂O₂, while the low level of endogenous H₂O₂ 480 in tumor cells is not enough to produce a considerable amount of O₂ to alleviate tumor hypoxia [195]. 481 To solve this problem, He et al. designed a UCNPs@MOFs(UMOFs Au cascade biocatalyst to 482 continuously produce O₂ for PDT (Fig. 5a) [196]. Firstly, the Au nanoparticles first 483 converted glucose to H₂O₂ in tumor microenvironment, leading to the increase of H₂O₂ concentration 484 iron porphyrin MOFs shell through the (Fig. 5b). Subsequently, the H_2O_2 was decomposed by 485 catalase-like reaction to generate O₂ (Fig. 5c). Finally the UCNPs core converted NIR light to visible 486 light, thereby exciting the iron porphyrin M Es shell to produce cytotoxic ${}^{1}O_{2}$ for cancer therapy. In 487 y of endogenous H₂O in tumor microenvironment, addition, given the abundance and 488 oregulator (R-NCNP) to execute laser-excited water splitting Zhang et al. designed an intell 489 8]. As shown in Fig. 5e, the g-C₃N₄ in R-NCNP split H₂O to O₂ under for enhanced PDT (Fig. 490 630 nm laser irradiation, which was then converted to ${}^{1}O_{2}$ by the photosensitizers in R-NCNP, thereby 491 efficiently attenuating tumor hypoxia and enhancing PDT efficacy. 492



account of the convenience of incorporating functional materials or agents [55, 199, 200]. The

applications of core-shell structured nanoparticles in photodynamic synergistic therapy of cancer are
listed in Table 2. Herein, core-shell structured nanoparticles for photodynamic synergistic therapy of
cancer are introduced according to the combination of PDT with different therapies (e.g.,
chemotherapy, PTT and immunotherapy).

511

512 Table 2. Core-shell structured nanoparticles for photodynamic synergistic therapy of cance	cer and related imaging.
--	--------------------------

			Obj			
Nanoparticle	Excitation light Modality		In vitro In vivo		Imaging	Ref.
NCP@pyrolipid	LED (670 nm)	PDT/Chemotherapy	HNSCC135, SCC61, JSQ3 and SQ20B cells ^{a)}	SQ20B tumor-bearing mice	Optical	[201]
PTX-S-OA@PPa-PEG	Laser (660 nm)	PDT/Chemotherapy	$KB^{\text{b})},4T1^{\text{c})}\text{and}A549^{\text{d})}\text{cells}$	KB tumor-beari g mice	Optical	[128]
Au@dsDNA/G4	Laser (690 nm)	PDT/Chemotherapy	HeLa cells	HeLa tumor-bearing mice	Optical	[120]
SAD@ZIF-90	Laser (808 nm)	PDT/Chemotherapy	HeLa cells	HeLa tuma estarting nice	Optical	[114]
UCNPs@mSiO2@ZIF-90	Laser (808 nm)	PDT/Chemotherapy	4T1 cells and HeLa cells	H22 ^{e)} tumor-beating mice	Optical/MR	[188]
AuNR@SiO2	Laser (780 nm)	PDT/PTT	CT26 ^{f)} cells	-	Optical	[77]
AuNR@MOFs	Laser (640 nm and 808 nm)	PDT/PTT	4T1 cells	4T1 tumor-bearing mice	Optical/Photothermal	[202]
b-P25@PDA-Ce6 (Mn)	Laser (671 nm and 808 nm)	PDT/PTT		4T1 tumor-bearing mice	Optical/Photothermal/MR	[203]
TiO ₂ @RP	Laser (808 nm)	PDT/PTT	OS-RC-2: 1 786-O cells ^{g)}	786-O tumor-bearing mice	Optical/Photothermal	[204]
HMCuS@MnO2	Laser (660 nm and 808 nm)	PDT/PTT	4T1 cells	4T1 tumor-bearing mice	Optical/Photoacoustic/MR	[97]
ZnP@pyrolipid	Laser (670 nm)	PDT/Improvidency	4T1 cells	4T1 tumor-bearing mice	Optical	[205]
TPPM@BioPEGDMA	Laser (660 nm)	PDT/Impunother by	CT26 cells	CT26 tumor-bearing mice	Optical	[206]
LiYF4:Ce@SiO2@ZnO	X-ray	PDT/Radiotherapy	HeLa cells	HeLa tumor-bearing mice	-	[153]
PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs	Laser (980 m)	1/CDT	HeLa cells	HeLa tumor-bearing mice	Optical/MR/CT	[207]
mSiO2@MnO2@PEG	Laser (808 nm)	PDT/CDT	4T1 cells	4T1 tumor-bearing mice	Optical	[92]
UCNPs@mSiO2-CuS	Laser (980 nm)	PDT/PTT/ Chemotherapy	HeLa cells	H22 tumor-bearing mice	Optical/Photothermal/MR/ CT	[208]
PDA@UCNPs	Laser (980 nm)	PDT/PTT/ Immunotherapy	4T1 cells	4T1 tumor-bearing mice	Optical/Photothermal/MR	[71]
BiNS-Fe@Fe	Laser (808 nm)	PDT/PTT/CDT	HepG-2 ^{h)} cells	HepG-2 tumor-bearing mice	Optical/Photothermal/ Photoacoustic/MR/CT	[83]
UCNPs@MOFs	Laser (980 nm)	PDT/Chemotherapy/ Immunotherapy	CT26 cells	CT26 tumor-bearing mice	Optical	[42]
CDTN	Laser (671 nm)	PDT/Chemotherapy/ Gene therapy	4T1 cells	4T1 tumor-bearing mice	Optical	[136]

513 a)human head and neck cancer cells; b)human epidermoid cancer cells; c)mouse breast cancer cells; d)human non-small cell lung cancer cells; c)mouse liver cancer cells; b)human epidermoid cancer cells; b)human head and neck cancer cells; b)human epidermoid cancer cells; b)human head and neck cancer cells; b)human epidermoid cancer cells; b)human head and neck cancer cells; b)human epidermoid cancer cells; b)human head and neck cancer cells; b)human epidermoid cancer cells; b)human head and neck cancer cells; b)human epidermoid cancer cells; b)human head and neck cancer cells; b)human head and neck cancer cells; b)human epidermoid cancer cells; b)human head and neck cancer cells; b)human head and head and neck cancer cells; b)human head a

514 cells; ^{g)}human clear cell renal cell carcinoma cells; ^{h)}human hepatoma cells.

515

516 *4.1. PDT combined with chemotherapy*

Chemotherapy is one of the most widely used cancer treatment strategies in the past few decades, 517 which ingests chemotherapeutic drugs orally or intravenously to suppress tumor growth [209, 210]. 518 Although chemotherapy has the unique merits of eliminating cancer cells in the early stage and 519 improving survival rate in the late stage, there are still some therapeutic limitations, such as premature 520 drug release, severe drug resistance and side effects on healthy tissues [211, 212]. The integration of 521 chemotherapy and PDT into a single nanoparticulate system is a promising way to solve these issues 522 [213-215]. Specifically, nanoparticulate system can promote the deliver f small molecule drugs to 523 tumor sites via the EPR effect, resulting in the selective distribution of degs and low toxicity to 524 healthy tissues. What is more, chemotherapy can increase the sensitivity of tumor cells to 525 photoinduced ROS, while ROS in turn can restrain the stivity of proteins related to drug efflux, 526 thereby reducing the possibility of drug efflux are restoring multidrug tolerance. Recently, the 527 portices for cancer therapy by combining PDT with development of core-shell structured nar 528 chemotherapy has attracted great interest to relize superior anticancer effect [74, 181]. 529

dugs can self-assemble with traditional small molecule Normally, chemotherap 530 sore-shell structured nanoparticles for combined therapy of photosensitizers 531 to PDT/chemotherapy [216]. For example, He et al. reported a self-assembled nanoscale coordination 532 polymer (NCP)@pyropheophorbide-lipid (pyrolipid) nanoparticle with cisplatin drug in the core and 533 pyrolipid photosensitizer in the shell for PDT/chemotherapy [201]. As depicted in Fig. 6a, the 534 NCP@pyrolipid kept structural integrity extracellularly, but released pyrolipid and cisplatin 535 intracellularly, leading to the apoptosis and necrosis of cancer cells. Flow cytometry results 536 demonstrated that the NCP@pyrolipid nanoparticles aroused the highest level of apoptosis (26.0%) 537 and necrosis (14.5%) for SQ20B human head and neck cancer cells under 670 nm LED light 538

irradiation (Fig. 6b). Pharmacokinetic and biodistribution investigations of the NCP@pyrolipid 539 nanoparticles in CT26 tumor-bearing mice indicated that the pyrolipid and cisplatin presented low 540 uptake in normal organs, high tumor accumulation and extended blood circulation times (Fig. 6c-f). 541 By virtue of the synergistic effect of PDT and chemotherapy, the NCP@pyrolipid nanoparticles 542 exhibited superior antitumor effect (both in tumor volume and weight) for human head and neck 543 cancer SQ20B xenograft mice compared to monotherapy (Fig. 6g and h). In the study of Chen et al., 544 an antitumor drug paclitaxel (PTX) was utilized to induce the self-assembly of Ce6 photosensitizer-545 modified human serum albumin (HSA) and acyclic Arg-Gly-Asp (cRG**D**K) peptide-modified HSA 546 [217]. The self-assembled nanoparticle was composed of a Ce6/RTX ore and a RGD/PTX-547 HSA shell. Both in vitro and in vivo studies proved that the Ce6/PTX-HSA@RGD/PTX-HAS 548 nanoparticles could not only target $\alpha v\beta$ 3-integrin, but a realize PDT/chemotherapy combination, 549 which significantly enhanced the therapeutic effica 550 cancer.





Fig. 6. (a) Proposed cytotoxicity mechanism of the NCP@pyrolipid nanoparticles. (b) Flow cytometry showing

553 the apoptosis and necrosis induced by the NCP@pyrolipid nanoparticles upon irradiation. (c) Tissue distributions

554	of Pt at different time points after intravenous injection of the NCP@pyrolipid nanoparticles. (d) Observed and
555	fitted time-dependent Pt concentrations in blood following the NCP@pyrolipid administration by one-
556	compartment model. (e) Time-dependent pyrolipid and cisplatin concentrations in blood after intravenous
557	injection of the NCP@pyrolipid nanoparticles. (f) Observed and fitted time-dependent pyrolipid concentrations in
558	blood following the NCP@pyrolipid administration by one-compartment model. (g) Tumor growth inhibition
559	curves. (h) Weights of excised tumors on Day 12. Reproduced with permission. [201] Copyright 2015, American
560	Chemical Society.
561	Chemotherapeutic drugs can be loaded into core-shell structured expoparticles together with
562	traditional small molecule photosensitizers for combined therapy of PD Nebertotherapy. For example,
563	Wang et al. assembled the ZnPc photosensitizer and DOX on the surface of UCNPs@mSiO ₂ -CuS
564	nanoparticles for PDT and chemotherapy functions espectively [208]. Benefiting from the
565	synergistic effect of PDT and chemotherapy, the UCNPs@mSiO2-CuS-ZnPc-DOX nanoparticles
566	showed superior antitumor efficiencies between view of and in vivo. With the rapid development of
567	photosensitizers, chemotherapeutic drugs are also loaded into core-shell structured nanoparticles that
568	can directly act as photoserstrizers. In the study of Yang et al., DOX was loaded into the
569	UCNPs@MIL-100(Fe) non-perticles for PDT/chemotherapy [218]. The MIL-100(Fe) shell not only
570	served as a photosensitizer to produce ROS under irradiation, but also loaded a large amount of DOX
571	due to its porous structure and high specific area. In order to better regulate their interactions,
572	chemotherapeutic drugs and photosensitizers are placed in different layers independently. Peng et al.
573	prepared the dual-template imprinting polymer nanoparticles for targeted PDT/chemotherapy by
574	encapsulating gadolinium-doped silicon quantum dots and Ce6 photosensitizer in fluorescent
575	SiO ₂ (FSiO ₂) core and loading DOX and epitope into 3-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane (MPS)
576	shell [219]. Under 655 nm laser irradiation, the implanted Ce6 photosensitizer generated ${}^{1}O_{2}$ to kill

577 cancer cells, combining with the embedded DOX to achieve a synergistic treatment.

Notably, the controllable release of drugs in core-shell structured nanoparticles is critical to 578 obtain a desirable therapeutic efficacy [220, 221]. Since tumor microenvironment presents slight 579 acidity, some pH-responsive core-shell structured nanoparticles have been designed to precisely 580 control the release of drugs in tumors [74, 188]. For example, Cai et al. constructed pH-responsive α -581 (UZNPs)-polyacrylic 582 NaYbF₄:Tm@CaF₂:Nd@ZnO acid (PAA)-DOX nanoparticles for PDT/chemotherapy (Fig. 7a) [84]. Upon 808 nm NIR laser excitation, the nanoparticles were induced 583 to generate electron-hole pairs, which subsequently reacted with O_2 and H_{O} to produce O_2^- and OH584 respectively for cancer therapy (Fig. 7b). As shown in Fig. 7c, the 585 ramagnetic resonance tests also confirmed the ROS generation. Moreover, the PAA coating could load abundant DOX and 586 decompose at mild acidic tumor microenvironment release DOX (Fig. 7d). At acid buffer 587 dispersion with pH of 5.5, the nanoparticles released root 82% of DOX in the first 8 h, verifying the 588 addition to pH-responsive core-shell structured superior pH-activable ability (Fig. 589 nanoparticles, some ROS-responsive or - the structured nanoparticles have also been developed to 590 large number of ROS generated during PDT. In the study of regulate the release of drugs 591 was coated on a ROS-generating PhA-linked poly(hydroxyethyl Lee et al., a chitosan 592 methacrylate) (poly-HEMA) core, and then linked to an anticancer drug 5'-deoxy-5-fluorocytidine 593 (DFCR) through phenylboronic acid to form a ROS cleavable boronic ester for PDT/chemotherapy 594 [222]. Sun et al. fabricated a ROS-reponsive nanoparticle composed of a single thioether-bridged 595 paclitaxel (PTX)-oleic acid (OA) prodrug (PTX-S-OA) core and a pyropheophorbide a (PPa)-596 polyethylene glycol 2000 (PEG_{2k}) shell for PDT/chemotherapy [128]. Under laser irradiation, the 597 ROS generated by PPa-PEG_{2k} shell not only were used for PDT, but also promoted the release of 598 PTX from PTX-S-OA in combination with endogenous ROS. 599



and the ability to overcome imperfections of PDT, PTT has been extensively employed to combine

with PDT to maximize the curative effect for cancer [204, 226, 227]. In this synergistic therapeutic 611 modality, the appropriate photothermal effect can increase the permeability of cell membranes, 612 thereby promoting the efficient absorption and penetration of tumor cells to nanoparticles. Meanwhile, 613 it can also accelerate the blood flow velocity in tumor and hence transport more O₂ to attenuate the 614 tumor hypoxia. Recently, a series of NIR light absorbing nanomaterials have been applied to construct 615 core-shell structured nanoparticles for combined therapy of PDT/PTT, such as gold nanostructures 616 (e.g., nanorods [202, 228] and nanocages [229, 230]), PDA [66, 71, 231], Nd³⁺-doped UCNPs [232, 617 233], black TiO₂ [166, 203] and copper sulfide [208, 234, 235]. 618 ntial in PDT/PTT owing to Among them, gold nanostructures are considered to have gre 619 their SPR induced excellent photothermal effect [236-238]. For example, Qin et al. coated the AuNR 620 a folate-modified lipid (LF) bilayer for with a HB photosensitizer-incorporated mSiO₂ shell a 621 PDT/PTT [57]. The AuNR@mSiO₂-HB@LF nanova actes possessed a strong SPR peak at 801 nm, 622 IR light irradiation. After being irradiated by a 808 nm which was expected to achieve PTT under 623 f AuNR@mSiO₂-HB@LF suspension (0.1 mg mL⁻¹) laser (1.5 W cm⁻²) for 5 min, the temperature 624 increased by about 50 °C, s enough to kill the tumor cells. Moreover, the yield of 625 hanced by hyperthermia. Therefore, the AuNR@mSiO2-HB@LF photoinduced ROS 626 nanoparticles could significantly eliminate the MCF-7 tumor in BALB/c nude mice because of the 627 synergistic effect of PDT and PTT. To improve the photothermal conversion efficiency and 628 photothermal stability of gold nanostructures, Zhang et al. synthesized gold cube-in-cubes for 629 developing the CCmMC PDT/PTT agent [190]. The CCmMC nanovehicles were constructed by 630 loading Mn-Cdots on gold cube-in-cubes@mSiO2 core-shell structured nanoparticles (Fig. 8a). As 631 displayed in Fig. 8b-d, the temperature of CCmMC suspension increased as the increase of CCmMC 632 concentration and irradiation power density, implying the high NIR light-induced photothermal effect 633

of CCmMC. Fig. 8e and f further demonstrated that the CCmMC possessed a superior photothermal
conversion efficiency of 65.6% and excellent photothermal stability upon 808 nm laser excitation.
After coupling with the Mn-Cdots-induced favorable PDT effect, the CCmMC exhibited desirable
therapy efficacy in treating 4T1 tumor xenografts on nude mice under the dual laser (635 and 808 nm)
irradiation.



pronounced absorption in NIR region, satisfactory photothermal conversion capacity and outstanding 648 biocompatibility [239, 240]. In the study of Cen et al., a PDA shell was coated on the methylene blue 649 (MB)-loaded UCNPs@SiO₂ nanoparticles for PDT/PTT [241]. The temperature of UCNPs@SiO₂-650 MB@PDA suspension (0.2 mg mL⁻¹) rose to 52.2 °C after 10 min of 980 nm laser (1.5 W cm⁻²) 651 irradiation, indicating its great photothermal conversion ability. Through the FRET from UCNPs to 652 MB photosensitizer and PDA, the UCNP@SiO2-MB@PDA nanoparticles presented excellent 653 PDT/PTT synergistic effect for killing the cancer cells under 980 nm laser irradiation. Yang et al. 654 utilized PDA core as the template to prepare lactose acid (LA)-grafted **PDA**@cobalt phytate (CoPA) 655 nanoparticles for PDT/PTT [242]. Benefiting from the PDA-end PTT effect, CoPA-induced 656 PDT effect and LA-endued targeting capability, the PDA@CoPA-LA nanoparticles exhibited superior 657 antitumor performances both in vitro and in vivo. Moreo the abundant amino and catechol groups 658 on the surface of PDA make it easy to be modified waaious functional biomolecules [243]. Zeng et 659 al. improved the performance of targeted ast concer treatment in PDT/PTT by introducing FA 660 molecules on the surface of MnO₂-Cetter nanoparticles [126]. 661

therapeutic modality of PDT/chemotherapy and PDT/PTT In addition to the combinate 662 PDT/PTT/chemotherapy has been developed to further lower laser summarized above, tri-1 663 power and reduce drug dosage in cancer treatment. For example, Zeng et al. employed AuNR as the 664 665 seed crystal to prepare AuNR@MOFs@camptothecin (CPT) nanoparticles for PDT/PTT/chemotherapy (Fig. 9a-e) [73]. As shown in the in vivo photothermal images (Fig. 9f), the 666 temperature of AuNR@MOFs@CPT nanoparticles-injected tumor increased quickly from 28.5 to 667 48.4 °C after 2 min of 808 nm laser irradiation, and then reached a steady temperature of 54.8 ± 1.2 °C , 668 which was sufficient to cause the death of cancer cells. Meanwhile, the photothermal effect of AuNR 669 could also accelerate the intracellular release of CPT (Fig. 9g and h). By virtue of the synergistic 670
effect of photoinduced ROS, photothermal effect and released CPT, the combined therapy 671 significantly raised the survival rate of 4T1 tumor-bearing mice (Fig. 9i). Furthermore, the 672 AuNR@MOFs@CPT nanoparticles restrained the hepatic metastases because of its accumulation in 673 liver and tumor position (Fig. 9j and k). And after 50 d of treatment of mice with 674 AuNR@MOFs@CPT nanoparticles, the tumors almost completely disappeared (Fig. 91). 675 Additionally, Chen et al. designed ROS-responsive PPID nanoparticles which was composed by self-676 assembly of a IR780 photosensitizer and DOX co-loaded poly(β-amino ester) core and a propylene 677 glycol alginate sodium sulfate shell for PDT/PTT/chemotherapy [244]. The PPID nanoparticles could 678 greatly improve the PDT and PTT performances of IR780 further promote the 679 internalization of IR780 and DOX in Hep1-6 cells. Compared with free IR780 and free DOX, the 680 PPID nanoparticles showed synergistic cytotoxicity in H 1-6 cells under 808 nm laser irradiation. 681



683 Fig. 9. (a) TEM image, (b) STEM-HAADF image and (c) EDX elemental mapping images of the AuNR@MOFs

684

682

nanoparticles. (d) The structure of AuNR@MOFs@CPT nanoparticles. (e) The combined

685	PDT/PTT/chemotherapy of tumor. (f) The <i>in vivo</i> thermal images of the mice after intravenous injection of PBS
686	and AuNR@MOFs@CPT with 808 nm laser irradiation. (g) The intracellular drug release behavior of
687	AuNR@MOFs@CPT in dark and (h) under 808 nm laser irradiation. (i) Survival curves of tumor-bearing mice
688	after different treatments ($n = 5$, ** $p < 0.01$ and *** $p < 0.01$ were calculated by a Student's <i>t</i> test). (j) H&E
689	staining of liver after intravenous injection of PBS and (k) AuNR@MOFs@CPT on day 18. (l) The photograph of
690	tumor-bearing mice treated with AuNR@MOFs@CPT for combined therapy after 50 d. Reproduced with
691	permission. [73] Copyright 2017, Wiley-VCH.
692	4.3. PDT combined with immunotherapy
693	PDT can not only kill tumor cells directly, but also induce immunogenic cell death (ICD) of
694	tumor cells, thereby promoting the maturation of dendritic cells and activation of effector cells, and
695	ultimately leading to a systemic antitumor immune response [245-247]. Recent studies have reported
696	that some core-shell structured nanoparticles can we an antitumor immune response during the
697	process of PDT to enhance the therapeutic efficacy for cancer [206, 230]. For example, in the study
698	of Liang et al., in addition to efficiently detroying 4T1 breast cancer cells, the abundant ROS
699	generated by gold nanocage and anoparticles under laser irradiation also triggered the ICD-
700	mediated antitumor immune esponse [229]. Specifically, the dying cancer cells released damage
701	associated molecular patterns (e.g., calreticulin, adenosine triphosphate and high mobility group
702	protein B1) for the dendritic cells maturation. And then the specific effector cells (e.g., CD4 ⁺ T cells,
703	CD8 ⁺ T cells and NK cells) were activated to prevent the tumor growth and metastasis. Unfortunately,
704	the immune response induced by PDT is usually mild and not enough to completely suppress the
705	tumor metastasis. Immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment may significantly depress the PDT-
706	induced immunotherapy efficacy through the immune checkpoint pathway [198, 248].

As an effective cancer treatment method with low side effects, immunotherapy kills tumor cells

by activating the body's own immune system [249]. Among them, checkpoint blockade 708 immunotherapy has attracted much attention, which exploits inhibitor molecules to target the 709 regulatory pathways in T cells for modulating immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment and 710 enhancing antitumor immune response [250, 251]. Especially, the programmed death 1/programmed 711 death ligand 1 (PD-1/PD-L1) blockade has already been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug 712 Administration (FDA) to treat diverse tumors [252, 253]. Although checkpoint blockade 713 immunotherapy has achieved clinical success, it is only effective in tumors pre-infiltrated by T cells. 714 Accordingly, PDT that can induce ICD of tumor cells may improve efficacy. In this case, the 715 combined therapy of PDT/immunotherapy based on core-shell und hanoparticles has the 716 potential to promote the primary tumors destruction and distant metastatic tumors control [254]. In 717 the study of Duan et al., the Zn-pyrophosphate (ZnP pyrolipid nanoparticles (Fig. 10a) were 718 fabricated to combine PDT with checkpoint blockale in munotherapy for the treatment of metastatic 719 he combination of ZnP@pyrolipid-mediated PDT with breast cancer [205]. As depicted in Fig. 10 720 PD-L1 antibody (a-PD-L1)-mediated many herapy not only destroyed the primary tumors but also 721 ung in a 4T1 mTNBC murine model. Compared to the PBS remarkably inhibited the meta 722 Sipid-mediated PDT reduced the 4T1 tumor by 68% in volume and 75% control group, the ZnP(a)723 in weight. But after the introduction of α -PD-L1, the 4T1 tumor was completely eradicated. Moreover, 724 the results of gross examination of lung tumor nodules demonstrated that the combined therapy was 725 much more effective than ZnP(a) pyrolipid-mediated PDT or α -PD-L1-mediated immunotherapy 726 alone in restraining lung metastasis. 727



729 Fig. 10. (a) Scheme showing the Zn-pyrophosphate core and the asymmetric aver shell of ZnP@pyrolipid nanoparticles. (b) Immunogenic ZnP@pyrolipid PDT sensitizes tumors to PD-L1 blockade immunotherapy for the 730 treatment of metastatic tumors. Reproduced with permission 05] opyright 2016, American Chemical Society. 731 To further enhance the therapeutic efficace for cancer, tri-modal therapeutic approach has been 732 developed on the core-shell structured pane particles, such as PDT/chemotherapy/immunotherapy [42, 733 As shown in Fig. 11a, Shao et al. constructed tirapazamine 255] and PDT/PTT/immunothera 734 y [7. (TPZ)-encapsulated UGCs nanoparticles to combine PDT/chemotherapy with checkpoint blockade 735 immunotherapy for the treament of hypoxic tumors [42]. The combined therapy effectively inhibited 736 the growth of primary tumors and distant tumors in CT26 tumor-bearing mice both in tumor volume 737 and weight. Meanwhile, in the combined therapy group, the percentages of infiltrating CD45⁺ cells, 738 739 CD4⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells and B cells were increased in both primary tumors and distant tumors (Fig. 11b-e), indicating that the combination of TPZ/UCSs-mediated PDT/chemotherapy with α -PD-740 L1-mediated immunotherapy improved the immunotherapeutic efficacy through the infiltration of 741 effector T cells. Besides, in the study of Yan et al., PDA@UCNPs-PEG/Ce6 nanoparticles were 742

728

assembled to combine PDT/PTT with α -PD-L1-mediated immunotherapy for inhibiting the tumor metastasis and relapse [71]. In the combined therapy group, most of the 4T1 tumor-bearing mice could survive 100 days, and the survival rate was almost as high as 77.8%, which was much higher than that of the control groups.



753 *4.4. PDT combined with other therapies*

In addition to chemotherapy, PTT and immunotherapy, PDT can also be combined with other therapies, such as radiotherapy [256, 257], gene therapy [258, 259] and chemodynamic therapy [260, 261], to enhance the therapeutic efficacy. With the development of nanotechnology, some core-shell structured nanoparticles have been designed to combine PDT with these therapies. Radiotherapy is a conventional cancer treatment method, which utilizes ionizing radiation to control or kill tumor cells and is not limited by the tissue penetration depth [262]. Benefiting from the inherent antitumor efficacy and strong penetration ability of ionizing radiation, the combined therapy of PDT/radiotherapy that employs a single excitation source will present a great clinical significance [263]. In the study of Zhang et al., LiYF₄:Ce@SiO₂@ZnO nanoparticles were fabricated for synchronous PDT and radiotherapy under X-ray radiation [153]. The growth of tumor treated by PDT/radiotherapy was almost completely suppressed after 15 days, while the growth of tumor treated by radiotherapy alone was only slightly inhibited, implying the excellent synergistic effect of PDT and radiotherapy.

Gene therapy is a promising cancer treatment method, which delive • therapeutic nucleic acids 767 into the tumor cells to correct or compensate cancers caused by geneti and anomalies [264]. 768 Among various therapeutic nucleic acids, small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) that can intracellularly 769 terest since they can remarkably improve silence disease-causing genes have attracted tremendous 770 the specificity and efficacy of gene therapy [265, 2 67. Nevertheless, the cellular impermeability and 771 easy degradability of siRNAs hinder their sfer into tumor cells. A recent study suggested that the 772 core-shell structured photodynamic anoranicle is an excellent carrier that can simultaneously 773 RNAs into tumor cells for photodynamic synergistic therapy deliver chemotherapeutic dru 774 ore-shell structured nanoparticles named CDTNs were designed via [136]. As shown in Fig 775 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[maleimide-(polyethylene self-assembly of 776 glycol)₅₀₀₀ (DSPE-PEG), poly-β-aminoester derivative Ce6-grafted poly[(1,4-butanediol)-diacrylate-777 β -oligoethylenimine₆₀₀] (Ce6-PDOEI), docetaxel and anti-Twist siRNA for tri-modal 778 PDT/chemotherapy/gene therapy of metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (mTNBC). In the 779 superficial part of the tumor, CDTNs eliminated the primary tumor and inhibited its pulmonary 780 metastasis mainly through PDT. While in the deep part of the tumor, CDTNs eliminated the primary 781 tumor mainly through PDT-potentiated chemotherapy and inhibited its pulmonary metastasis through 782

PDT-potentiated gene therapy and chemotherapy (Fig. 12b). Therefore, compared with the monotherapy and dual-modal therapy, the CDTNs exhibited superior efficacy in inhibiting the growth of the primary tumor and its pulmonary metastasis (Fig. 12c-f).



(PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs) for PDT/CDT synergistic therapy [207]. The CMSNs alleviated tumor 799 hypoxia by decomposing H_2O_2 to generate O_2 , and served as photosensitizers to utilize the O_2 to 800 generate ¹O₂ upon NIR laser excitation for PDT. Meanwhile, the tumor glutathione (GSH)-triggered 801 release of Fenton-like Mn^{2+} and Cu^{+} ions led to CDT by inducing the generation of $\cdot OH$ (Fig. 13b). 802 Benefiting from the synergistic effect of PDT and CDT, the PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs displayed 803 superior antitumor effects both in vitro and in vivo under 980 nm NIR laser irradiation (Fig. 13c and 804 d). Moreover, in the study of Qi et al., NaGdF4:Er,Yb@NaGdF4:Nd@Cu(II) boron-imidazolate 805 frameworks (CSNPs@Cu-BIF) nanoparticles were assembled for PDT/**DFT**/CDT synergistic therapy 806 [233]. Upon 808 nm NIR laser excitation, the nanoparticles exhibited unbersed antitumor efficacy 807 for in vitro MCF-7 cancer cells and in vivo MCF-7 tumor-bearing nude mice. 808





Fig. 13. (a) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs and (b) the theranostic mechanism of
PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs for TMFund DIR laser co-enabled PDT/CDT and trimodal bioimaging. (c) Viabilities of
HeLa cells in the control group and treated with NIR, PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs and PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs plus
NIR. (d) Variations in the relative tumor volume achieved from the mice under different treatments. Reproduced
with permission. [207] Copyright 2020, American Chemical Society.

5. Core-shell structured nanoparticles for imaging in PDT-based cancer treatment

809

Molecular imaging techniques play a vital role in diagnosis and treatment of cancer. In recent years, a variety of molecular imaging techniques, such as optical imaging, photothermal imaging, photoacoustic imaging, magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography imaging have been employed for the imaging in PDT-based cancer treatment [271-273]. Notably, core-shell structured nanoparticles are widely used in the PDT-based cancer treatment, and can be used as effective contrast agents for the imaging in PDT-based cancer treatment. Compared with single-component nanoparticles, core-shell structured nanoparticles present unique imaging behavior as they possess combinatorial characteristics of both core and shell materials, which is conductive to multimodal imaging [121, 200, 274].

825 *5.1. Optical imaging*

Optical imaging is a noninvasive and safe imaging strategy, hich utilizes the inherent 826 luminescent property of the nanomaterials [275, 276]. Some photo 827 hizerean the excited state not only produce cytotoxic ROS, but also emit luminescence when they back to the ground state [277]. 828 Consequently, in addition to being employed for PDT, the e photosensitizers can also be utilized for 829 the optical imaging in PDT-based cancer treatment. In recent years, various small molecule 830 [9, 103] and ICG [68, 78], have been encapsulated photosensitizers, such as PhA [278, 279] 831 into core-shell structured nanoparticle for flurescence imaging-guided PDT. In the study of Liu et 832 anoparticles in mice bearing subcutaneous MDA-MB-231 al., the biodistribution of RG 833 through an ex vivo imaging system because of the intrinsic 834 tumors could be easily fluorescence of Ce6 [129]. The results of fluorescent imaging displayed that both free Ce6 and 835 RC@TFC nanoparticles were extensively distributed throughout the mouse after 1 h of injection (Fig. 836 14a). After 24 h, most of the free Ce6 was cleared from the mouse, while the RC@TFC nanoparticles 837 accumulated in the tumor site and showed strong fluorescence, which might be ascribed to the EPR 838 effect (Fig. 14b). Similarly, loading ICG photosensitizer through electrostatic adsorption on the gold 839 840 nanocage@MnO₂-hyaluronic acid nanoparticles to realize the fluorescence emission for fluorescence imaging-guided PDT was reported by He et al. [230] In addition, quantum dots (QDs) are utilized for 841

fluorescence imaging, but they are limited by the low water solubility and tendency to photooxidation [280]. Core-shell structured photodynamic nanoparticles can efficiently encapsulate the QDs to minimize these limitations. Hence these core-shell structured nanoparticles possessed excellent ability for the fluorescence imaging in PDT-based cancer treatment [108, 190, 219].

Benefiting from the exceptional photophysical properties, UCNPs also present good 846 fluorescence imaging ability [281]. After coating them with suitable materials and photosensitizers, 847 these core-shell structured nanoparticles can be applied in the fluorescence imaging-guided PDT. 848 Wang et al. prepared UCNPs@SiO₂(MB)@mSiO₂(RhB)-β-cyclentxtrin nanoparticles for 849 simultaneous fluorescence imaging, PDT and drug delivery [282]. Upor 980 m NIR laser excitation, 850 the UCNPs core emitted 540 nm green light for fluorescence imaging and 660 nm red light to activate 851 photosensitizers for ¹O₂ generation. In the study of Tang a mSiO₂ shell was decorated on UCNPs 852 and then the ZnPc photosensitizer was incorporate into the mSiO₂ shell to construct a fluorescence 853 150. In this nanoplatform, the green emission excited imaging-guided PDT theranostic nanoplatf 854 by the 808 nm NIR laser was used for ral time imaging, while the red emission excited by the 980 855 NOS for PDT (Fig. 14c). Furthermore, compared with the nm NIR laser was used to a 856 reging in the first NIR window (NIR-I, 700-900 nm), recently developed traditional fluorescence f 857 fluorescence imaging in the second NIR window (NIR-II, 1000-1700 nm) possess deeper tissue 858 penetration ability, better spatial resolution and higher signal-background-ratio [283-285]. For 859 example, Wang et al. fabricated UCNPs@mSiO₂(Ce6, atovaquone)@MnO₂ nanoparticles for NIR-II 860 fluorescence imaging-guided PDT [286]. Upon 808 nm NIR laser excitation, the nanoparticles 861 emitted intense NIR light: 1060 nm (Nd: ${}^{4}F_{3/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{11/2}$), 1350 nm (Nd: ${}^{4}F_{3/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{13/2}$) and 1520 nm 862 $(\text{Er}^{3+}: {}^{4}\text{I}_{11/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{I}_{15/2})$, which promoted the NIR-II fluorescence imaging. 863



Fig. 14. (a) *In vivo* (1 vs 24 h post injection) and (b) *ex vivo* (24 h post injection) fluorescent imaging of MDAMB-231 tumor bearing mice treated with free Ce6 and RC@TFC. Reproduced with permission. [129] Copyright
2019, American Chemical Society. (c) Mechanism of the UCNPs@mSiO_27nFinance articles for fluorescence
imaging-guided PDT. Reproduced with permission. [56] Copyright 2019, American Chemical Society.

869 5.2. Photothermal imaging

Photothermal imaging is a sensitive imaging st gy based on the difference of temperature, 870 which is often operated in conjunction wit TT [287, 288]. The core-shell structured nanoparticles 871 that applied in combined therapy of T has the potential for photothermal imaging. For 872 ated vacancy-enriched example, oxygen core-shell structured 873 Wang et al. crystalline@amorphous TiO₂ into a chitosan matrix for synchronous PDT/PTT and 874 photothermal imaging [166]. As monitored by the photothermal images (Fig. 15a), the temperature 875 of the tumor treated with the BT-CTS thermogels rapidly increased and exceeded 50 °C after being 876 irradiated by a 808 nm laser (0.32 W cm⁻²) for 15 min. In the study of Ou et al., zinc porphyrin@PDA 877 nanoparticles were synthesized for photothermal imaging-guided PDT/PTT [289]. Photothermal 878 images demonstrated that the temperature of the tumor injected with zinc porphyrin@PDA 879 nanopartciles quickly rose from 35.0 °C to 52.0 °C after 5 min of 660 nm laser (0.75 W cm⁻²) 880 irradiation, while the temperature of the tumor injected with PBS only increased about 1 °C. Similarly, 881

Huang et al. constructed $Cu_{2-x}S@MnS$ nanoparticles for photothermal imaging-guided PDT/PTT [61]. The intense optical absorption of the $Cu_{2-x}S@MnS$ nanoparticles in NIR region resulted in the excellent photothermal conversion and photothermal imaging property.

885 *5.3. Photoacoustic imaging*

Photoacoustic imaging utilizes the (laser) light pulses to irradiate the sample to generate 886 ultrasound signals for the images creation. It possesses the advantages of both optical and ultrasonic 887 imaging, such as high spatial resolution, high optical contrast and deep penetration [290-292]. 888 Recently, core-shell structured nanoparticles with superior absorption pr rties in the visible or near-889 infrared light region have been favored in photoacoustic imaging durin Lased cancer treatment. 890 For example, Tan et al. monitored the tumor accumulation behavior of ICG-Ag@PANI nanoparticles 891 strong optical absorbance [68]. Compared during the PDT/PTT by photoacoustic imaging due to th 892 with the ICG, PANI and Ag@PANI-treated tumors the CG-Ag@PANI-treated tumor exhibited the 893 put 7.- and 2.5-fold that of ICG and Ag@PANI-treated strongest photoacoustic signals, which was 894 tumors, respectively. Besides, Wang t. d. orted that the Au@Rh-ICG nanoparticle coated with 895 e as a contrast agent for photoacoustic imaging during PDT tumor cell membrane (CM) 896 ion [78]. As shown in Fig. 15b, after the intravenous injection of due to its strong NIR a 897 Au@Rh-ICG-CM nanoparticles, the photoacoustic signals in the tumor region gradually increased 898 and reached the strongest after 12 h, which was beneficial to trace the tissue distribution of the 899 nanoparticles and guide the treatment process. 900

901 5.4. Magnetic resonance imaging

Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging is a facile and noninvasive imaging technique that offers evident soft tissue contrast and anatomical details [293, 294]. The relaxation process in nuclear magnetic resonance can be divided into longitudinal relaxation time (T_1) and transverse relaxation

time (T_2) , both of which can be employed for MR imaging [295]. Commonly, lanthanide ions such as 905 Gd³⁺ and Yb³⁺ incorporated in the core-shell structured nanoparticles could enhance the contrast in 906 T_1 MR imaging [188, 296]. For example, Cai et al. fabricated the UZNPs-PAA-DOX nanoparticles 907 for MR imaging-guided PDT/chemotherapy. As exhibited in the MR images of Fig. 15c, there was 908 no obvious difference between the normal tissue and cancerous tissue before the injection of UZNPs-909 PAA-DOX nanoparticles, while the cancerous tissue presented brighter image than that of the normal 910 tissue after the injection of UZNPs-PAA-DOX nanoparticles [84]. With the increase of Yb³⁺ ions 911 concentration, the MR signal intensity of UZNPs-PAA-DOX increased advally. The longitudinal 912 relaxivity of UZNPs-PAA-DOX was estimated to be 10.36 mM⁻¹ Niceting its great potential in 913 T_1 MR imaging. Moreover, owing to the unique MR contrast enhancement effect, Fe₃O₄-based core-914 shell structured nanoparticles exhibited excellent perform ace in T_2 MR imaging [58, 105, 279]. 915 have drawn much attention as tumor Recently, Mn- and Fe-containing nanoma er al 916 207, 297]. In general, the content of GSH, H₂O₂ microenvironment-enhanced MR contrast 917 and H⁺ in tumor microenvironment of solid t or is high. The Mn- and Fe-containing nanomaterials 918 M^+ in tumor microenvironment to release Mn^{2+} and Fe^{3+} ions for can react with the GSH, H₂O 919 respectively. For example, Xu et al. decorated a mesoporous MnO₂ 920 enhancing T_1 and T_2 MI shell on a UCNPs core for tumor microenvironment-enhanced PDT/chemotherapy and multimodal 921 imaging [298]. In tumor microenvironment, the mesoporous MnO₂ shell decomposed rapidly to 922 release Mn²⁺ ions, which coupled with trimodal imaging of UCNPs to show a self-enhanced imaging. 923 The longitudinal relaxivity of this nanoparticle in PBS was increased from 1.63 (pH 7.4, GSH 0×10^{-10} 924 3 M, H₂O₂ 0 × 10⁻⁶ M) to 9.37 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ (pH 6.5, GSH 10 × 10⁻³ M, H₂O₂ 50 × 10⁻⁶ M). In the study 925 of Ma et al., the Mn²⁺ ions released from SiO₂-MB@MnO₂ nanoparticles due to the decomposition 926 of MnO_2 in acidic tumor microenvironment, which significantly improved the performance of T_1 MR 927

928 imaging [60].

929 *5.5. Computed tomography imaging*

930 Computed tomography (CT) imaging is an X-ray imaging technique that holds the advantages of fast acquisition time, high resolution and easy three-dimensional modeling [273]. UCNPs-based 931 core-shell structured nanoparticles have attracted much interest as CT contrast agents in PDT-based 932 cancer treatment [59, 91, 149]. In the study of Wang et al., the UCNPs@mSiO₂-CuS-ZnPc 933 nanoparticles were fabricated for CT imaging-guided PDT [208]. The tumor site without injection of 934 UCNPs@mSiO₂-CuS-ZnPc possessed a CT value of 28.1 Hounsfield Units (HU), which was much 935 lower than the sample-injected tumor site (313.5 HU). Xu et al. in atted the in vitro and in vivo 936 CT contrast imaging properties of the PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs nanoparticles [207]. As the 937 concentration of PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs nanoparticles reased, the CT signal intensity increased 938 rapidly. As shown in Fig. 15d, the CT value of the turbor site with injection of PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs 939 was 451.8 HU, which was significantly hi r that that of the control group (75.4 HU), indicating 940 g CT imaging contrast agent. that the PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs was a pr 941



- 943 Fig. 15. (a) Infrared thermal images of B16F10 tumor-bearing mice treated with BT-CTS thermogels under the
- 944 NIR irradiation. Reproduced with permission. [166] Copyright 2019, American Chemical Society. (b)
- 945 Photoacoustic images of Au@Rh-ICG-CM nanoparticles at the tumor site. Reproduced with permission. [78]
- 946 Copyright 2020, Wiley-VCH. (c) MR images of mice before and after administration UZNPs-PAA-DOX
- 947 nanoparticles. Reproduced with permission. [84] Copyright 2020, American Chemical Society. (d) CT images of
- 948 tumor-bearing mice by pre- and postinjection of PEG/LDNPs@CMSNs nanoparticles. Reproduced with
- 949

permission. [207] Copyright 2020, American Chemical Society.

950 **6. Conclusions and perspectives**

functional nanoplatforms for In summary, core-shell structured nanoparticles are promising 951 PDT-based cancer treatment and related imaging. These nanoparticles are divided into three 952 categories: inorganic, organic and hybrid on the basis of aterial compositions of the core and shell. 953 During PDT of cancer, the core-shell structured proparticles serve as photosensitizer delivery 954 vehicles, energy transducers, photosensiti and hypoxic tumor microenvironment modulators to 955 improve the therapeutic efficacy. The contribution of PDT with chemotherapy, PTT, immunotherapy 956 lenging issues for monotherapy, involving the metastasis of and other therapies resolves. 957 tumors and the develop resistance. Moreover, these nanoparticles possess excellent imaging 958 performance in PDT-based cancer treatment. 959

Despite considerable progress has been made, the core-shell structured nanoparticles are still far from the clinical application of PDT-based cancer treatment and related imaging. From the perspective of materials science, the synthetic steps of most core-shell structured nanoparticles are complex, which easily causes material differences between different batches, and will bring difficulties to the expansion of production and commercialization. Consequently, it is necessary to develop facile synthetic strategies for safely and quickly preparing core-shell structured nanoparticles. 966 Besides, the component of core-shell structured nanoparticles should be optimized for further 967 improving the targeting ability, therapeutic efficacy and stability.

From the perspective of biology, the knowledge of cancers still exists deficiencies because of 968 the limitations of modern technology and the complexity of biosystem. The metastasis of tumors and 969 970 the development of resistance have always been troubles to be solved urgently for PDT and other therapies. Although many core-shell structured nanoparticles are effective in PDT-based cancer 971 treatment, their systemic cytotoxicity and long-term human toxicity need more comprehensive and 972 in-depth investigation. Meanwhile, the dosage of core-shell structured p particles and light source 973 parameters should be controlled to achieve precise treatment and alle ate side effects. In addition, 974 bacterial infections are one of the inducing factors of cancer and has become increasingly serious 975 with the rise of antibiotic resistance. PDT is a promisin rategy to control the bacterial infections, 976 and it is of great significance to develop novel an ient core-shell structured nanoparticles for 977

978 antibacterial PDT.

979 Acknowledgements

orted by the Program for the National Natural Science This study was finance 980 3, 82073449, U20A20323, 51521006), the National Program for Foundation of China (8 981 Support of Top-Notch Young Professionals of China (2014), the Program for Changjiang Scholars 982 and Innovative Research Team in University (IRT-13R17), the Hunan Provincial Science and 983 Technology Plan Project (2018SK20410), the Science and Technology Innovation Program of Hunan 984 Province (2020RC4014), the Changsha Municipal Natural Science Foundation (kq2007059), the 985 Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (S2021JJQNJJ2330) and the Shanghai Tongji 986 Gao Tingyao Environmental Science and Technology Development Foundation. 987

988 **References**

- [1] H. Sung, J. Ferlay, R.L. Siegel, M. Laversanne, I. Soerjomataram, A. Jemal, F. Bray, CA Cancer J. Clin., 71 (2021)
 209-249.
- 991 [2] D. Peer, J.M. Karp, S. Hong, O.C. Farokhzad, R. Margalit, R. Langer, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2 (2007) 751-760.
- 992 [3] P. Sharma, J.P. Allison, Cell, 161 (2015) 205-214.
- 993 [4] O. Demaria, S. Cornen, M. Daëron, Y. Morel, R. Medzhitov, E. Vivier, Nature, 574 (2019) 45-56.
- [5] A.L. Vahrmeijer, M. Hutteman, J.R. Van Der Vorst, C.J. Van De Velde, J.V. Frangioni, Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol., 10
 (2013) 507-518.
- 996 [6] A.J. Breugom, M. Swets, J.-F. Bosset, L. Collette, A. Sainato, L. Cionini, R. Glynne-Jones, N. Counsell, E. Bastiaannet,
- 997 C.B. van den Broek, Lancet Oncol., 16 (2015) 200-207.
- 998 [7] L. Kelland, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 7 (2007) 573-584.
- 999 [8] L. Gandhi, D. Rodríguez-Abreu, S. Gadgeel, E. Esteban, E. Felip, F. De Angelis, M. Domine, P. Clingan, M.J.
- 1000 Hochmair, S.F. Powell, N. Engl. J. Med., 378 (2018) 2078-2092.
- 1001 [9] A.C. Begg, F.A. Stewart, C. Vens, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 11 (2011) 239-253.
- 1002 [10] C.C. Parker, N.D. James, C.D. Brawley, N.W. Clarke, A.P. Hoyle, A. Ali, A.W. Ritchie, G. Attard, S. Chowdhury, W.
- 1003 Cross, Lancet, 392 (2018) 2353-2366.
- 1004 [11] D.E. Dolmans, D. Fukumura, R.K. Jain, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 3 (2003) 380-387.
- 1005 [12] A.P. Castano, P. Mroz, M.R. Hamblin, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 6 (2006) 535-545.
- 1006 [13] H. Chen, J. Tian, W. He, Z. Guo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 137 (2015) 1539-1547
- 1007 [14] S. Li, H. Cheng, B. Xie, W. Qiu, J. Zeng, C. Li, S. Wan, L. Zhang, W. Liu, X. Xhang, ACS Nano, 11 (2017) 70061008 7018.
- 1009 [15] D. Cui, J. Huang, X. Zhen, J. Li, Y. Jiang, K. Pu, Angew. Chem Int. Ed., 131 (2019) 5981-5985.
- 1010 [16] P. Agostinis, K. Berg, K.A. Cengel, T.H. Foster, A.W. Girotti, S.M. Hahn, M.R. Hamblin, A. Juzeniene,
- 1011 D. Kessel, CA Cancer J. Clin., 61 (2011) 250-281.
- 1012 [17] Z. Zhou, J. Song, L. Nie, X. Chen, Chem. Soc. Ret 45 (16) 6597-6626.
- 1013 [18] T.J. Dougherty, G. Grindey, R. Fiel, K.R. Weishaupt, P. Boyle, J. Natl Cancer Inst., 55 (1975) 115-121.
- 1014 [19] S.B. Brown, E.A. Brown, I. Walker, Lancet Col., 5 (2004) 497-508.
- 1015 [20] D. Van Straten, V. Mashayekhi, H.S. De Jurin, Poliveira, D.J. Robinson, Cancers, 9 (2017) 19.
- 1016 [21] X. Li, J.F. Lovell, J. Yoon, X. Chen, Met. Lev. Clin. Oncol., 17 (2020) 657-674.
- 1017 [22] X. Li, S. Lee, J. Yoon, Chem. Soc. Lev., 47 (2018) 1174-1188.
- 1018 [23] T.C. Pham, V.-N. Nguyen, Y. (hoi, S Lee, J. Yoon, Chem. Rev., 121 (2021) 13454-13619.
- 1019 [24] J. Hu, Y.a. Tang, A.H. Europur, M. Xu, Z. Cheng, X. Yang, Small, 11 (2015) 5860-5887.
- 1020 [25] X. Li, N. Kwon, T. Guo, Z. Liu, J. Yoon, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 57 (2018) 11522-11531.
- 1021 [26] L. Huang, S. Zhao, J. Wu, L. Yu, N. Singh, K. Yang, M. Lan, P. Wang, J.S. Kim, Coord. Chem. Rev., 438 (2021)
 1022 213888.
- 1023 [27] D.K. Chatterjee, L.S. Fong, Y. Zhang, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev., 60 (2008) 1627-1637.
- 1024 [28] S.S. Lucky, K.C. Soo, Y. Zhang, Chem. Rev., 115 (2015) 1990-2042.
- 1025 [29] M. Lismont, L. Dreesen, S. Wuttke, Adv. Funct. Mater., 27 (2017) 1606314.
- [30] H. Wang, Y. Wu, T. Xiao, X. Yuan, G. Zeng, W. Tu, S. Wu, H.Y. Lee, Y.Z. Tan, J.W. Chew, Appl. Catal. B: Environ.,
 233 (2018) 213-225.
- 1028 [31] D. He, C. Zhang, G. Zeng, Y. Yang, D. Huang, L. Wang, H. Wang, Appl. Catal. B: Environ., 258 (2019) 117957.
- [32] Y. Yang, C. Zhou, W. Wang, W. Xiong, G. Zeng, D. Huang, C. Zhang, B. Song, W. Xue, X. Li, Chem. Eng. J., 405
 (2021) 126547.
- 1031 [33] L. Tang, H. Feng, J. Tang, G. Zeng, Y. Deng, J. Wang, Y. Liu, Y. Zhou, Water Res., 117 (2017) 175-186.
- 1032 [34] H. Feng, L. Tang, J. Tang, G. Zeng, H. Dong, Y. Deng, L. Wang, Y. Liu, X. Ren, Y. Zhou, Environ. Sci: Nano, 5
- 1033 (2018) 1595-1607.

- 1034 [35] D. Li, C. Li, A. Wang, Q. He, J. Li, J. Mater. Chem., 20 (2010) 7782-7787.
- 1035 [36] J. Zhao, J. Fei, C. Du, W. Cui, H. Ma, J. Li, Chem. Commun., 49 (2013) 10733-10735.
- 1036 [37] F. Lu, L. Yang, Y. Ding, J.J. Zhu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 26 (2016) 4778-4785.
- 1037 [38] N.M. Idris, M.K. Gnanasammandhan, J. Zhang, P.C. Ho, R. Mahendran, Y. Zhang, Nat. Med., 18 (2012) 1580-1585.
- 1038 [39] Z. Yu, W. Pan, N. Li, B. Tang, Chem. Sci., 7 (2016) 4237-4244.
- 1039 [40] M. Huo, P. Liu, L. Zhang, C. Wei, L. Wang, Y. Chen, J. Shi, Adv. Funct. Mater., 31 (2021) 2010196.
- [41] Y. Li, Z. Di, J. Gao, P. Cheng, C. Di, G. Zhang, B. Liu, X. Shi, L. Sun, L. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 139 (2017) 138041041 13810.
- 1042 [42] Y. Shao, B. Liu, Z. Di, G. Zhang, L. Sun, L. Li, C. Yan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 142 (2020) 3939-3946.
- 1043 [43] Z. Wang, B. Liu, Q. Sun, L. Feng, F. He, P. Yang, S. Gai, Z. Quan, J. Lin, ACS Nano, 15 (2021) 12342-12357.
- 1044 [44] R. Lv, P. Yang, F. He, S. Gai, G. Yang, Y. Dai, Z. Hou, J. Lin, Biomaterials, 63 (2015) 115-127.
- 1045 [45] Y. Liu, J. Zhang, C. Zuo, Z. Zhang, D. Ni, C. Zhang, J. Wang, H. Zhang, Z. Yao, W. Bu, Nano Res., 9 (2016) 32571046 3266.
- 1047 [46] A. Skripka, V. Karabanovas, G. Jarockyte, R. Marin, V. Tam, M. Cerruti, R. Rotomskis, F. Vetrone, Adv. Funct.
 1048 Mater., 29 (2019) 1807105.
- 1049 [47] F. Chen, B. Madajewski, K. Ma, D.K. Zanoni, H. Stambuk, M.Z. Turker, S. Mon, te, L. Zhang, B. Yoo, P. Chen, Sci.
- 1050 Adv., 5 (2019) eaax5208.
- 1051 [48] M. Walczak, R.A. Brady, L. Mancini, C. Contini, R. Rubio-Sánchez, W.T. Narthon, P. Cicuta, L. Di Michele, Nat.
- 1052 Commun., 12 (2021) 1-11.
- 1053 [49] C. Niether, S. Faure, A. Bordet, J. Deseure, M. Chatenet, J. Carrey, B. Chaudret, A. Rouet, Nature Energy, 3 (2018)
 1054 476-483.
- 1055 [50] Z. Li, R. Wang, J. Xue, X. Xing, C. Yu, T. Huang, J. Chu, K. Vang, C. Dong, Z. Wei, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 141 (2019)
 1056 17610-17616.
- 1057 [51] S. Das, J. Pérez-Ramírez, J. Gong, N. Dewangan, K. Hida, t. B.C. Gates, S. Kawi, Chem. Soc. Rev., 49 (2020) 2937 1058 3004.
- 1059 [52] X. Zhang, S. Han, B. Zhu, G. Zhang, X. Li, Gao Z. Wu, B. Yang, Y. Liu, W. Baaziz, Nature Catalysis, 3 (2020)
 1060 411-417.
- 1061 [53] R. Ghosh Chaudhuri, S. Paria, Chem Bel (11) (2012) 2373-2433.
- 1062 [54] M.B. Gawande, A. Goswami, T. As fa, H Guo, A.V. Biradar, D.-L. Peng, R. Zboril, R.S. Varma, Chem. Soc. Rev.,
 1063 44 (2015) 7540-7590.
- 1064 [55] H. Su, Q. Tian, C.-A.H. The D.Y., K. Qian, J. Liu, Nano Today, 31 (2020) 100834.
- 1065 [56] M. Tang, X. Zhu, Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Q. Mei, J. Zhang, M. Wu, J. Liu, Y. Zhang, ACS Nano, 13 (2019)
 1066 10405-10418.
- 1067 [57] C. Qin, J. Fei, A. Wang, Y. Yang, J. Li, Nanoscale, 7 (2015) 20197-20210.
- 1068 [58] F. Wang, X. Chen, Z. Zhao, S. Tang, X. Huang, C. Lin, C. Cai, N. Zheng, J. Mater. Chem., 21 (2011) 11244-11252.
- [59] Z. Hou, Y. Zhang, K. Deng, Y. Chen, X. Li, X. Deng, Z. Cheng, H. Lian, C. Li, J. Lin, ACS Nano, 9 (2015) 25842599.
- 1071 [60] Z. Ma, X. Jia, J. Bai, Y. Ruan, C. Wang, J. Li, M. Zhang, X. Jiang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 27 (2017) 1604258.
- 1072 [61] X. Huang, G. Deng, Y. Han, G. Yang, R. Zou, Z. Zhang, S. Sun, J. Hu, Adv. Sci., 6 (2019) 1901461.
- 1073 [62] A. Punjabi, X. Wu, A. Tokatli-Apollon, M. El-Rifai, H. Lee, Y. Zhang, C. Wang, Z. Liu, E.M. Chan, C. Duan, ACS
 1074 Nano, 8 (2014) 10621-10630.
- 1075 [63] D. Chen, Q. Yu, X. Huang, H. Dai, T. Luo, J. Shao, P. Chen, J. Chen, W. Huang, X. Dong, Small, 16 (2020) 2001059.
- 1076 [64] E. Chang, J. Bu, L. Ding, J.W. Lou, M.S. Valic, M.H. Cheng, V. Rosilio, J. Chen, G. Zheng, J. Nanobiotechnol., 191077 (2021) 1-15.
- 1078 [65] H. Ren, J. Liu, F. Su, S. Ge, A. Yuan, W. Dai, J. Wu, Y. Hu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 9 (2017) 3463-3473.

- 1079 [66] P. Liu, Y. Peng, Y. Zhou, X. Shi, Q. Li, J. Ding, Y. Gao, W. Zhou, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 13 (2021) 256741080 25684.
- 1081 [67] G. Dai, C.K.K. Choi, Y. Zhou, Q. Bai, Y. Xiao, C. Yang, C.H.J. Choi, D.K. Ng, Nanoscale, 13 (2021) 6499-6512.
- 1082 [68] X. Tan, J. Wang, X. Pang, L. Liu, Q. Sun, Q. You, F. Tan, N. Li, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 8 (2016) 34991-35003.
- 1083 [69] J. Feng, W. Ren, J. Gao, F. Li, F. Kong, B. Yao, Y. Dong, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 13 (2021) 17243-17254.
- 1084 [70] L. Feng, F. He, B. Liu, G. Yang, S. Gai, P. Yang, C. Li, Y. Dai, R. Lv, J. Lin, Chem. Mater., 28 (2016) 7935-7946.
- 1085 [71] S. Yan, X. Zeng, Y.a. Tang, B. Liu, Y. Wang, X. Liu, Adv. Mater., 31 (2019) 1905825.
- 1086 [72] H. Zhu, J. Li, X. Qi, P. Chen, K. Pu, Nano Lett., 18 (2018) 586-594.
- 1087 [73] J. Zeng, M. Zhang, M. Peng, D. Gong, X. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 28 (2018) 1705451.
- 1088 [74] S. Ren, B. Wang, X. Zhu, D. Zhu, M. Liu, S. Li, Y. Yang, Z. Wang, H. Zhu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 12 (2020)
 1089 24662-24674.
- 1090 [75] P. Zhang, W. Steelant, M. Kumar, M. Scholfield, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 129 (2007) 4526-4527.
- 1091 [76] R. Lv, Y. Wang, J. Liu, M. Feng, F. Yang, X. Jiang, J. Tian, ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng., 5 (2019) 3100-3110.
- 1092 [77] S.-H. Seo, B.-M. Kim, A. Joe, H.-W. Han, X. Chen, Z. Cheng, E.-S. Jang, Biomaterials, 35 (2014) 3309-3318.
- 1093 [78] J. Wang, J. Sun, W. Hu, Y. Wang, T. Chou, B. Zhang, Q. Zhang, L. Ren, H. Wang, Adv. Mater., 32 (2020) 2001862.
- 1094 [79] C.W. Lai, Y.H. Wang, C.H. Lai, M.J. Yang, C.Y. Chen, P.T. Chou, C.S. Chan, Y. Chi, Y.C. Chen, J.K. Hsiao, Small,
 1095 4 (2008) 218-224.
- 1096 [80] Q. Yu, J. Sun, X. Zhu, L. Qiu, M. Xu, S. Liu, J. Ouyang, J. Liu, J. Mater. Chan, R. 5 (2017) 6081-6096.
- 1097 [81] L. Zeng, H. Zhao, Y. Zhu, S. Chen, Y. Zhang, D. Wei, J. Sun, H. Fan, J. Mater. Chem. B, 8 (2020) 4093-4105.
- 1098 [82] J. Zhu, W. Wang, X. Wang, L. Zhong, X. Song, W. Wang, Y. Zhao, X. Dong, Adv. Healthc. Mater., 10 (2021) 2002038.
- 1099 [83] S. Ma, J. Xie, L. Wang, Z. Zhou, X. Luo, J. Yan, G. Ran, ACS 2001. Mater. Interfaces, 13 (2021) 10728-10740.
- 1100 [84] Q. Cai, D. Yang, L. Zhong, P. Yang, Chem. Mater., 32 (2020) (492) 2506.
- 1101 [85] T. Zhao, K. Yu, L. Li, T. Zhang, Z. Guan, N. Gao, P. Yang, S. Yao, Q. Xu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 6
 1102 (2014) 2700-2708.
- 1103 [86] Y. Wang, H. Gu, Adv. Mater., 27 (2015) 576-585.
- 1104 [87] M.C. Gr. ner, M.S. Arai, M. Carreira, N. Inade, A.S. de Camargo, ACS Appl. Bio Mater., 1 (2018) 1028-1036.
- 1105 [88] J. Xu, P. Yang, M. Sun, H. Bi, B. Liu, D. Tan, Scai, F. He, J. Lin, ACS Nano, 11 (2017) 4133-4144.
- 1106 [89] G. Yang, D. Yang, P. Yang, R. Lv, C in Cheng, P. He, S. Gai, J. Lin, Chem. Mater., 27 (2015) 7957-7968.
- 1107 [90] B. Wei, F. Dong, W. Yang, C. Luo, Dong, Z. Zhou, Z. Yang, L. Sheng, J. Adv. Res., 23 (2020) 13-23.
- 1108 [91] Q. Cai, J. Xu, D. Yang, Y. Dai, G. Yang, C. Zhong, S. Gai, F. He, P. Yang, J. Mater. Chem. B, 6 (2018) 8148-8162.
- 1109 [92] X. Zhu, Y. Liu, G. Yuan, A. Guo, L. Cen, Y. Gong, J. Liu, Y. Gang, Nanoscale, 12 (2020) 22317-22329.
- 1110 [93] L. Feng, S. Gai, F. He, Y. Ki, C. Zhong, P. Yang, J. Lin, Biomaterials, 147 (2017) 39-52.
- 1111 [94] X. Qiao, J. Zhou, J. Xiao, Y. Wang, L. Sun, C. Yan, Nanoscale, 4 (2012) 4611-4623.
- 1112 [95] S.S. Lucky, N. Muhammad Idris, Z. Li, K. Huang, K.C. Soo, Y. Zhang, ACS Nano, 9 (2015) 191-205.
- [96] P. Liu, Y. Zhou, X. Shi, Y. Yuan, Y. Peng, S. Hua, Q. Luo, J. Ding, Y. Li, W. Zhou, J. Nanobiotechnol., 19 (2021) 11114 13.
- 1115 [97] Q. Li, J. Ren, Q. Chen, W. Liu, Z. Xu, Y. Cao, Y. Kang, P. Xue, Nanoscale, 12 (2020) 12508-12521.
- [98] Z. Chen, M. Niu, G. Chen, Q. Wu, L. Tan, C. Fu, X. Ren, H. Zhong, K. Xu, X. Meng, ACS Nano, 12 (2018) 127211117 12732.
- 1118 [99] J. Shen, G. Chen, T.Y. Ohulchanskyy, S.J. Kesseli, S. Buchholz, Z. Li, P.N. Prasad, G. Han, Small, 9 (2013) 32131119 3217.
- 1120 [100] Q. Yu, A. Xie, Y. Xiao, S. Li, F. Huang, Y. Shen, J. Mater. Chem. B, 3 (2015) 1439-1445.
- [101] H. Jia, Y. Jiang, Y. Zhu, Y. Li, H. Wang, X. Han, Z. Yu, N. Gu, P. Liu, Z. Chen, J. Controlled Release, 255 (2017)
 231-241.
- 1123 [102] W.L. Kim, H. Cho, L. Li, H.C. Kang, K.M. Huh, Biomacromolecules, 15 (2014) 2224-2234.

- 1124 [103] H. An, C. Guo, D. Li, R. Liu, X. Xu, J. Guo, J. Ding, J. Li, W. Chen, J. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 12
- 1125 (2020) 17230-17243.
- 1126 [104] Y. Cheng, H. Cheng, C. Jiang, X. Qiu, K. Wang, W. Huan, A. Yuan, J. Wu, Y. Hu, Nat. Commun., 6 (2015) 1-8.
- 1127 [105] L. Feng, D. Yang, F. He, S. Gai, C. Li, Y. Dai, P. Yang, Adv. Healthc. Mater., 6 (2017) 1700502.
- 1128 [106] X. Zhang, F. Ai, T. Sun, F. Wang, G. Zhu, Inorg. Chem., 55 (2016) 3872-3880.
- 1129 [107] H. Wang, Z. Wang, Y. Li, T. Xu, Q. Zhang, M. Yang, P. Wang, Y. Gu, Small, 15 (2019) 1902185.
- 1130 [108] X. Zhang, J. Ong'achwa Machuki, W. Pan, W. Cai, Z. Xi, F. Shen, L. Zhang, Y. Yang, F. Gao, M. Guan, ACS Nano,
- 1131 14 (2020) 4045-4060.
- 1132 [109] C. Zhang, W. Chen, T. Zhang, X. Jiang, Y. Hu, J. Mater. Chem. B, 8 (2020) 4726-4737.
- 1133 [110] C. Liang, X. Zhang, Z. Wang, W. Wang, M. Yang, X. Dong, J. Mater. Chem. B, 8 (2020) 4748-4763.
- 1134 [111] J. Xu, R. Lv, S. Du, S. Gai, F. He, D. Yang, P. Yang, J. Mater. Chem. B, 4 (2016) 4138-4146.
- [112] W. Liu, Y. Tian, Y. Zhang, K. Liu, S. Zhao, J. Zhang, Y. Su, Y. Zhao, Y. Tang, J. Sun, J. Mater. Chem. B, 6 (2018)
 3865-3875.
- 1137 [113] H. Cai, T. Shen, J. Zhang, C. Shan, J. Jia, X. Li, W. Liu, Y. Tang, J. Mater. Chem. B, 5 (2017) 2390-2394.
- 1138 [114] J. Shen, M. Ma, H. Zhang, H. Yu, F. Xue, N. Hao, H. Chen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 12 (2020) 45838-45849.
- 1139 [115] S. Ren, X. Zhu, B. Wang, M. Liu, S. Li, Y. Yang, H. An, H. Zhu, J. Mater. Chen B, 9 (2021) 4678-4689.
- 1140 [116] J. Liu, L. Ye, W. Xiong, T. Liu, H. Yang, J. Lei, Chem. Commun., 57 (2021) 20-2823
- 1141 [117] M. Lan, S. Zhao, W. Liu, C.S. Lee, W. Zhang, P. Wang, Adv. Healthc. Mate, 8 (2019) 1900132.
- 1142 [118] X. Yang, Q. Xiao, C. Niu, N. Jin, J. Ouyang, X. Xiao, D. He, J. Mater. Chem. R 1 (2013) 2757-2763.
- [119] S. Bouramtane, L. Bretin, A. Pinon, D. Leger, B. Liagre, L. Richard, F. Brégier, V. Sol, V. Chaleix, Carbohydr.
 Polym., 213 (2019) 168-175.
- 1145 [120] Y. Cui, G. Sun, Y. Li, A. Tang, L. Zhu, D. Kong, Adv. Mater Interfaces, 7 (2020) 2000292.
- 1146 [121] R. Jenjob, T. Phakkeeree, D. Crespy, Biomater. Sci., 8 (102) 55-2770.
- 1147 [122] J. Tan, C. Sun, K. Xu, C. Wang, J. Guo, Small, 11(2015) 633-6346.
- 1148 [123] P. Yang, S. Gai, J. Lin, Chem. Soc. Rev., 41 (2012) 3 79-3698.
- 1149 [124] G. Yang, X. Sun, J. Liu, L. Feng, Z. Liu, Ad. Fundt. Mater., 26 (2016) 4722-4732.
- 1150 [125] H. Qian, H. Guo, P.C.L. Ho, R. Mahendran, Y. Shang, Small, 5 (2009) 2285-2290.
- 1151 [126] W. Zeng, H. Zhang, Y. Deng, A. Jian, K. Bab, M. Guo, Z. Li, M. Wu, X. Ji, X. Zeng, Chem. Eng. J., 389 (2020)
 1152 124494.
- [127] C. Conte, F. Ungaro, G. Magio, P. Inrino, G. Siracusano, M. Sciortino, N. Leone, G. Palma, A. Barbieri, C. Arra,
 J. Controlled Release, 167 (2013) 40⁻²²
- [128] B. Sun, Y. Chen, H. Yu, Y. Wang, X. Zhang, H. Zhao, Q. Chen, Z. He, C. Luo, J. Sun, Acta Biomater., 92 (2019)
 219-228.
- 1157 [129] P. Liu, X. Xie, X. Shi, Y. Peng, J. Ding, W. Zhou, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 11 (2019) 48261-48270.
- [130] J. Wang, Y. Zhong, X. Wang, W. Yang, F. Bai, B. Zhang, L. Alarid, K. Bian, H. Fan, Nano Lett., 17 (2017) 69166921.
- 1160 [131] J. Yoo, C. Park, G. Yi, D. Lee, H. Koo, Cancers, 11 (2019) 640.
- 1161 [132] P.S. Low, W.A. Henne, D.D. Doorneweerd, Acc. Chem. Res., 41 (2008) 120-129.
- 1162 [133] F. Danhier, O. Feron, V. Préat, J. Controlled Release, 148 (2010) 135-146.
- 1163 [134] S. Liang, C. Sun, P. Yang, S. Huang, Z. Cheng, X. Yu, J. Lin, Biomaterials, 240 (2020) 119850.
- [135] R. Luo, Z. Zhang, L. Han, Z. Xue, K. Zhang, F. Liu, F. Feng, J. Xue, W. Liu, W. Qu, Biomater. Sci., 9 (2021) 37183736.
- 1166 [136] Q. Meng, J. Meng, W. Ran, J. Wang, Y. Zhai, P. Zhang, Y. Li, ACS Nano, 12 (2018) 2789-2802.
- 1167 [137] B. Wang, W. Lin, Z. Mao, C. Gao, J. Mater. Chem. B, 6 (2018) 3145-3155.
- 1168 [138] D. Chen, Q. Xu, W. Wang, J. Shao, W. Huang, X. Dong, Small, 17 (2021) 2006742.

- 1169 [139] R. Vankayala, K.C. Hwang, Adv. Mater., 30 (2018) 1706320.
- 1170 [140] Z. Gu, L. Yan, G. Tian, S. Li, Z. Chai, Y. Zhao, Adv. Mater., 25 (2013) 3758-3779.
- 1171 [141] Y. Liu, X. Meng, W. Bu, Coord. Chem. Rev., 379 (2019) 82-98.
- [142] S. Ding, W. Wu, T. Peng, W. Pang, P. Jiang, Q. Zhan, S. Qi, X. Wei, B. Gu, B. Liu, Nanoscale Adv., 3 (2021) 23252333.
- 1174 [143] Y.I. Park, H.M. Kim, J.H. Kim, K.C. Moon, B. Yoo, K.T. Lee, N. Lee, Y. Choi, W. Park, D. Ling, Adv. Mater., 24
- 1175 (2012) 5755-5761.
- 1176 [144] S. Wu, H.J. Butt, Adv. Mater., 28 (2016) 1208-1226.
- 1177 [145] G. Tan, M. Wang, C. Hsu, N. Chen, Y. Zhang, Adv. Opt. Mater., 4 (2016) 984-997.
- 1178 [146] M. Yang, H. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Han, Y. Gu, Biomater. Sci., 7 (2019) 1686-1695.
- 1179 [147] T. Shen, X. Hu, Y. Liu, Y. Zhang, K. Chen, S. Xie, G. Ke, G. Song, X. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 12
- 1180 (2020) 5403-5412.
- 1181 [148] S.-L. Lin, C.A. Chang, Nanoscale, 12 (2020) 8742-8749.
- 1182 [149] W. Park, S. Cho, D. Kang, J.H. Han, J.H. Park, B. Lee, J. Lee, D.H. Kim, Adv. Healthc. Mater., 9 (2020) 1901812.
- 1183 [150] Y. Ren, J.G. Rosch, M.R. Landry, H. Winter, S. Khan, G. Pratx, C. Sun, Biomater. Sci., 9 (2021) 496-505.
- 1184 [151] A. Kamkaew, F. Chen, Y. Zhan, R.L. Majewski, W. Cai, ACS Nano, 10 (2016) 918-3935.
- 1185 [152] W. Sun, Z. Zhou, G. Pratx, X. Chen, H. Chen, Theranostics, 10 (2020) 1296.
- 1186 [153] C. Zhang, K. Zhao, W. Bu, D. Ni, Y. Liu, J. Feng, J. Shi, Angew. Chem. In Ed. 34 (2015) 1770-1774.
- 1187 [154] Y.a. Tang, J. Hu, A.H. Elmenoufy, X. Yang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 7 (2015) 12261-12269.
- 1188 [155] C.-C. Hsu, S.-L. Lin, C.A. Chang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 10 (2018) 7859-7870.
- 1189 [156] R. Lv, P. Yang, F. He, S. Gai, C. Li, Y. Dai, G. Yang, J. Lin, An Nano, 9 (2015) 1630-1647.
- 1190 [157] L. Lin, J. Song, H. Yang, X. Chen, Adv. Mater., 30 (2018) 1 0463
- 1191 [158] J. Wang, N. Li, J. Mater. Chem. B, 5 (2017) 8430-8445
- [159] W. Wang, C. Huang, C. Zhang, M. Zhao, J. Zhang, H. Chen, Z. Zha, T. Zhao, H. Qian, Appl. Catal. B: Environ.,
 224 (2018) 854-862.
- 1194 [160] Y. Chang, Y. Cheng, Y. Feng, H. Jian, L. Warg, X. Ma, X. Li, H. Zhang, Nano Lett., 18 (2018) 886-897.
- [161] A. Kamkaew, L. Cheng, S. Goel, H.F. are view, T.E. Barnhart, Z. Liu, W. Cai, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 8
 (2016) 26630-26637.
- 1197 [162] D. Yang, G. Yang, Q. Sun, S. Gai, He, Y. Dai, C. Zhong, P. Yang, Adv. Healthc. Mater., 7 (2018) 1800042.
- 1198 [163] W. Guo, F. Wang, D. Ding, C. Song C. Guo, S. Liu, Chem. Mater., 29 (2017) 9262-9274.
- [164] W. Chen, X. Wang, B. Cho, K. Zhang, Z. Xie, Y. He, A. Chen, X. Xie, K. Yao, M. Zhong, Nanoscale, 11 (2019)
 12083-12989.
- 1201 [165] C. Liu, H. Dong, N. Wu, Y. Cao, X. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 10 (2018) 6991-7002.
- 1202 [166] X. Wang, B. Ma, J. Xue, J. Wu, J. Chang, C. Wu, Nano Lett., 19 (2019) 2138-2147.
- 1203 [167] H. Taheri, M.A. Unal, M. Sevim, C. Gurcan, O. Ekim, A. Ceylan, Z. Syrgiannis, K.C. Christoforidis, S. Bosi, O.
- 1204 Ozgenç, Small, 16 (2020) 1904619.
- 1205 [168] H. Liu, X. Lv, J. Qian, H. Li, Y. Qian, X. Wang, X. Meng, W. Lin, H. Wang, ACS Nano, 14 (2020) 13304-13315.
- [169] W. Zhang, S. Li, X. Liu, C. Yang, N. Hu, L. Dou, B. Zhao, Q. Zhang, Y. Suo, J. Wang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 28 (2018)
 1706375.
- [170] Y. Yang, X. Li, C. Zhou, W. Xiong, G. Zeng, D. Huang, C. Zhang, W. Wang, B. Song, X. Tang, Water Res., 184
 (2020) 116200.
- 1210 [171] Y. Yang, G. Zeng, D. Huang, C. Zhang, D. He, C. Zhou, W. Wang, W. Xiong, B. Song, H. Yi, Small, 16 (2020)
 1211 2001634.
- 1212 [172] J. Park, Q. Jiang, D. Feng, L. Mao, H. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 138 (2016) 3518-3525.
- 1213 [173] G. Lan, K. Ni, R. Xu, K. Lu, Z. Lin, C. Chan, W. Lin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 129 (2017) 12270-12274.

- 1214 [174] G. Lan, K. Ni, Z. Xu, S.S. Veroneau, Y. Song, W. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 140 (2018) 5670-5673.
- 1215 [175] J.M. Brown, W.R. Wilson, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 4 (2004) 437-447.
- 1216 [176] W.R. Wilson, M.P. Hay, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 11 (2011) 393-410.
- 1217 [177] L. Larue, B. Myrzakhmetov, A. Ben-Mihoub, A. Moussaron, N. Thomas, P. Arnoux, F. Baros, R. Vanderesse, S.
- 1218 Acherar, C. Frochot, Pharmaceuticals, 12 (2019) 163.
- 1219 [178] F. Wei, T.W. Rees, X. Liao, L. Ji, H. Chao, Coord. Chem. Rev., 432 (2021) 213714.
- 1220 [179] D.M. Gilkes, G.L. Semenza, D. Wirtz, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 14 (2014) 430-439.
- 1221 [180] Z. Shen, Q. Ma, X. Zhou, G. Zhang, G. Hao, Y. Sun, J. Cao, NPG Asia Materials, 13 (2021) 1-19.
- 1222 [181] L. Han, Y. Wang, X. Huang, F. Liu, C. Ma, F. Feng, J. Zhang, W. Liu, W. Qu, H. Pang, Biomaterials, 257 (2020)
 120228.
- [182] J. Zheng, J. Sun, J. Chen, S. Zhu, S. Chen, Y. Liu, L. Hao, Z. Wang, S. Chang, J. Controlled Release, 332 (2021)
 448-459.
- [183] H. Cheng, X. Wang, X. Liu, X. Wang, H. Wen, Y. Cheng, A. Xie, Y. Shen, R. Tang, M. Zhu, Nanoscale, 13 (2021)
 10816-10828.
- 1228 [184] Y. Lan, X. Zhu, M. Tang, Y. Wu, J. Zhang, J. Liu, Y. Zhang, Nanoscale, 12 (2020) 7875-7887.
- 1229 [185] R.A. Day, E.M. Sletten, Curr. Opin. Colloid In., 54 (2021) 101454.
- 1230 [186] J.B. DeCoste, M.H. Weston, P.E. Fuller, T.M. Tovar, G.W. Peterson, M.D. L.Van, O.K. Farha, Angew. Chem. Int.
 1231 Ed., 126 (2014) 14316-14319.
- 1232 [187] P.Z. Moghadam, T. Islamoglu, S. Goswami, J. Exley, M. Fantham, C.F. Kanunski, R.Q. Snurr, O.K. Farha, D.
- 1233 Fairen-Jimenez, Nat. Commun., 9 (2018) 1-8.
- [188] Z. Xie, X. Cai, C. Sun, S. Liang, S. Shao, S. Huang, Z. Chen, M. Pang, B. Xing, A.A.A. Kheraif, Chem. Mater.,
 31 (2018) 483-490.
- 1236 [189] Y. Zhang, D. Wang, Y. Meng, W. Lu, S. Shuang, C. Dorg, C. Stain. Chem. Eng., 9 (2021) 2770-2783.
- 1237 [190] X. Zhang, Z. Xi, J.O.a. Machuki, J. Luo, D. Yang, I. Li, V. Gai, Y. Yang, L. Zhang, J. Tian, ACS Nano, 13 (2019)
 1238 5306-5325.
- 1239 [191] Y. Song, Q. Shi, C. Zhu, Y. Luo, Q. Lu, H. L. R. L. D. Du, Y. Lin, Nanoscale, 9 (2017) 15813-15824.
- 1240 [192] Y. Li, X. Jian, S. Zhou, Y. Lu, C. Zhao, Z. Soo, K. Song, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 11 (2019) 17215-17225.
- 1241 [193] H. Cao, Y. Yang, M. Liang, Y. Ma, M.St. X. Gao, J. Li, Chem. Commun., 57 (2021) 255-258.
- 1242 [194] X. Wang, J. Zeng, M. Zhang, X. Zing, X Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 28 (2018) 1801783.
- 1243 [195] M. Huo, L. Wang, Y. Chen, J Shi, Nat. Commun., 8 (2017) 1-12.
- 1244 [196] L. He, Q. Ni, J. Mu, W. Yu, D. Lu, Z. Wang, L. Li, W. Tang, Y. Liu, Y. Cheng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 142 (2020)
 1245 6822-6832.
- 1246 [197] M. Rizwan, T. Rasheed, A. Raza, M. Bilal, R. Yahya, M. Yar, H.M. Iqbal, J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Tec., 51 (2019) 70-82.
- 1247 [198] J. Hu, Q. Lei, X. Zhang, Prog. Mater Sci., 114 (2020) 100685.
- 1248 [199] V. Salgueiriño-Maceira, M.A. Correa-Duarte, Adv. Mater., 19 (2007) 4131-4144.
- 1249 [200] L. Labrador-Páez, E.C. Ximendes, P. Rodríguez-Sevilla, D.H. Ortgies, U. Rocha, C. Jacinto, E.M. Rodríguez, P.
- 1250 Haro-González, D. Jaque, Nanoscale, 10 (2018) 12935-12956.
- 1251 [201] C. He, D. Liu, W. Lin, ACS Nano, 9 (2015) 991-1003.
- 1252 [202] Z. Zhou, J. Zhao, Z. Di, B. Liu, Z. Li, X. Wu, L. Li, Nanoscale, 13 (2021) 131-137.
- 1253 [203] Y. Gao, L. Zhang, Y. Liu, S. Sun, Z. Yin, L. Zhang, A. Li, G. Lu, A. Wu, L. Zeng, Nanoscale, 12 (2020) 1801-1810.
- 1254 [204] C. Yang, Y. Zhu, D. Li, Y. Liu, C. Guan, X. Man, S. Zhang, L. Zhang, D. Yang, Y. Xu, Small, 17 (2021) 2101837.
- 1255 [205] X. Duan, C. Chan, N. Guo, W. Han, R.R. Weichselbaum, W. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 138 (2016) 16686-16695.
- 1256 [206] N. Peng, H. Yu, W. Yu, M. Yang, H. Chen, T. Zou, K. Deng, S. Huang, Y. Liu, Acta Biomater., 105 (2020) 223-238.
- 1257 [207] J. Xu, R. Shi, G. Chen, S. Dong, P. Yang, Z. Zhang, N. Niu, S. Gai, F. He, Y. Fu, ACS Nano, 14 (2020) 9613-9625.
- 1258 [208] Y. Wang, G. Yang, Y. Wang, Y. Zhao, H. Jiang, Y. Han, P. Yang, Nanoscale, 9 (2017) 4759-4769.

- 1259 [209] B.A. Chabner, T.G. Roberts, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 5 (2005) 65-72.
- 1260 [210] V.T. DeVita, E. Chu, Cancer Res., 68 (2008) 8643-8653.
- 1261 [211] C. Holohan, S. Van Schaeybroeck, D.B. Longley, P.G. Johnston, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 13 (2013) 714-726.
- 1262 [212] J. Zhou, G. Yu, F. Huang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 46 (2017) 7021-7053.
- 1263 [213] G. Pasparakis, T. Manouras, M. Vamvakaki, P. Argitis, Nat. Commun., 5 (2014) 1-9.
- 1264 [214] Q. Pei, X. Hu, X. Zheng, S. Liu, Y. Li, X. Jing, Z. Xie, ACS Nano, 12 (2018) 1630-1641.
- 1265 [215] L. Liu, X. Zhou, R. Zheng, J. Huang, R. Kong, Y. Li, C. Wang, A. Chen, S. Li, H. Cheng, Chem. Commun., 57
- 1266 (2021) 7296-7299.
- 1267 [216] G. Saravanakumar, J. Lee, J. Kim, W.J. Kim, Chem. Commun., 51 (2015) 9995-9998.
- 1268 [217] Q. Chen, X. Wang, C. Wang, L. Feng, Y. Li, Z. Liu, ACS Nano, 9 (2015) 5223-5233.
- 1269 [218] D. Yang, J. Xu, G. Yang, Y. Zhou, H. Ji, H. Bi, S. Gai, F. He, P. Yang, Chem. Eng. J., 344 (2018) 363-374.
- 1270 [219] H. Peng, Y. Qin, X. He, W. Li, Y. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 12 (2020) 13360-13370.
- 1271 [220] M.C. Lukowiak, B.N. Thota, R. Haag, Biotechnol. Adv., 33 (2015) 1327-1341.
- 1272 [221] R. Kumar, K. Mondal, P.K. Panda, A. Kaushik, R. Abolhassani, R. Ahuja, H.-G. Rubahn, Y.K. Mishra, J. Mater.
 1273 Chem. B, 8 (2020) 8992-9027.
- 1274 [222] J. Lee, R. Jenjob, E. Davaa, S.-G. Yang, J. Controlled Release, 305 (2019) 120 (29)
- 1275 [223] X. Huang, I.H. El-Sayed, W. Qian, M.A. El-Sayed, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 128 (1906) 2111-2120.
- 1276 [224] P.K. Jain, X. Huang, I.H. El-Sayed, M.A. El-Sayed, Acc. Chem. Res., 41 (2008) 1278-1586.
- 1277 [225] J. Shao, H. Xie, H. Huang, Z. Li, Z. Sun, Y. Xu, Q. Xiao, X.-F. Yu, Y. Zhao, H.Zhang, Nat. Commun., 7 (2016) 11278 13.
- 1279 [226] R. Jiang, J. Dai, X. Dong, Q. Wang, Z. Meng, J. Guo, Y. Yu.S. Wang, F. Xia, Z. Zhao, Adv. Mater., 33 (2021)
 1280 2101158.
- 1281 [227] X. Deng, Z. Shao, Y. Zhao, Adv. Sci., 8 (2021) 2002504
- 1282 [228] Y. Chang, Y. Cheng, R. Zheng, X. Wu, P. Song, Y. Wang, Yn, H. Zhang, Nano Today, 38 (2021) 101110.
- [229] R. Liang, L. Liu, H. He, Z. Chen, Z. Han, Z. Luo, Z. Vu, M. Zheng, Y. Ma, L. Cai, Biomaterials, 177 (2018) 149160.
- 1285 [230] H. He, L. Liu, R. Liang, H. Zhou, H. Par, S. Zhog, L. Cai, Acta Biomater., 104 (2020) 188-197.
- 1286 [231] Y. Pu, Y. Zhu, Z. Qiao, N. Xin, S. Chen, Su, R. Yin, Y. Nie, H. Fan, J. Mater. Chem. B, 9 (2021) 1846-1857.
- 1287 [232] F. He, L. Feng, P. Yang, B. Liu, S. Gai, O Yang, Y. Dai, J. Lin, Biomaterials, 105 (2016) 77-88.
- [233] Y. Qi, J. Ye, S. Ren, G. Warg, J. Lw, S. Zhang, Y. Che, Y. Li, B. Chen, G. Ning, Adv. Healthc. Mater., 9 (2020)
 2001205.
- 1290 [234] M. Xu, G. Yang, H. Bi, J. Xu, L. Feng, D. Yang, Q. Sun, S. Gai, F. He, Y. Dai, Chem. Eng. J., 360 (2019) 866-878.
- 1291 [235] M. Zhang, X. Qin, W. Xu, Y. Wang, Y. Song, S. Garg, Y. Luan, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 594 (2021) 493-501.
- 1292 [236] B. Jang, J.-Y. Park, C.-H. Tung, I.-H. Kim, Y. Choi, ACS Nano, 5 (2011) 1086-1094.
- [237] N. Song, Z. Zhang, P. Liu, D. Dai, C. Chen, Y. Li, L. Wang, T. Han, Y. Yang, D. Wang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 31 (2021)
 2009924.
- 1295 [238] Y.K. Kim, H.K. Na, S. Kim, H. Jang, S.J. Chang, D.H. Min, Small, 11 (2015) 2527-2535.
- 1296 [239] Y. Chen, W. Ai, X. Guo, Y. Li, Y. Ma, L. Chen, H. Zhang, T. Wang, X. Zhang, Z. Wang, Small, 15 (2019) 1902352.
- 1297 [240] Y. Xing, T. Ding, Z. Wang, L. Wang, H. Guan, J. Tang, D. Mo, J. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 11 (2019)
 1298 13945-13953.
- 1299 [241] Y. Cen, W. Deng, Y. Yang, R. Yu, X. Chu, Anal. Chem., 89 (2017) 10321-10328.
- 1300 [242] Z. Yang, X. Liu, X. Wang, P. Wang, S. Ruan, A. Xie, Y. Shen, M. Zhu, Chem. Eng. J., 387 (2020) 124113.
- 1301 [243] W. Cheng, J. Nie, L. Xu, C. Liang, Y. Peng, G. Liu, T. Wang, L. Mei, L. Huang, X. Zeng, ACS Appl. Mater.
- 1302 Interfaces, 9 (2017) 18462-18473.
- 1303 [244] B. Chen, Y. Zhang, R. Ran, B. Wang, F. Qin, T. Zhang, G. Wan, H. Chen, Y. Wang, Polym. Chem., 10 (2019) 4746-

- 1304 4757.
- 1305 [245] W. Song, J. Kuang, C.-X. Li, M. Zhang, D. Zheng, X. Zeng, C. Liu, X. Zhang, ACS Nano, 12 (2018) 1978-1989.
- 1306 [246] X. Xu, G. Deng, Z. Sun, Y. Luo, J. Liu, X. Yu, Y. Zhao, P. Gong, G. Liu, P. Zhang, Adv. Mater., 33 (2021) 2102322.
- 1307 [247] J. Zou, L. Li, Z. Yang, X. Chen, Nanophotonics, 10 (2021) 3229-3245.
- 1308 [248] W. Sang, Z. Zhang, Y. Dai, X. Chen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 48 (2019) 3771-3810.
- 1309 [249] I. Mellman, G. Coukos, G. Dranoff, Nature, 480 (2011) 480-489.
- 1310 [250] M.M. Gubin, X. Zhang, H. Schuster, E. Caron, J.P. Ward, T. Noguchi, Y. Ivanova, J. Hundal, C.D. Arthur, W.-J.
- 1311 Krebber, Nature, 515 (2014) 577-581.
- 1312 [251] A. Ribas, J.D. Wolchok, Science, 359 (2018) 1350-1355.
- 1313 [252] R.M. Webster, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov., 13 (2014) 883.
- 1314 [253] L. Chen, X. Han, The Journal of Clinical Investigation, 125 (2015) 3384-3391.
- 1315 [254] J. Guo, Q. An, M. Guo, Y. Xiao, B. Li, F. Gao, Y. Wang, J. Li, Y. Wang, Y. Liu, Nano Today, 36 (2021) 101024.
- 1316 [255] C. He, X. Duan, N. Guo, C. Chan, C. Poon, R.R. Weichselbaum, W. Lin, Nat. Commun., 7 (2016) 1-12.
- 1317 [256] X. Yu, X. Liu, W. Wu, K. Yang, R. Mao, F. Ahmad, X. Chen, W. Li, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 131 (2019) 2039-2044.
- 1318 [257] Q. Wang, N. Liu, Z. Hou, J. Shi, X. Su, X. Sun, Adv. Healthc. Mater., 10 (2021) 2000802.
- 1319 [258] S.-J. Tseng, Z.-X. Liao, S.-H. Kao, Y.-F. Zeng, K.-Y. Huang, H.-J. Li, C.-L. Ying, Y.-F. Deng, C.-F. Huang, S.-C.
- 1320 Yang, Nat. Commun., 6 (2015) 1-10.
- 1321 [259] N. Zheng, X. Luo, Z. Zhang, A. Wang, W. Song, ACS Appl. Mater. Interface, N (2021) 27513-27521.
- 1322 [260] C. Liu, D. Wang, S. Zhang, Y. Cheng, F. Yang, Y. Xing, T. Xu, H. Dong, X. Xhang, ACS Nano, 13 (2019) 42671323 4277.
- 1324 [261] S. Wang, G. Yu, W. Yang, Z. Wang, O. Jacobson, R. Tian, H. Leng, L. Lin, X. Chen, Adv. Sci., 8 (2021) 2002927.
- 1325 [262] D. Schaue, W.H. McBride, Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol., 12 (2015) 527 540.
- 1326 [263] J.d.S. Oliveira, E. Guidelli, Mater. Sci. Eng. C, 126 (2077)
- 1327 [264] N. Somia, I.M. Verma, Nat. Rev. Genet., 1 (2000) 91-99
- 1328 [265] M.T. McManus, P.A. Sharp, Nat. Rev. Genet., 3 (2000) 737-747.
- 1329 [266] M. Izquierdo, Cancer Gene Ther., 12 (2005) 17-2
- 1330 [267] L. Feng, R. Xie, C. Wang, S. Gai, F. Herd, Yang, J. Lin, ACS Nano, 12 (2018) 11000-11012.
- 1331 [268] Z. Tang, Y. Liu, M. He, W. Bu, Anger Cem Int. Ed., 58 (2019) 946-956.
- 1332 [269] C. Yao, W. Wang, P. Wang, M. Zhao, X. Ji, F. Zhang, Adv. Mater., 30 (2018) 1704833.
- 1333 [270] Z. Zhao, W. Wang, C. Li, Y. Zhan, T. Yu, R. Wu, J. Zhao, Z. Liu, J. Liu, H. Yu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 29 (2019)
 1334 1905013.

27.

- 1335 [271] J.P. Celli, B.Q. Spring, I. Lizvi, C.L. Evans, K.S. Samkoe, S. Verma, B.W. Pogue, T. Hasan, Chem. Rev., 110 (2010)
 1336 2795-2838.
- 1337 [272] M. Ethirajan, Y. Chen, P. Joshi, R.K. Pandey, Chem. Soc. Rev., 40 (2011) 340-362.
- 1338 [273] B.R. Smith, S.S. Gambhir, Chem. Rev., 117 (2017) 901-986.
- 1339 [274] Z. Wang, Q. Sun, B. Liu, Y. Kuang, A. Gulzar, F. He, S. Gai, P. Yang, J. Lin, Coord. Chem. Rev., 439 (2021) 213945.
- 1340 [275] J. Li, K. Pu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 48 (2019) 38-71.
- 1341 [276] Y. Wu, M.R. Ali, K. Chen, N. Fang, M.A. El-Sayed, Nano Today, 24 (2019) 120-140.
- 1342 [277] J.F. Lovell, T.W. Liu, J. Chen, G. Zheng, Chem. Rev., 110 (2010) 2839-2857.
- [278] I.-h. Oh, H.S. Min, L. Li, T.H. Tran, Y.-k. Lee, I.C. Kwon, K. Choi, K. Kim, K.M. Huh, Biomaterials, 34 (2013)
 6454-6463.
- 1345 [279] L. Li, M. Nurunnabi, M. Nafiujjaman, Y.Y. Jeong, Y.-k. Lee, K.M. Huh, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2 (2014) 2929-2937.
- 1346 [280] K.D. Wegner, N. Hildebrandt, Chem. Soc. Rev., 44 (2015) 4792-4834.
- 1347 [281] J. Shen, L. Zhao, G. Han, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev., 65 (2013) 744-755.
- 1348 [282] H. Wang, R. Han, L. Yang, J. Shi, Z. Liu, Y. Hu, Y. Wang, S. Liu, Y. Gan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 8 (2016)

- 1349 4416-4423.
- 1350 [283] S. Zhu, R. Tian, A.L. Antaris, X. Chen, H. Dai, Adv. Mater., 31 (2019) 1900321.
- [284] Y. Fan, P. Wang, Y. Lu, R. Wang, L. Zhou, X. Zheng, X. Li, J.A. Piper, F. Zhang, Nat. Nanotechnol., 13 (2018) 941946.
- [285] K.-Y. Pham, L.-C. Wang, C.-C. Hsieh, Y.-P. Hsu, L.-C. Chang, W.-P. Su, Y.-H. Chien, C.-S. Yeh, J. Mater. Chem.
 B, 9 (2021) 694-709.
- 1355 [286] D. Wang, B. Xue, T.Y. Ohulchanskyy, Y. Liu, A. Yakovliev, R. Ziniuk, M. Xu, J. Song, J. Qu, Z. Yuan, Biomaterials,
- 1356 251 (2020) 120088.
- 1357 [287] C. Liang, S. Diao, C. Wang, H. Gong, T. Liu, G. Hong, X. Shi, H. Dai, Z. Liu, Adv. Mater., 26 (2014) 5646-5652.
- [288] X. Mu, Y. Tang, F. Wu, H. Ma, S. Huang, M. Liang, J. Yang, Y. Lu, X. Zhou, Z. Li, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces,
 13 (2021) 36958-36966.
- [289] C. Ou, Y. Zhang, D. Pan, K. Ding, S. Zhang, W. Xu, W. Wang, W. Si, Z. Yang, X. Dong, Mater. Chem. Front., 3
 (2019) 1786-1792.
- 1362 [290] J. Weber, P.C. Beard, S.E. Bohndiek, Nat. Methods, 13 (2016) 639-650.
- 1363 [291] Q. Fu, R. Zhu, J. Song, H. Yang, X. Chen, Adv. Mater., 31 (2019) 1805875.
- 1364 [292] Y. Liu, P. Bhattarai, Z. Dai, X. Chen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 48 (2019) 2053-2108.
- 1365 [293] Y.W. Jun, J.H. Lee, J. Cheon, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 47 (2008) 5122-5135.
- 1366 [294] D. Ni, W. Bu, E.B. Ehlerding, W. Cai, J. Shi, Chem. Soc. Rev., 46 (2017) NSS-74-8
- 1367 [295] Q. Chen, J. Wen, H. Li, Y. Xu, F. Liu, S. Sun, Biomaterials, 106 (2016) 144-106.
- 1368 [296] J. Xu, D. Yang, R. Lv, B. Liu, S. Gai, F. He, C. Li, P. Yang, J. Mater. Chem. B, 4 (2016) 5883-5894.
- 1369 [297] T. Jia, Z. Wang, Q. Sun, S. Dong, J. Xu, F. Zhang, L. Feng, F. Je, D. Yang, P. Yang, Small, 16 (2020) 2001343.
- 1370 [298] J. Xu, W. Han, P. Yang, T. Jia, S. Dong, H. Bi, A. Gulzar, Yang, S. Gai, F. He, Adv. Funct. Mater., 28 (2018)
 1371 1803804.
- 1372

